

## Robert Dudson

### Your details

Full name:	Robert Dudson
Representing:	Individual

### Your response

<i>Q. 1 Do you agree with our overall approach to setting the operating licence?</i>	There is only public purpose 1 that is important and by including the others you reduce its effectiveness. In say an annual report Points for Purpose 1 should make 80% and all the remainder 20%. So high scoring in the other sections could not hide BBC's current blatant left wing bias.
<i>Q. 2 Do you agree with the approach we have proposed for public purpose 1, including the high-level objectives and regulatory conditions we are proposing?</i>	Whilst your objectives are correct unless immediately after a breach of impartiality they are fined and then have to announce their fine in their news bulletins they will continue with their bias. It must be admitted that during the period before the referendum BBC were very fair to both parties no strong bias.- hence no fines. Before the last election they were totally in favour of the left. One particular interview between Michal Hussain and Boris Johnson is a perfect example BJ was trying to put over the point that in labour "reforms" could only be paid for by extra borrowing and he was stopped some three times in giving his reply. After the third stop Michal Hussain said the reforms have all been fully costed and changed the subject. Labour is admitting now they cannot afford some of the "reforms" they promised only a few weeks ago.
<i>Q. 3 Do you agree with the approach we have proposed for public purpose 2, including the high-level objectives and regulatory conditions we are proposing?</i>	Minor importance
<i>Q. 4 Do you agree with the approach we have proposed for public purpose 3, including the high-level objectives and regulatory conditions we are proposing?</i>	Minor importance

<p><i>Q. 5 Do you agree with the approach we have proposed for public purpose 4, including the high-level objectives and regulatory conditions we are proposing?</i></p>	<p>Minor importance</p>
<p><i>Q. 6 Do you agree with Ofcom's approach to how we will set and amend the operating licence, as set out in Annex 5?</i></p>	<p>Minor importance</p>
<p><i>Q. 7 Do you agree with our proposed overall approach to performance measurement?</i></p>	<p>Minor importance</p>
<p><i>Q. 8 Do you agree with the proposed framework of: availability; consumption; impact; contextual factors?</i></p>	<p>Too much trivia Radio1 &amp; 2 appear to have enough news already. If people want more detail that is why we have radio4. Why does "comedy" now mean smutty and bad language. Stopping that would be brilliant.</p>
<p><i>Q. 9 Do you agree with Ofcom's approach to how we will set and amend the performance measures?</i></p>	<p>Only if you stress - the requirement for impartiality also in who they appoint. How can BBC expect to be impartial by appointing known labour activists and seeing only one candidate. Your approval for major appointments must be sought before an appointment is confirmed. By fining immediately after breaches and BBC having to announce these fines they may eventually realise their Purpose 1 - but from their current performance I am not holding my breath.</p>