

Question	Your response
Question 1: Do you agree with our assessment of the business models that could potentially emerge?	
Question 1(a): Are there any other business models that you think could deliver benefits for people and businesses in the UK?	
Question 1(b): Are there any business models that could not operate under our proposed approaches?	
Question 2: Do you agree with our assessment of the benefits that could be realised through authorisation of D2D services?	
Question 2(a): Are there any other benefits for UK citizens and businesses that could be realised?	
Question 3: Do you have comments on how emerging D2D technology should support 999 service provision?	
Question 4: Are there any mobile spectrum bands not in scope of our proposals that you think we should consider?	

Question	Your response
Question 5: Does deployment in supplementary downlink spectrum (SDL) present any challenges in comparison to other bands? Is there interest in deploying in this spectrum?	
Question 6: Do you agree with our proposal to limit this authorisation to the UK mainland and territorial waters? If not, please explain why.	As a substantial operator of offshore networks, we agree that D2D should only be authorised within UK territory and not in the exclusive economic zone, as additional interference from D2D service links could significantly compromise offshore mobile networks that may depend upon wide-area coverage from sparse infrastructure.
Question 7: Do you agree that our proposed technical conditions for D2D satellite emissions will protect mobile services delivered by other operators in adjacent areas and in adjacent spectrum?	
Question 8: Do you agree with our high-level co-existence assessment for other services in adjacent spectrum to D2D?	
Question 9: Are there other services co-channel or in adjacent spectrum that you think we should take into account when assessing coexistence? If so, please provide evidence of the nature of interference and what level of protection you consider is necessary.	
Question 10: Do you agree with our preferred authorisation approach (option 2)? If not, please set out your reasoning.	
Question 11: Are there any alternative authorisation options, not discussed here, that you believe are worth considering?	
Question 12: Do you agree with the proposed conditions?	Regarding record keeping and reporting (paragraph 6.23(c)), we are concerned that limiting interference assessments to within 100 km of the service area boundary is insufficient to demonstrate adequate protection of offshore

Question	Your response
	installations. We recommend extending this requirement to include PFD levels over off-shore installations, presented at an appropriate level of detail.
Question 13: Do you have any other comments on the proposals set out in this document?	

Please complete this form in full and return to mobilefromskyandspace@ofcom.org.uk.