

## Your response

Question	Your response
<p><b>Question 1:</b> Do you agree with our proposed guidance on scope? If not, please provide any information or evidence in support of your views, including descriptions of services or content where you consider it is unclear whether they fall within the scope of Part 5.</p>	<p>Confidential? – Y / N</p> <p>No comments</p>
<p><b>Question 2:</b> Do you have any comments on how our proposed guidance applies in respect of pornographic content created by generative-AI services within the scope of Part 5? Please provide any information or evidence in support of your views.</p>	<p>Confidential? – Y / N</p> <p>No comments</p>
<p><b>Question 3:</b> Do you have any comments on our proposed guidance in respect of the kinds of age assurance which could be highly effective? If you consider there are other kinds of age assurance which have not been listed that you consider could fulfil the proposed criteria, please identify these with any supporting information or evidence.</p>	<p>✂✂</p>

**Question**

**Your response**

Blank area for entering the question.

Blank area for entering your response.

Question	Your response
<p><b>Question 4:</b> Do you agree that service providers should use the proposed criteria to determine whether the age assurance they implement which is highly effective at correctly determining whether or not a user is</p>	<p>Confidential? – Y / <b>N</b></p> <p>The effectiveness criteria being proposed by Ofcom – technical accuracy, robustness, reliability and fairness – seem sensible.</p> <p>To help ensure service providers are approaching their assessments with similarly robust, consistent definitions and measurements, we would encourage cooperation</p>

Question	Your response
<p>a child? Please provide any information or evidence in support of your views.</p>	<p>between service providers and Ofcom to develop industry guidelines. Involving the age assurance method providers in this process will also help ensure that the expectations on third parties to provide evidence and assurance on the effectiveness of the age assurance methods to service providers are realistic and consistent.</p>
<p><b>Question 5:</b> Do you have any information or evidence on the extent of circumvention risk affecting different age assurance methods and/or on any steps that providers might take to manage different circumvention risks for different methods?</p>	<p>✂</p>
<p><b>Question 6:</b> Do you agree with our proposed guidance that providers should consider accessibility and interoperability when implementing age assurance? Please provide any information or evidence in support of your views.</p>	<p>✂✂</p>

Question	Your response
	✂
<p><b>Question 7:</b> Do you have comments on the illustrative case study we have set out in the guidance? Do you have any supporting information or evidence relating to additional examples of how the criteria and principles might apply to different age assurance processes?</p>	<p>Confidential? – Y / N</p> <p>No comments.</p>
<p><b>Question 8:</b> Do you agree with our proposed guidance on the record-keeping duties? Please provide any information or evidence in support of your views.</p>	<p>Confidential? – Y / N</p> <p>No comments.</p>

Question	Your response
<p><b>Question 9:</b> Do you have any comments on our proposed approach to assessing compliance with the duties on service providers who publish or display pornographic content, including on the proposed examples of non-compliance? Please provide any information or evidence in support of your views.</p>	<p>Confidential? – Y / N</p> <p>No comments.</p>
<p><b>Question 10:</b> Do you have any comments on the impact assessment set out in Annex 1? Please provide any information or evidence in support of your views</p>	<p>Confidential? – Y / N</p> <p>No comments.</p>
<p><b>Question 11:</b> Do you agree that our proposed guidance is likely to have positive effects on opportunities to use Welsh and treating Welsh no less favourably than English?</p> <p>If you disagree, please explain why, including how you consider the proposed guidance could be revised to have positive effects or more positive effects, or no adverse effects or fewer adverse effects on opportunities to use Welsh and treating Welsh no less favourably than English.</p>	<p>Confidential? – Y / N</p> <p>No comments.</p>

Please complete this form in full and return to [Part5Guidance@ofcom.org.uk](mailto:Part5Guidance@ofcom.org.uk).