

[DRAFT] Illegal content Codes of Practice for user-to-user services

Draft published for consultation: 30 June 2025

Contents

1.	Introduction4
	The Illegal content Codes of Practice for user-to-user services
	The recommended measures
	Data protection5
2.	Application and scope6
3.	Index of recommended measures
4.	Recommended measures 21
	A. Governance and accountability21
	B. Age assurance
	C. Content moderation
	D. Reporting and complaints
	E. Recommender systems73
	F. Settings, functionalities and user support
	G. Terms of service
	H. User access
	I. [Not used]
	J. User controls
5.	Definitions and interpretation98
	Risks of illegal harm
	User numbers128

1. Introduction

The Illegal content Codes of Practice for user-to-user services

- 1.1 Under the Online Safety Act 2023 (the 'Act'), Ofcom is required to prepare and issue Codes of Practice ('Codes') for providers of Part 3 services, describing measures recommended for the purpose of compliance with specified duties imposed on those providers by the Act.
- 1.2 This document contains Codes relevant to providers of regulated user-to-user services (including providers of combined services, so far as the duties applicable to user-to-user services apply in relation to those services) for the purpose of compliance with the following duties:
 - a) the illegal content safety duties set out in section 10(2) to (9) of the Act;
 - b) the duty about content reporting set out in section 20 of the Act, so far as it relates to illegal content; and
 - c) the duties about complaints procedures set out in section 21 of the Act, so far as relating to the complaints set out in section 21(4).
- 1.3 Recommended measures for search services are set out separately in the Illegal content Codes of Practice for search services.
- 1.4 The Act requires Ofcom to prepare and issue separate Codes for terrorism (arising from the offences set out in Schedule 5 to the Act) and child sexual exploitation and abuse ('CSEA') (arising from the offences set out in Schedule 6 to the Act) and one or more Codes for the purpose of compliance with the relevant duties relating to illegal content and harms (except to the extent measures are included in the Codes for terrorism and CSEA). Many of our recommended measures apply to more than one kind of illegal harm. To minimise duplication and simplify the regime for service providers, we have produced one document containing the Codes for terrorism, CSEA and other duties. We identify the relevant Code(s) for each measure in the index of recommended measures which can be found at Section 3 of this document.
- 1.5 Over time Ofcom will update the Codes to take account of technological developments, new evidence, and any other relevant matters.

The recommended measures

- 1.6 Section 4 of this document sets out the recommended measures and is divided into subsections by thematic area. The meaning of terms in **bold**, terms in **bold** and italics and terms which are <u>underlined</u> is explained in Section 5.
- 1.7 The Act provides that service providers which implement measures recommended to them in these Codes will be treated as complying with the relevant duty or duties to which those measures relate.
- 1.8 Where a service provider implements measures recommended to it in these Codes which include safeguards for the protection of freedom of expression and/or for the protection of the privacy of United Kingdom users, the Act provides that they will also be treated as

complying with the duties set out in section 22(2) (in respect of freedom of expression) and section 22(3) (in respect of privacy).

- 1.9 Service providers may seek to comply with a relevant duty in another way by adopting what the Act refers to as alternative measures. In doing so, service providers would also need to comply with the duty to have particular regard to the importance of protecting United Kingdom users' right to freedom of expression and the privacy of United Kingdom users.
- 1.10 Where they take alternative measures, service providers must also maintain a record of what they have done and how they consider that it meets the relevant duties, including how they have complied with the duty to have particular regard to the importance of protecting freedom of expression and privacy.

Data protection

- 1.11 Implementing the recommended measures set out in these Codes will inevitably involve the processing of personal data. The Information Commissioner's Office ('the ICO') is the statutory regulator for data protection law and has made clear that it expects service providers to comply fully with data protection law when taking measures for the purpose of complying with their online safety duties under the Act.
- 1.12 The ICO has set out that it expects service providers to take a 'data protection by design and by default' approach when implementing online safety systems and processes. It advises service providers to familiarise themselves with the data protection legislation, the ICO's Children's code and relevant ICO guidance, including the updated opinion published by the ICO in January 2024 setting out the Commissioner's expectations for age assurance under the Children's code, to understand how to comply with the data protection regime.

2. Application and scope

- 2.1 These Codes apply to a *provider* in respect of the **regulated user-to-user service** that it provides.
- 2.2 If a person is the *provider* of more than one **regulated user-to-user service**, the recommended measures in these Codes have effect in relation to each such service (so far as applicable).
- 2.3 These Codes apply regardless of whether or not the *provider* of the service is inside the United Kingdom.
- 2.4 The services in respect of which each recommended measure in these Codes applies are specified in the "application" section of each measure. An overview can be found in the index of recommended measures in Section 3 of this document.
- 2.5 Section 5 of this document includes provision about a service's risk and size. The subsection headed 'Risks of illegal harm' (which begins at paragraph 5.4) sets out when a service is at medium or high risk of a kind of illegal harm, and includes a definition of a '**multi-risk** service'.
- 2.6 The subsection headed 'User numbers' (which begins at paragraph 5.7) explains when a service is to be treated as having more than a particular number of monthly **active United Kingdom users** for those measures which apply in relation to **services** of a certain size, and how to calculate the number of monthly **active United Kingdom users**. The definition of **'large service'** is included in the definitions section in Section 5 of this document.
- 2.7 The measures in these Codes are recommended for the purpose of compliance with the **illegal content safety duties** and the **reporting and complaints duties** and their scope and application should be construed accordingly. In particular, the recommended measures should be construed in light of section 8 of the **Act** which provides that:
 - a) the duties set out in Chapter 2 of Part 3 of the **Act** which must be complied with in relation to a *user-to-user service* that includes *regulated provider pornographic content* does not extend to
 - i) the *regulated provider pornographic content*, or
 - ii) the design, operation or use of the service so far as relating to that content;
 - b) the duties set out in Chapter 2 of Part 3 of the **Act** which must be complied with in relation to a *combined service* do not extend to:
 - i) the *search content* of the service,
 - ii) any other content that, following a *search request*, may be encountered as a result of subsequent interactions with *internet services*, or
 - iii) anything relating to the design, operation or use of the *search engine*; and
 - c) the duties set out in Chapter 2 of Part 3 of the **Act** which must be complied with in relation to a *user-to-user service* extend only to:
 - i) the design, operation and use of the service in the United Kingdom, and

ii) in the case of a duty that is expressed to apply in relation to users of a service, the design, operation and use of the service as it affects *United Kingdom users* of the service.

3. Index of recommended measures

Recommended measu	are Applicatio	on Code(s)	Relevant duties
Governance and accounta	ability		
ICU A1 Annual review management a	l arge service	s.	Section 10(2), (3), and (5) to (9) Section 20(2)* Section 21(2) ⁺ and (3) ⁺
Individual acco for illegal conte ICU A2 safety duties an reporting and complaints dut	ent nd All services .		Section 10(2), (3), and (5) to (9) Section 20(2)* Section 21(2)† and (3)†
ICU A3 Written statem responsibilities		:i-risk	Section 10(2), (3), and (5) to (9) Section 20(2)* Section 21(2) ⁺ and (3) ⁺
ICU A4 Internal monito and assurance	Dring Large services are multi-risk services.	USEA	Section 10(2), (3), and (5) to (9) Section 20(2)* Section 21(2) ⁺ and (3) ⁺
ICU A5 ICU A5 illegal harm			Section 10(2) and (3)
Code of conduc ICU A6 regarding prote users from illeg	ection of	:i-risk	Section 10(2), (3), and (5) to (9) Section 20(2)* Section 21(2) ⁺ and (3) ⁺
ICU A7 Compliance tra	services.		Section 10(2), (3), and (5) to (9) Section 20(2)* Section 21(2)† and (3)†
Age Assurance			

Reco	mmended measure	Application	Code(s)	Relevant duties
ICU B1	Implementing an age assurance process	Services that use highly effective age assurance to target measures in this Code at child users.	CSEA Other duties	Section 10(2) and (3)
Content	moderation			
ICU C1	Having a content moderation function to review and assess suspected illegal content	All services.		Section 10(2) and (3) Section 21(2)(b)†
ICU C2	Having a content moderation function that allows for the swift take down of illegal content	All services.		Section 10(2) and (3) Section 21(2)(b)†
ICU C3	Setting internal content policies		CSEA	Section 10(2) and (3)
ICU C4	Performance targets	, C	Terrorism Other duties	Section 10(2) and (3)
ICU C5	Prioritisation		2	Section 10(2) and (3)
ICU C6	Resourcing	Large or multi-risk services.		Section 10(2) and (3)
ICU C7	Provision of training and materials to individuals working in content moderation (non-volunteers)	Services.		Section 10(2) and (3)
ICU C8	Provision of materials to volunteers			Section 10(2) and (3)

Recor	nmended measure	Application	Code(s)	Relevant duties
ICU C9	Using hash matching to detect and remove CSAM	Services that: a) are at high risk of <u>image-based CSAM</u> and (i) have more than 700,000 monthly active United Kingdom users or (ii) are file- storage and file- sharing services or (iii) the principal purpose of the service is the hosting or dissemination of regulated pornographic content. b) Large services that are at medium or high risk of <u>image-based CSAM</u> .	CSEA	Section 10(2) and (3)
ICU C10	Detecting and removing content matching listed CSAM URLs	Services that: a) have more than 700,000 monthly active United Kingdom users and are at high risk of <u>CSAM URLs</u> ; or b) Large services that are at medium or high risk of <u>CSAM</u> <u>URLs</u> .	CSEA	Section 10(2) and (3)

Recor	nmended measure	Application	Code(s)	Relevant duties
ICU C11	Assessing proactive technology for use to detect or support the detection of illegal content	Services that: a) are large services at medium or high risk of one or more specified kinds of illegal harm; b) have more than 700,000 monthly active UK users and are at high risk of one or more specified kinds of illegal harm; c) are at high risk of grooming; d) are at high risk of image-based CSAM and are a file- storage and file- sharing service.	CSEA Other duties	Section 10(2) and (3)
ICU C12	Assessing existing proactive technology for use to detect or support the detection of illegal content	Services that use proactive technology to detect, or support the detection of, specified kinds of <i>illegal content</i> and: a) are large services at medium or high risk of one or more specified kinds of illegal harm; b) have more than 700,000 monthly active UK users and are at high risk of one or more specified kinds of illegal harm; c) are at high risk of grooming; or d) are at high risk of image-based CSAM and are a file- storage and file- sharing service.	CSEA Other duties	Section 10(2) and (3)

Recor	nmended measure	Application	Code(s)	Relevant duties
ICU C13	Using hash matching to detect terrorism content	 Services that enable regulated user-generated content in the form of photographs, videos or visual images (whether or not combined with written material) to be generated, uploaded or shared, and are: a) services at high risk of terrorism content and (i) have more than 700,000 monthly active United Kingdom users or (ii) are file-storage and file-sharing services; or b) large services that are at medium or high risk of terrorism content. 	Terrorism	Section 10(2) and (3)
		3		

Recor	nmended measure	Application	Code(s)	Relevant duties
ICU C14	Using hash-matching to detect intimate image abuse content	Services that enable regulated user- generated content in the form of photographs, videos or visual images (whether or not combined with written material) to be generated, uploaded or shared, and: a) are at high risk of intimate image abuse, and: i) the principal purpose of the service is the hosting or dissemination of regulated pornographic content; or ii) have more than 700,000 monthly active UK users; or iii) are file-storage and file-sharing services; or b) are large services at medium or high risk of intimate image abuse.	Other duties	Section 10(2) and (3)
ICU C15	Crisis response	Large Services that are at medium risk of one or more specified kinds of illegal harm; or services that are at high risk of one or more specified kinds of illegal harm.	Terrorism Other duties	Section 10(2) and 10(3)

Recor	mmended measure	Application	Code(s)	Relevant duties
ICU C16	Availability of non- volunteer human moderators for livestreaming	Services that: a) have one-to- many livestreaming; and b) are at medium or high risk of one or more specified kinds of illegal harm.	CSEA Terrorism Other duties	Section 10(2) and (3)
Reporting	g and complaints	1	-	
ICU D1	Enabling complaints	All services.		Section 20(2)* Section 21(2)(a)†
ICU D2	Having easy to find, easy to access and easy to use complaints systems and processes	All services.		Section 20(2)* Section 21(2)(c)†
ICU D3	Provision of information prior to the submission of a complaint	Services likely to be accessed by children that are large or at medium or high risk of any kind of illegal harm.		Section 21(2)(c)†
ICU D4	Appropriate action – sending indicative timeframes	Services that are large or at medium or high risk of any kind of illegal harm.		Section 21(2)(b)† and (c)†
ICU D5	Appropriate action – sending further information about how the complaint will be handled	Services likely to be accessed by children that are large or at medium or high risk of any kind of illegal harm.	CSEA Terrorism Other duties	Section 21(2)(b)† and (c)†
ICU D6	Opt-out from communications following a complaint	Services that are large or at medium or high risk of any kind of illegal harm.		Section 21(2)(b)†
ICU D7	Appropriate action for relevant complaints about suspected illegal content	All services.		Section 10(3) Section 21(2)(b)†
ICU D8	Appropriate action for relevant complaints which are content appeals – determination (large or multi risk services)	Large or multi-risk services.		Section 21(2)(b)†

Recor	nmended measure	Application	Code(s)	Relevant duties
ICU D9	Appropriate action for relevant complaints which are content appeals – determination (services that are neither large nor multi risk)	Services that are neither large nor multi-risk .		Section 21(2)(b)†
ICU D10	Appropriate action for relevant complaints which are content appeals – action following determination			Section 21(2)(b)†
ICU D11	Appropriate action for relevant complaints about proactive technology, which are not content appeals	All services.		Section 21(2)(b)†
ICU D12	Appropriate action for all other relevant complaints			Section 21(2)(b)†
ICU D13	Exception: manifestly unfounded complaints			Section 21(2)(b)†
ICU D14	Dedicated reporting channel for trusted flaggers to report fraud	Large services that are at medium or high risk of <u>fraud</u> .	Other duties	Section 10(3)
ICU D15	Appropriate action for age assessment appeals (services that are large or multi-risk)	Services that: a) use highly effective age assurance to target measures in this Code at child users; and b) are a large service or a multi-risk service (or both).	CSEA Other duties	Section 21(2)(b)†

Recor	nmended measure	Application	Code(s)	Relevant duties	
ICU D16	Appropriate action for age assessment appeals (services that are neither large nor multi-risk)	Services that: a) use highly effective age assurance to target measures in this Code at child users; and b) are neither a large service nor a multi-risk service.	CSEA Other duties	Section 21(2)(b)†	
ICU D17	Livestreaming reporting category for imminent physical harm	Services that: a) have one-to- many livestreaming ; and b) are at medium or high risk of one or more specified kinds of illegal harm .	CSEA Terrorism Other duties	Section 20(2)* Section 21(2)(a)†	
Recomme	ender systems				
ICU E1	Collection of safety metrics during on- platform testing of content recommender systems	Services that conduct on-platform testing of content recommender systems and are at medium or high risk of two or more specified kinds of illegal harm.	CSEA Terrorism Other duties	Section 10(2)	
ICU E2	Content recommender systems: excluding priority illegal content	Services that are at medium or high risk of one or more specified kinds of illegal harm and have a content recommender system.	Terrorism Other duties	Section 10(2)	
Settings,	Settings, functionalities and user support				
ICU F1	Safety defaults for child users	Services which have an existing means of	CSEA Other duties	Section 10(2)	

Recon	nmended measure	Application	Code(s)	Relevant duties
ICU F2	Support for child users	determining the age or age range of a particular user and have specified functionalities, and that are at high risk of <u>grooming.</u>		
		Large services which have an existing means of determining the age or age range of a particular user and have specified functionalities, and that are at medium risk of grooming.	CSEA Other duties	Section 10(2)
ICU F3	Child-user livestreams - removal of user engagement functionalities and preventing content capture	Services that have one-to-many livestreaming and can be accessed by children, or where part of the service can be accessed by children.	CSEA Other duties	Section 10(2)
Terms of	service			
ICU G1	Terms of service: substance (all services)	All services.		Section 10(5) and (7) Section 21(3) ⁺
ICU G2	Terms of service: substance (Category 1 services)	Category 1 services.	CSEA Terrorism Other duties	Section 10(9)
ICU G3	Terms of service: clarity and accessibility	All services.		Section 10(8) Section 21(3)†
User acce	SS			
ICU H1	Removing accounts of proscribed organisations	All services.	Terrorism	Section 10(2) and (3)
ICU H2	User sanctions	All services.	Terrorism Other duties	Section 10(2)
ICU H3	Banning users for CSEA	All services.	CSEA	Section 10(2)

Recon	nmended measure	Application	Code(s)	Relevant duties
User cont	rols			
ICU J1	User blocking and muting	 Large services that are at medium or high risk of one or more specified kinds of illegal harm, have user profiles and have at least one specified functionality Services that have user profiles, at least one of the specified functionalities, and meet one of the following descriptions: a) a large service which is at medium or high risk of one or more specified kinds of illegal harm; b) has below seven million monthly active UK users, is likely to be accessed by children, and is at high risk of one or more specified kinds of illegal harm; or c) has between 700,000 and seven million monthly active UK users, is likely to be accessed by children, and is at high risk of one or more specified kinds 	CSEA Other duties	Section 10(2)

¹ Amendments proposed in Illegal harms further consultation: User Controls published on 24 April 2025.

Recommended measure		Application	Code(s)	Relevant duties
ICU J2 ²	Disabling comments	Large services that are at medium or high risk of one or more specified kinds of illegal harm and enable users to comment on content. Services that have commenting on content functionality and meet one of the following descriptions: a) a large service which is at medium or high risk of one or more specified kinds of illegal harm; b) has below seven million monthly active UK users, is <i>likely to be accessed by children</i> , and is at high risk of one or more specified kinds of illegal harm; or c) has between 700,000 and seven million monthly active UK users, is likely to be accessed by children, is at medium risk of one or more specified kinds of illegal harm.	CSEA Other duties	Section 10(2)

² Amendments proposed in Illegal harms further consultation: User Controls published on 24 April 2025.

Reco	mmended measure	Application	Code(s)	Relevant duties
ICU J3	Notable user and monetised labelling schemes	Large services that are at medium or high risk of one or more specified kinds of illegal harm, and on which user profiles are labelled under a notable user scheme or a monetised scheme.	Other duties	Section 10(2)

* So far as it relates to illegal content.

+ So far as relating to the complaints set out in section 21(4).

4. Recommended measures

A. Governance and accountability

ICU A1 Annual review of risk management activities

Application

ICU A1.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each large service it provides.

Recommendation

ICU A1.2 The provider's most senior **governance body** in relation to the service should carry out and record an annual review of risk management activities having to do with **illegal harm** as it relates to individuals in the UK, including as to risk that is remaining after the implementation of appropriate Codes of Practice measures. The review should include how developing risks are being monitored and managed.

ICU A2 Individual accountable for illegal content safety duties and reporting and complaints duties

Application

ICU A2.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each service it provides.

Recommendation

- ICU A2.2 The provider should name an individual accountable to the most senior governance body for compliance with the illegal content safety duties and the reporting and complaints duties.
- ICU A2.3 Being accountable means being required to explain and justify actions or decisions regarding:
 - a) **illegal harm** risk management and mitigation (including as to risks remaining after the implementation of appropriate Codes of Practice measures), and
 - b) compliance with the relevant duties,

to the most senior governance body.

ICU A3 Written statements of responsibilities

Application

- ICU A3.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each *service* it provides that is either (or both) of the following:
 - a) a large service; or
 - b) a multi-risk service.

Recommendation

- ICU A3.2 The provider should have written statements of responsibilities for senior managers who make decisions about the management of risks having to do with **illegal harm** in relation to individuals in the UK.
- ICU A3.3 A statement of responsibilities is a document which clearly shows the responsibilities that the senior manager performs in relation to the management of risks having to do with **illegal harm** in relation to individuals in the UK and how those responsibilities fit in with the provider's overall governance and management arrangements in relation to the service.

ICU A4 Internal monitoring and assurance

Application

ICU A4.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each service it provides that is both a large service and a multi-risk service.

Recommendation

ICU A4.2

The provider should have an internal monitoring and assurance function to provide independent assurance that measures taken to mitigate and manage the risks of harm to individuals identified in the **risk assessment** are effective on an ongoing basis. This function should report to, and its findings should be considered by, either:

- a) the body that is responsible for overall governance and strategic direction of a service; or
- b) an audit committee.
- ICU A4.3 This independent assurance may be provided by an existing internal audit function.

ICU A5 Tracking evidence of new and increasing illegal harm

Application

- ICU A5.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each *service* it provides that is either (or both) of the following:
 - a) a large service; or
 - b) a multi-risk service.

- ICU A5.2 The provider should track evidence of new kinds of illegal content on the service, and unusual increases in particular kinds of illegal content or illegal content proxy, or equivalent changes in the use of the service for the commission or facilitation of *priority offences*. Relevant evidence may include, but is not limited to, that derived from:
 - a) complaints processes;
 - b) content moderation processes;
 - c) referrals from law enforcement; and
 - d) information from **trusted flaggers** and any other expert group or body the provider considers appropriate.
- ICU A5.3 The provider should ensure that any new kinds of illegal content or unusual increases in particular kinds of illegal content or illegal content proxy, or equivalent changes in the use of the service for the commission of facilitation of *priority offences*, are regularly reported through relevant governance channels to the most senior **governance body**.
- ICU A5.4 To understand this, the provider should establish a baseline understanding of how frequently particular kinds of illegal content, illegal content proxy, or the commission or facilitation of *priority offences* occur on the service to the extent possible based on its internal data and evidence. The provider should use this baseline to identify unusual increases in the relevant data.
- ICU A5.5References in this Recommendation ICU A5 to "illegal content" or "illegal
content proxy" are to be read as references to *illegal content* or *illegal content*
proxy that may be encountered by United Kingdom users.

ICU A6 Code of conduct regarding protection of users from illegal harm

Application

- ICU A6.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each *service* it provides that is either (or both) of the following:
 - a) a large service; or
 - b) a multi-risk service.

Recommendation

ICU A6.2 The provider should have a code of conduct that sets standards and expectations for individuals working for the provider around protecting **United Kingdom users** from risks of **illegal harm**.

ICU A7 Compliance training

Application

- ICU A7.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each service it provides that is either (or both) of the following:
 - a) a large service; or
 - b) a multi-risk service.

Recommendation

ICU A7.2

The provider should secure that individuals working for the provider who are involved in the design and operational management of the service are trained in the service's approach to compliance with the **illegal content safety duties** and the **reporting and complaints duties**, sufficiently to give effect to them. This measure does not apply in relation to **volunteers**.

ICU A7.3 This does not affect Recommendations ICU C7 (provision of training and materials to individuals working in content moderation (non-volunteers)), ICU C8 (provision of materials to volunteers) and ICU J3 (notable user and monetised labelling schemes) (see ICU J3.3(f)).

B. Age assurance

ICU B1	Implementing an age assurance process
	Application
ICU B1.1	This measure applies to a <i>provider</i> in respect of each service that uses highly effective age assurance to determine which <i>United Kingdom users</i> of the service are child users for the purpose of targeting measures recommended in this Code at such users, their user accounts or their content feeds (whether because any of Recommendations ICU F1, ICU F2 or ICU F3 apply to the service or otherwise).
	Recommendation
ICU B1.2	In order to ensure that its age assurance process is highly effective at correctly determining whether or not a <i>user</i> is a <i>child</i> , the provider should ensure that it meets the criteria of technical accuracy , robustness , reliability and fairness (see Recommendations ICU B1.3-ICU B1.6 below) ("highly effective age assurance").
ICU B1.3	The technical accuracy criterion is fulfilled if:
	 a) the provider has ensured that the measures forming part of the age assurance process for the service have been evaluated against appropriate metrics to assess the extent to which they can correctly determine the age or age range of a person under test lab conditions and the results indicate that the measures are able to correctly determine whether or not a particular user is a child;
	 b) where the age assurance process used on the service involves the use of age estimation, the provider uses a challenge age approach; and
Oby.	 c) the <i>provider</i> periodically reviews whether the technical accuracy of the age assurance process for the service could be improved by making use of new technology and, where appropriate, makes changes to the age assurance process.
ICU B1.4	The robustness criterion is fulfilled if:
	a) the provider has:
	 i) taken steps to identify methods children use to circumvent the age assurance process used on the service to determine that the relevant individual is not a child; and ii) taken feasible and proportionate steps to prevent children using those methods; and

	 b) the provider has ensured that the age assurance measures forming part of the age assurance process for the service have been tested in multiple different environments during the development of the age assurance process; and c) the provider has identified, and taken appropriate steps to mitigate against, methods of circumvention that are easily accessible to children in the United Kingdom and where it is reasonable to assume that children in the United Kingdom may use them.
ICU B1.5	The reliability criterion is fulfilled if:
	 a) where <i>age assurance</i> measures forming part of the <i>age</i> assurance process rely on artificial intelligence or machine learning, the provider has taken steps to ensure that:
	 the artificial intelligence or machine learning has been suitably tested during the development of the age assurance process to ensure it produces reproducible results;
	 the artificial intelligence or machine learning is regularly tested to ensure it produces reproducible results;
	 the outputs of the artificial intelligence or machine learning used are monitored and assessed against key performance indicators designed to identify whether the artificial intelligence or machine learning produces reproducible results; and
	 in circumstances where the artificial intelligence or machine learning used are observed to be producing unreliable or unexpected results, the root cause of the issue is identified and rectified; and
	b) the provider has taken steps to ensure that any evidence relied upon as part of the age assurance process comes from a trustworthy source.
ICU B1.6	The fairness criterion is fulfilled if:
$O_{F_{\ell}}$	 a) the <i>provider</i> has ensured that any elements of the age assurance process for a service, which rely on artificial intelligence or machine learning have been tested and trained on data sets which reflect the diversity in the target population; and
	 b) the <i>provider</i> has ensured that any elements of the age assurance process which rely on artificial intelligence or machine learning have been evaluated against the outcome / error parity and the results indicate that the age assurance

26

outcomes.

process does not produce significant bias or discriminatory

ICU B1.7	The provider should have regard to the following when implementing highly effective age assurance on the service:
	 a) the principle that age assurance should be easy to use, including by children in the United Kingdom of different ages and with different needs;
	 b) the principle that age assurance should work effectively for all users regardless of their characteristics or whether they are members of a certain group;
	 c) the desirability of ensuring interoperability between different kinds of age assurance;
	 d) the age appropriate design code and the Information Commissioner's Opinion titled "Age Assurance for the Children's code" published on 18 January 2024.³
ICU B1.8	The provider should ensure that <i>users</i> are able to easily access information about what a provider's highly effective age assurance process is intended to do and how the provider's highly effective age assurance process works prior to commencing the highly effective age assurance process for the service.
ICU B1.9	The provider should not publish <i>content</i> that directs or encourages <i>United Kingdom users</i> to circumvent the highly effective age assurance process.

³ Information Commissioner's Opinion - Age Assurance for the Children's Code 27

C. Content moderation

ICU C1	Having a content moderation function to review
	and assess suspected illegal content

Application

ICU C1.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each service it provides.

Recommendation

- ICU C1.2 The provider should, as part of its **content moderation function**, have *systems and processes* designed to review and assess *content* the provider has reason to suspect may be *illegal content*.
- ICU C1.3 For this purpose, when the provider has reason to suspect that *content* may be *illegal content*, the provider should review the content and either:
 - a) make an illegal content judgement in relation to the content; or
 - b) where the provider is satisfied that its *terms of service* prohibit the type of *illegal content* which it has reason to suspect exist, consider whether the content is in breach of those *terms of service*.
- ICU C1.4This does not affect Recommendations ICU C9 (using hash matching to detect
and remove CSAM) and ICU C10 (detecting and removing content matching
listed CSAM URLs) (see ICU C9.4 and ICU C10.5 respectively).

Safeguards for freedom of expression and privacy

- ICU C1.5 The following measures are safeguards to protect *United Kingdom users*' right to freedom of expression and the privacy of *United Kingdom users*:
 - a) where they are applicable, Recommendations ICU C3, ICU C4, ICU C6, ICU C7 and ICU C8 (in relation to content moderation);
 - b) Recommendations ICU D1 and ICU D2, so far as they relate to content appeals or complaints by *United Kingdom users* and *affected persons* if they consider that the provider is not complying with its duties in relation to freedom of expression or privacy; and
 - c) Recommendations ICU D8 or ICU D9 (whichever is applicable) and ICU D10 (in relation to content appeals).

ICU C2 Having a content moderation function that allows for the swift take down of illegal content

Application

ICU C2.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each service it provides.

Recommendation

- ICU C2.2 The provider should, as part of its **content moderation function**, have systems and processes designed to swiftly take down *illegal content* and/or *illegal* **content proxy** of which it is aware, (see also ICU C1.2), unless it is currently not technically feasible for them to achieve this outcome.
- ICU C2.3 For this purpose, when the provider determines that:
 - a) the content is *illegal content* (pursuant to ICU C1.3(a)); or
 - b) the content is in breach of its terms of service (pursuant to ICU C1.3(b),

the provider should swiftly take the content down.

ICU C2.4This does not affect Recommendations ICU C9 (using hash matching to detect
and remove CSAM) and ICU C10 (detecting and removing content matching
listed CSAM URLs) (see ICU C9.4 and ICU C10.5 respectively).

Safeguards for freedom of expression and privacy

- ICU C2.5 The following measures are safeguards to protect *United Kingdom users*' right to freedom of expression and the privacy of *United Kingdom users*:
 - a) where they are applicable, Recommendations ICU C3, ICU C4, ICU C6, ICU C7 and ICU C8 (in relation to content moderation);
 - b) Recommendations ICU D1 and ICU D2, so far as they relate to content appeals or complaints by United Kingdom users and affected persons if they consider that the provider is not complying with its duties in relation to freedom of expression or privacy; and
 - c) Recommendations ICU D8 or ICU D9 (whichever is applicable) and ICU D10 (in relation to **content appeals**).

ICU C3 Setting internal content policies

Application

- ICU C3.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each *service* it provides that is either (or both) of the following:
 - a) a large service; or
 - b) a multi-risk service.

Recommendation

- ICU C3.2 The provider should set and record (but need not publish) internal content policies setting out rules, standards and guidelines around:
 - a) what *regulated user-generated content* is allowed on the service and what is not; and
 - b) how policies should be operationalised and enforced.
- ICU C3.3 The policies should be drafted in such a way that *illegal content* (where it is identifiable as such) is not permitted.
- ICU C3.4 The provider should:
 - a) have regard to the **risk assessment** of the service in setting these policies; and
 - b) have processes in place for updating these policies in response to evidence of new and increasing **illegal harm** on the service (as tracked in accordance with ICU A5.2).
- ICU C4 Performance targets

Application

- ICU C4.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each *service* it provides that is either (or both) of the following:
 - a) a large service; or
 - b) a multi-risk service.

- ICU C4.2 The provider should set and record performance targets for its **content moderation function**, covering at least:
 - a) the time period for taking relevant content moderation action; and
 - b) the accuracy of decision making.

- ICU C4.3 In setting its targets, the provider should balance the need to take **relevant content moderation action** swiftly against the importance of making accurate moderation decisions.
- ICU C4.4 The provider should effectively measure and monitor its performance against its performance targets.
- ICU C4.5 For the purpose of ICU C4.2 and ICU C4.3, "relevant content moderation action" refers to:
 - a) the action recommended by ICU C1.3 and ICU C2.3; or
 - b) to the extent that it is currently not technically feasible for the provider to take down content, the action recommended by ICU C1.3, so far as it relates to at least suspected CSEA content and suspected proscribed organisation content.

ICU C5 Prioritisation

Application

- ICU C5.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each *service* it provides that is either (or both) of the following:
 - a) a large service; or
 - b) a multi-risk service.

- ICU C5.2 The provider should prepare and apply a policy in respect of the prioritisation of *content* for review. In setting the policy, the provider should have regard to at least the following:
 - a) the desirability of minimising the number of *United Kingdom users* encountering a particular item of *illegal content*;
 - b) the severity of potential harm to United Kingdom users if they encounter illegal content on the service, including whether the content is suspected to be priority illegal content, the risk assessment of the service, and the potential harm to children; and
 - c) the likelihood that *content* is *illegal content*, including whether it has been reported by a **trusted flagger**.

ICU C6 Resourcing

Application

- ICU C6.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each *service* it provides that is either (or both) of the following:
 - a) a large service; or
 - b) a multi-risk service.

Recommendation

- ICU C6.2 The provider should resource its **content moderation function** so as to give effect to its internal content policies and performance targets having regard to at least:
 - a) the propensity for external events to lead to a significant increase in demand for content moderation on the service; and
 - b) the particular needs of its *United Kingdom user* base as identified in its **risk assessment**, in relation to languages.

ICU C7 Provision of training and materials to individuals working in content moderation (non-volunteers)

Application

- ICU C7.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each *service* it provides that is either (or both) of the following:
 - a) a large service; or
 - b) a multi-risk service.

- ICU C7.2 The provider should ensure individuals working in content moderation receive training and materials that enable them to fulfil their role in moderating *content* including in relation to Recommendations ICU C1 and ICU C2 and the internal content policies set in accordance with Recommendation ICU C3. This measure does not apply in relation to **volunteers**.
- ICU C7.3 The provider should ensure that in doing so:

- a) it has regard to at least the **risk assessment** of the service and evidence of new and increasing **illegal harm** on the service (as tracked in accordance with ICU A5.2); and
- b) where the provider identifies a gap in the understanding of individuals working in content moderation in relation to a specific kind of **illegal** harm, it gives training and materials to remedy this.

ICU C8 Provision of materials to volunteers

Application

- ICU C8.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each *service* it provides that is either (or both) of the following:
 - a) a large service; or
 - b) a multi-risk service.

Recommendation

- ICU C8.2The provider should ensure volunteers in its content moderation function have
access to materials that enable them to fulfil their role in moderating content
including in relation to Recommendations ICU C1 and ICU C2 and the internal
content policies set in accordance with Recommendation ICU C3.
- ICU C8.3 The provider should ensure that in doing so:
 - a) it has regard to at least the **risk assessment** of the service and evidence of new and increasing **illegal harm** on the service (as tracked in accordance with ICU A5.2); and
 - b) where the provider identifies a gap in such volunteers' understanding of a specific kind of **illegal harm**, it gives materials to remedy this.

ICU C9 Using hash matching to detect and remove CSAM

Application

- ICU C9.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each service it provides that:
 - a) is at high **risk** of <u>image-based CSAM</u>, and:
 - i) has more than 700,000 monthly **active United Kingdom users** (see paragraphs 5.7 to 5.10); or
 - ii) is a file-storage and file-sharing service; or
 - iii) the principal purpose of the **service** is the hosting or dissemination of **regulated pornographic content**; or

b) is a large service and is at medium or high risk of image-based CSAM.

Key definition

- ICU C9.2 In this Recommendation ICU C9 "relevant content" means:
 - a) any *regulated user-generated content* in the form of photographs, videos or visual material that:
 - i) may be *encountered* by *United Kingdom users* of the service by means of the service, and
 - ii) is communicated publicly⁴ by means of the service; or
 - b) any material which, if it were present on the service, would be content within sub-paragraph (a).

Recommendation

ICU C9.6

- ICU C9.3 The provider should ensure that, where technically feasible, **perceptual hash matching technology** is used effectively to analyse relevant content to assess whether it is **CSAM**.
- ICU C9.4 The provider should ensure that appropriate measures are taken to swiftly *take down* (or prevent from being generated, uploaded or shared) *detected content* that is **CSAM** (but see ICU C9.15 to ICU C9.18 in relation to the use of human moderators).
- ICU C9.5 For the purposes of ICU C9.3, the provider should ensure that:
 - a) all relevant content present on the service at the time the technology is implemented is analysed within a reasonable time; and
 - b) relevant content that is generated on, uploaded to or shared on the service (or that a user seeks to so generate, upload or share) after the technology is implemented is analysed before or as soon as practicable after it can be *encountered* by *United Kingdom users* of the service.

For the use of the **perceptual hash matching technology** to be effective, it should:

- a) use a suitable perceptual hash function to compare relevant content to an appropriate set of hashes (see ICU C9.7 to ICU C9.11); and
- b) be configured so that its performance strikes an appropriate balance between **precision** and **recall** (see ICU C9.12 to ICU C9.14).

⁴ Ofcom has published guidance on content communicated 'publicly' and 'privately' under the **Online Safety Act** for this purpose.

The set of hashes

ICU C9.7	For the set of hashes to be appropriate, it should include hashes of CSAM :
	 a) sourced from one or more persons with expertise in the identification of CSAM and who meet (in relation to the hashes in question) the other requirements set out in ICU C9.8 (but may also include other hashes of CSAM, such as CSAM identified by the service's content moderation function); b) that, taken together, reflects the range of material that is illegal under the criminal law of any part of the United Kingdom (including images of children that are indecent but may not show sexual activity).
ICU C9.8	The requirements are that the person has arrangements in place:
	 a) to identify suspected CSAM; b) to secure (so far as possible) that CSAM is correctly identified before hashes of that material are added to its database (such as assessment by persons with expertise in making such judgements);
	 c) which, in relation to identifying or assessing suspected CSAM, do not plainly discriminate on the basis of protected characteristics (within the meaning of Part 2 of the Equality Act 2010);⁵
	d) to regularly update its database with hashes of CSAM ; and
	 e) to review cases where material is suspected to have been incorrectly identified as CSAM, and remove such hashes from the database where appropriate;
	 f) to secure its database from unauthorised access, interference or exploitation (whether by persons who work for that person or are providing a service to that person, or any other person).
ICU C9.9	The provider should ensure that the latest versions of any databases sourced from a person in accordance with ICU C9.7 and ICU C9.8 are regularly obtained and then used for the purposes of ICU C9.3.
ICU C9.10	Where the set of hashes includes hashes of CSAM not sourced from a person in accordance with ICU C9.7 and ICU C9.8, the provider should also ensure that arrangements are in place in relation to those hashes:
	a) to secure (so far as possible) that CSAM is correctly identified before hashes of that material are added; and
	 b) to review cases where material is suspected to have been incorrectly identified as CSAM and remove such hashes where appropriate.
ICU C9.11	The provider should ensure an appropriate policy is put in place, and that measures are taken in accordance with that policy, to secure any hashes of CSAM held for the purposes of this Recommendation ICU C9 from unauthorised access, interference or exploitation (whether by persons who work for the provider or are providing a service to the provider, or any other person).

Technical configuration

- ICU C9.12 In configuring the technology so that its performance strikes an appropriate balance between **precision** and **recall**, the provider should ensure that the following matters are taken into account:
 - a) the service's risk of harm relating to <u>image-based CSAM</u>, reflecting the **risk assessment** of the service and any information reasonably available to the provider about the prevalence of relevant content that is **CSAM** on the service;
 - b) the proportion of **detected content** that is a **false positive**;
 - c) the effectiveness of the *systems and/or processes* used to identify false positives; and
 - d) the importance of minimising the reporting of **false positives** to the National Crime Agency or a foreign agency (within the meaning of Chapter 2 of Part 4 of the **Act**).
- ICU C9.13 The provider should ensure that the performance of the technology, and whether the balance between **precision** and **recall** continues to be appropriate, is reviewed at least every six months.
- ICU C9.14 The provider should ensure that a written record is made of how this balance has been struck in configuring the technology, including what information has been considered, and information about reviews and steps taken in response.

Use of human moderators

- ICU C9.15 The provider should ensure that a policy is put in place for review of **detected content**, and action is taken in accordance with that policy, which secures that human moderators review an appropriate proportion of content **detected** as **CSAM**.
- ICU C9.16 When deciding the policy for review of **detected content**, the provider should ensure that the following things are taken into account:
 - a) the principle that the resource dedicated to review of detected content should be proportionate to the degree of accuracy achieved by the perceptual hash matching technology in use and any associated systems and/or processes (as indicated by the periodic reviews of the performance of the technology mentioned in ICU C9.13, and also taking account of the outcomes of reviews of content carried out by human moderators and data from the service's complaints procedure enabling United Kingdom users to complain if content they have generated, uploaded or shared is taken down on the basis that it is illegal content);
 - b) the principle that content with a higher likelihood of being a **false positive** should be prioritised for review;
 - c) the importance of minimising the reporting of **false positives** to the National Crime Agency or a foreign agency (within the meaning of Chapter 2 of Part 4 of the **Act**).

- ICU C9.17 The provider should ensure that a written record is made of its policy for review of **detected content**, which sets out:
 - a) the proportion of **detected content** which is intended to be reviewed; and
 - b) information about how the things mentioned in ICU C9.16 were taken into account in deciding that policy.
- ICU C9.18 The provider should keep statistical records about content reviewed in accordance with that policy (including the number of reviews carried out, the proportion of **detected content** that this represents, and the number of **false positives** identified).

Safeguards for freedom of expression and privacy

- ICU C9.19Paragraphs ICU C9.6 to ICU C9.18 of this Recommendation ICU C9 are
safeguards to protect United Kingdom users' right to freedom of expression
and the privacy of United Kingdom users.
- ICU C9.20 The following measures are also safeguards to protect **United Kingdom users'** right to freedom of expression and the privacy of **United Kingdom users**:
 - a) where they are applicable, Recommendations ICU C3, ICU C4, ICU
 C6, ICU C7 and ICU C8 (in relation to content moderation);
 - Recommendations ICU D1 and ICU D2, so far as they relate to content appeals or complaints by United Kingdom users and affected persons if they consider that the provider is not complying with its duties in relation to freedom of expression or privacy;
 - c) Recommendations ICU D8 or ICU D9 (whichever is applicable) and
 ICU D10 (in relation to content appeals); and
 - d) Recommendation ICU G1 (terms of service: substance (all services)).

ICU C10 Detecting and removing content matching listed CSAM URLs

Application

ICU C10.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each service it provides that:

- a) has more than 700,000 monthly **active United Kingdom users** (see paragraphs 5.7 to 5.10) and is at high **risk** of <u>CSAM URLs</u>; or
- b) is a large service and is at medium or high risk of CSAM URLs.

Key definitions

ICU C10.2 In this Recommendation ICU C10:

"CSAM URL" means a **URL** at which **CSAM** is present, or a domain which is entirely or predominantly dedicated to **CSAM**;

"relevant content" means:

- a) any *regulated user-generated content* in the form of written material or messages (including hyperlinks) that:
 - i) may be *encountered* by *United Kingdom users* of the service by means of the service, and
 - ii) is communicated publicly⁶ by means of the service; or
- b) any material which, if it were present on the service, would be content within sub-paragraph (a).
- ICU C10.3 For the purpose of ICU C10.2, a domain is "entirely or predominantly dedicated" to **CSAM** if the *content* present at the domain, taken overall, entirely or predominantly comprises **CSAM** (such as indecent images of children) or *content* related to *CSEA content*).

Recommendation

- ICU C10.4 The provider should ensure that, where technically feasible, technology is used effectively to analyse relevant content to assess whether it consists of or includes content matching a listed CSAM URL.
- ICU C10.5 The provider should ensure that content **detected** as matching a listed CSAM URL is swiftly **taken down** (or prevented from being generated, uploaded or shared).
- ICU C10.6 For the purposes of ICU C10.4, the provider should ensure that:
 - all relevant content present on the service at the time the technology is implemented is analysed within a reasonable time; and
 - b) relevant content that is generated on, uploaded to or shared on the service (or that a user seeks to so generate, upload or share) after the technology is implemented is analysed before or as soon as practicable after it can be *encountered* by *United Kingdom users* of the service.

ICU C10.7 For the use of the technology to be effective, it should:

⁶ Ofcom has published guidance on content communicated 'publicly' and 'privately' under the Online Safety Act for this purpose.

- a) compare analysed content to one or more lists of CSAM URLs sourced from a person (or persons) with expertise in the identification of CSAM and who meets (in relation to the list) the requirements set out in ICU C10.8, and b) detect content as matching a listed CSAM URL where it is a direct match for a listed URL or is a URL that contains a listed domain (and for these purposes it does not matter whether the content includes an access protocol, such as "https://"). ICU C10.8 The requirements are that the person has arrangements in place: a) to identify **URLs** or domains suspected to be CSAM URLs; b) to secure (so far as possible) that suspected CSAM URLs are correctly identified before they are added to the list; c) which, in relation to identifying or assessing suspected CSAM URLs, do not plainly discriminate on the basis of protected characteristics (within the meaning of Part 2 of the Equality Act 2010); d) to regularly update the list with identified CSAM URLs; e) to regularly review listed CSAM URLs, and remove from the list any which are no longer CSAM URLs; and to secure the list from unauthorised access, interference or f) exploitation (whether by persons who work for that person, or by any other person). ICU C10.9 The provider should ensure that the latest version of any list or lists are regularly obtained and then used for the purposes of ICU C10.4. ICU C10.10 The provider should ensure that an appropriate policy is put in place, and that measures are taken in accordance with that policy, to secure any copy of a list held for the purposes of this Recommendation ICU C10 from unauthorised access, interference or exploitation (whether by persons who work for the provider or are providing a service to the provider, or any other person). Safeguards for freedom of expression and privacy ICU C10.11 The following elements of this Recommendation ICU C10 are safeguards to protect **United Kingdom users'** right to freedom of expression and the privacy of United Kingdom users: a) the arrangements referred to in sub-paragraphs (b), (e) and (f) of ICU C10.8; b) ICU C10.9 and ICU C10.10. ICU C10.12 The following measures are also safeguards to protect United Kingdom users' right to freedom of expression and the privacy of United Kingdom users:
 - Recommendations ICU D1 and ICU D2, so far as they relate to content appeals or complaints by United Kingdom users and affected persons if they consider that the provider is not complying with its duties in relation to freedom of expression or privacy;

- b) Recommendations ICU D8 or ICU D9 (whichever is applicable) and ICU D10 (in relation to **content appeals**); and
- c) Recommendation ICU G1 (terms of service: substance (all services)).

ICU C11 Assessing proactive technology for use to detect or support the detection of illegal content

Application

ICU C11.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each service it provides that:

- a) is a **large service** and is at medium or high **risk** of one or more of the **kinds of illegal harm** set out in ICU C11.2;
- b) has more than 700,000 monthly active United Kingdom users (see paragraphs 5.7 to 5.10) and is at high risk of one or more of the kinds of illegal harm set out in ICU C11.2;
- c) is at high **risk** of grooming; or
- d) is at high **risk** of <u>image-based CSAM</u>, and is a **file-storage and file-sharing service**.
- ICU C11.2 The kinds of illegal harm are:
 - a) image based CSAM;
 - b) <u>CSAM URLs;</u>
 - c) grooming;
 - d) <u>fraud (and financial services)</u>;
 - e) encouraging or assisting suicide (or attempted suicide).

Key definitions

- ICU C11.3
- "Proactive technology criteria" means the following criteria:
 - a) Use of high-quality data the *proactive technology* has been developed and tested using high-quality datasets appropriate to and reflecting a broad range of inputs relevant to the harm it is intended to **detect** (as identified in the service's **risk assessment**).
 - b) Addressing biases potential biases have been identified and addressed during the design and development process, and risks are appropriately managed and addressed throughout the *proactive technology's* lifecycle.
 - c) Evaluating performance the *proactive technology* has been evaluated using appropriate performance metrics and configured so that its performance strikes an appropriate balance between precision and recall.
 - d) Safeguards against misuse and exploitation safeguards are in place to identify and appropriately manage security threats and risks of exploitation and misuse, including through the use of access restrictions and system integrity protections.

- e) Contextual testing and evaluation the *proactive technology's* performance has been evaluated in real-world use cases relevant to the provider's content (having regard to the risk of harm to individuals identified in the service's risk assessment) and the results indicate it correctly detects the harm it is intended to detect. This includes testing for scalability, handling of different media types (where relevant), and whether the *proactive technology's* performance could be improved by layering with complementary approaches or (in the case of existing deployments) by updating to a more current version.
- f) Maintenance and ongoing monitoring mechanisms are in place to monitor and maintain the *proactive technology's* effectiveness over time, including processes for regular review and iterative adjustments to respond to emerging circumvention techniques, biases, or new content types.
- g) Human review policies and processes are in place for human review and action is taken in accordance with that policy, including the evaluation of outputs during development (where applicable), and the human review of an appropriate proportion of the outputs of the *proactive technology* during deployment. Outputs should be explainable to the extent necessary to support meaningful human judgement and accountability.
- h) Incorporating feedback feedback mechanisms are in place to maintain or improve performance over time. This includes updating the *proactive technology* with diverse and up-to-date datasets to reflect evolving trends or emerging types of *illegal content* and/or integrating ongoing feedback from users and individuals working in moderation into its development, while managing the risk of introducing additional bias.

ICU C11.4 For the purposes of ICU C11.3:

- a) in relation to the criteria at sub-paragraph (c) (evaluating performance), when configuring the technology so that it strikes an appropriate balance between precision and recall, the provider should ensure that the following matters are taken into account:
 -) the service's **risk** of **relevant harm(s)**, reflecting the **risk assessment** of the service and any information reasonably available to the provider about the prevalence of **target illegal content** on the service;
- ii) the proportion of **detected content** that is a **false positive**;
- iii) the effectiveness of the systems and/or processes used to identify false positives; and
- in connection with CSAM or grooming, the importance of minimising the reporting of false positives to the National Crime Agency (NCA) or a foreign agency; and
- b) in relation to the criteria at sub-paragraph (g) (human review), when determining what is an appropriate proportion of **detected content** to review by humans, the provider should ensure that the following matters are taken into account:

- the principle that the resource dedicated to review of detected content should be proportionate to the degree of accuracy achieved by the technology and any associated systems and processes;
- the principle that content with a higher likelihood of being a false positive should be prioritised for review; and
- iii) in the case of **CSAM** or grooming, the importance of minimising the reporting of **false positives** to the NCA or a foreign agency

ICU C11.5 In this Recommendation ICU C11:

"existing technology" means any existing *proactive technology* in use by the provider to **detect**, or support (through the analysis of **relevant inputs**) the **detection** of, a specific kind of **target illegal content** on the service.

"relevant content" means:

- a) any regulated user-generated content that:
 - i) may be *encountered* by *United Kingdom users* of the service by means of the service; and
- ii) is communicated publicly⁷ by means of the service; or
- b) any material which, if it were present on the service, would be content within sub-paragraph (a).

"relevant harms" means:

- a) where the service is a large service:
 - the specific kinds of illegal harm set out in ICU C11.2 (or ICU C12.2, where applicable) for which the service is at a medium risk or high risk; and
- ii) where the service is at a medium or high **risk** of <u>image-based</u> <u>CSAM</u> or <u>CSAM URLs</u>, this also includes **CSAM Discussion**; and
- b) where the service is not a large service:
 - the specific kinds of illegal harm set out in ICU C11.2 (or ICU C12.2, where applicable) for which the service is at a high risk; and
- ii) where the service is at a high **risk** of <u>image-based CSAM</u> or <u>CSAM URLs</u>, this also includes **CSAM Discussion**.

"target illegal content" means relevant content that either:

- a) amounts to an offence in relation to the relevant harms; or
- b) is **illegal content proxy**, where the provider is satisfied that its *terms of service* prohibit the **relevant harms**.

"relevant inputs" means relevant content, *user data*, or metadata relating to relevant content or *user data*.

⁷ Ofcom has published guidance on content communicated 'publicly' and 'privately' under the Online Safety Act.

- ICU C11.6 In relation to each kind of target illegal content, other than those for which the provider uses existing technology which meets all the proactive technology criteria (see ICU C12.6 and ICU C12.10(a)), the provider should assess whether any *proactive technology* to detect, or support (through the analysis of relevant inputs) the detection of, that content on the service meets all of the following conditions:
 - a) the *proactive technology* is available, either:
 - i) through development by the provider itself; or
 - ii) from a third party;
 - b) it is technically feasible to implement the *proactive technology* on the service; and
 - c) the *proactive technology* meets all the **proactive technology** criteria.
- ICU C11.7 The provider should ensure that in doing so it has regard to at least the **risk** assessment of the service, including:
 - a) the particular needs of its *United Kingdom user* base as identified in its **risk assessment**, in relation to languages; and
 - b) how the **relevant harms** manifest on the service.
- ICU C11.8 Where, in relation to a specific kind of target illegal content, the provider concludes that *proactive technology* meets all the conditions in ICU C11.6, the provider should:
 - a) use the *proactive technology* to **detect**, or support (through the analysis of **relevant inputs**) the **detection** of, that content on the service;
 - ensure the *proactive technology* continues to meet all the proactive technology criteria; and
 - assess the *proactive technology* in accordance with ICU C12.4, at least every twelve months, or earlier where there is a change to any aspect of the service's design or operation which would amount to a significant change and therefore trigger a risk assessment under section 9(4) of the Act.
- ICU C11.9 For the purposes of ICU 11.8(a), the provider should ensure that **relevant inputs** that are generated on, uploaded to or shared on the service (or that a user seeks to so generate, upload or share) after the **technology** is implemented are analysed before or as soon as practicable after **relevant content** can be **encountered** by **United Kingdom users** of the service.
- ICU C11.10 For the purposes of **detecting** or supporting the **detection** of **target illegal content** in relation to <u>image-based CSAM</u>, <u>CSAM URLs</u> and/or <u>grooming</u> in accordance with ICU 11.8(a) (where applicable, because such **kinds of illegal**

harm are relevant harms), the provider should analyse all relevant content present on the service at the time the technology is implemented within a reasonable time.

- ICU C11.11Where proactive technology detects, or supports the detection of, targetillegal content in accordance with ICU C11.8(a), the provider should treat thisas reason to suspect that the content may be illegal content and review thecontent in accordance with Recommendation ICU C1.3.
- ICU C11.12 Where, in relation to a specific kind of target illegal content, the provider concludes that *proactive technology* does not meet all the conditions in ICU C11.6, the provider should:
 - a) make and keep a written record of the *proactive technology* (or *proactive technologies*) it assessed in accordance with ICU C11.6 and the reasons for reaching that conclusion; and
 - b) repeat the assessment in accordance with ICU C11.6, at least every twelve months, or earlier where there is a change to any aspect of the service's design or operation which would amount to a significant change and therefore trigger a risk assessment under section 9(4) of the Act.
- ICU C11.13 This does not affect Recommendations ICU C9 (using hash matching to detect and remove CSAM) and ICU C10 (detecting and removing content matching listed CSAM URLs).

Safeguards for freedom of expression and privacy

- ICU C11.14Paragraphs ICU C11.3, ICU C11.4, ICU C11.6 to ICU C11.8, ICU C11.11 and ICU
C11.12 of this Recommendation ICU C11 are safeguards to protect United
Kingdom users' right to freedom of expression and the privacy of United
Kingdom users.
- ICU C11.15 The following measures are also safeguards to protect *United Kingdom users'* right to freedom of expression and the privacy of *United Kingdom users*:
 - a) Recommendations ICU C1 and ICU C2, and where they are applicable, Recommendations ICU C3, ICU C4, ICU C5, ICU C6, ICU C7 and ICU C8 (in relation to content moderation);
 - b) Recommendations ICU D1 and ICU D2, so far as they relate to content appeals or complaints by *United Kingdom users* and *affected persons* if they consider that the provider is not complying with its duties in relation to freedom of expression or privacy;
 - Recommendations ICU D7 and ICU D8 or ICU D9 (whichever is applicable), ICU D10 (in relation to content appeals), ICU D11 and ICU D12; and

d) Recommendation ICU G1 (terms of service: substance (all services)).

ICU C12 Assessing existing proactive technology for use to detect or support the detection of illegal content

Application

- ICU C12.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each service it provides that meets both of the following conditions:
 - a) the **service**:
 - i) is a **large service** and is at medium or high **risk** of one or more of the following of the **kinds of illegal harm** set out in ICU C12.2;
 - has more than 700,000 monthly active United Kingdom users (see paragraphs 5.7 to 5.10) and is at high risk of one or more of the kinds of illegal harm set out in ICU C12.2;
 - iii) is at high **risk** of grooming; or
 - iv) is at high **risk** of <u>image-based CSAM</u>, and is a **file-storage and file-sharing service**; and
 - b) the service uses proactive technology to detect, or support (through the analysis of relevant inputs) the detection of, target illegal content on the service.

ICU C12.2 The kinds of illegal harm are:

- a) Image-based CSAM;
- b) <u>CSAM URLs;</u>
- c) grooming;
- d) fraud (and financial services);
- e) encouraging or assisting suicide (or attempted suicide).

Key definitions

ICU C12.3

In this Recommendation ICU C12:

"existing technology", "relevant content", "relevant harms", "target illegal content", and "relevant inputs" have the meanings set out in Recommendation ICU C11.5.

Recommendation

ICU C12.4 In relation to each kind of target illegal content for which the provider uses existing technology, the provider should assess whether the existing technology meets all the proactive technology criteria.

- ICU C12.5 The provider should ensure that in doing so it has regard to at least the **risk** assessment of the service, including:
 - a) the particular needs of its *United Kingdom user* base as identified in its **risk assessment**, in relation to languages; and
 - b) how the **relevant harms** manifest on the service.
- ICU C12.6 Where, in relation to a specific kind of target illegal content, the provider concludes that existing technology meets all the proactive technology criteria, the provider should:
 - a) continue to use the existing technology to detect, or support (through the analysis of relevant inputs) the detection of, such content on the service;
 - b) ensure the **existing technology** continues to meet all the **proactive technology criteria**; and
 - c) assess the existing technology in accordance with ICU C12.4 at least every twelve months or earlier where there is a change to any aspect of the service's design or operation which would amount to a significant change and therefore trigger a risk assessment under section 9(4) of the Act.
- ICU C12.7 For the purposes of ICU 12.6(a), the provider should ensure that **relevant inputs** that are generated on, uploaded to or shared on the service (or that a user seeks to so generate, upload or share) after an assessment has been made in accordance with ICU C12.4 are analysed before or as soon as practicable after **relevant content** can be **encountered** by **United Kingdom users** of the service.
- ICU C12.8 For the purposes of **detecting** or supporting the **detection** of **target illegal content** in relation to <u>image-based CSAM</u>, <u>CSAM URLs</u> and/or <u>grooming</u> in accordance with ICU 12.6(a) (where applicable because such **kinds of illegal harm** are **relevant harms**), the provider should analyse all **relevant content** present on the service at the time an assessment is made in accordance with ICU C12.4 within a reasonable time.
- ICU C12.9 Where the existing technology detects, or supports the detection of, target illegal content in accordance with ICU C12.6(a), the provider should treat this as reason to suspect that the *content* may be *illegal content* and review the content in accordance with Recommendation ICU C1.3.
- ICU C12.10 Where, in relation to a specific kind of target illegal content, the provider concludes that the existing technology does not meet all the proactive technology criteria, the provider should either:
 - a) take steps within a reasonable time to ensure that the existing technology meets all the proactive technology criteria in relation to that specific kind of target illegal content and then take the steps set out in ICU C12.6; or

- b) take the steps set out in Recommendation ICU C11.
- ICU C12.11 The provider should make and keep a written **record** of:
 - a) the conclusion of the assessment made in accordance with ICU C12.4, including which of the proactive technology criteria were met or not (as the case may be);
 - b) any steps taken in accordance with ICU C12.10(a) or (b); and
 - c) where applicable, evidence that the **existing technology** meets the **proactive technology criteria** (including after any steps are taken in accordance with ICU C12.10(a)).
- ICU C12.12 This does not affect Recommendations ICU C9 (using hash matching to detect and remove CSAM) and ICU C10 (detecting and removing content matching listed CSAM URLs).

Safeguards for freedom of expression and privacy

- ICU C12.13 Paragraphs ICU C11.3 and ICU C11.4 of Recommendation ICU C11 and paragraphs ICU C12.4 to ICU C12.6 and ICU C12.9 to ICU C12.11 of this Recommendation ICU C12 are safeguards to protect *United Kingdom users'* right to freedom of expression and the privacy of *United Kingdom users*.
- ICU C12.14 The following measures are also safeguards to protect *United Kingdom users'* right to freedom of expression and the privacy of *United Kingdom users*:
 - a) Recommendations ICU C1 and ICU C2, and where they are applicable, Recommendations ICU C3, ICU C4, ICU C5, ICU C6, ICU C7 and ICU C8 (in relation to content moderation);
 - b) Recommendations ICU D1 and ICU D2, so far as they relate to content appeals or complaints by United Kingdom users and affected persons if they consider that the provider is not complying with its duties in relation to freedom of expression or privacy;
 - c) Recommendations ICU D7 and ICU D8 or ICU D9 (whichever is applicable), ICU D10 (in relation to content appeals), ICU D11 and ICU D12; and
 - d) Recommendation ICU G1 (terms of service: substance (all services)).

ICU C13 Using hash matching to detect terrorism content

Application

ICU C13.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each *service* it provides that enables *regulated user-generated content* in the form of photographs, videos or visual images (whether or not combined with written material) to be generated, uploaded or shared, and:

- a) is at high **risk** of **terrorism content**, and:
 - i) has more than 700,000 monthly active United Kingdom users (see paragraphs 5.7 to 5.10); or
 - ii) is a file-storage and file-sharing service; or
- b) is a large service and is at medium or high risk of terrorism content.

Key definition

- ICU C13.2 In this Recommendation ICU C13 "relevant content" means:
 - a) any *regulated user-generated content* in the form of photographs, videos or visual images (whether or not combined with written material) that:
 - may be *encountered* by *United Kingdom users* of the service by means of the service, and
 - ii) is communicated publicly⁸ by means of the service; or
 - b) any material which, if it were present on the service, would be content within sub-paragraph (a).

Recommendation

ICU C13.3 The provider should ensure that, where technically feasible, **perceptual hash matching technology** is used effectively to analyse relevant content to assess whether it is *terrorism content*.

ICU C13.4 The provider should:

- a) where relevant content is **detected**, treat this as reason to suspect that the *content* may be *illegal content* and review the *content* in accordance with Recommendation ICU C1; and
- b) ensure that the *systems and processes* referred to in ICU C1.2 are operated to ensure human moderators review and assess an appropriate proportion of **detected content**, having regard to:
 - the degree of accuracy achieved by automated systems and/or processes in use for the purposes of ICU C1.2, if any (taking account of data from the service's complaints procedure enabling United Kingdom users to complain if content they have generated, uploaded or shared is taken down on the basis that it is illegal content and/or illegal content proxy);
 - the principle that content with a higher likelihood of being a false positive should be prioritised for review; and
 - the importance of understanding the purpose, meaning and context of **detected content** when determining whether it is *terrorism content*.

ICU C13.5 For the purposes of ICU C13.3, the provider should ensure that:

⁸ Ofcom has published guidance on content communicated 'publicly' and 'privately' under the Online Safety Act for this purpose.

- all relevant content present on the service at the time the technology is implemented is analysed within a reasonable time; and
- b) relevant content that is generated on, uploaded to or shared on the service (or that a user seeks to so generate, upload or share) after the technology is implemented is analysed before or as soon as practicable after it can be *encountered* by *United Kingdom users* of the service.

ICU C13.6 For the use of **perceptual hash matching technology** to be effective, it should:

- a) use a suitable perceptual hash function to compare relevant content to an appropriate set of hashes (see ICU C13.7 to ICU C13.11); and
- b) be configured so that its performance strikes an appropriate balance between precision and recall (see ICU C13.12 to ICU C13.14).

The set of hashes

- ICU C13.7 For the set of hashes to be appropriate:
 - a) it should include hashes of *terrorism content* sourced from either (or both) of the following:
 - i) *terrorism content* identified by the provider's own content moderation function; or
 - ii) one or more persons with expertise in the identification of terrorism content and who meet (in relation to the hashes in question) the other requirements set out in ICU C13.8;
 - b) it may also include other hashes of *terrorism content*; and
 - c) taken together, the set of hashes should reflect an appropriate range of content amounting to <u>terrorism</u> having regard to the **risk assessment** of the service and any information reasonably available to the provider about relevant content that is *terrorism content* on the service.

ICU C13.8 The requirements are that the person has arrangements in place:

- a) to identify suspected *terrorism content*;
- b) to secure (so far as possible) that *terrorism content* is correctly identified before hashes of that material are added to its database (such as assessment by persons with expertise in making such judgements);
- c) which, in relation to identifying or assessing suspected *terrorism content*, do not plainly discriminate on the basis of protected
 characteristics (within the meaning of Part 2 of the Equality Act 2010);⁹
- d) to regularly update its database with hashes of *terrorism content*;

⁹ 2010 c. 15.

- e) to review cases where material is suspected to have been incorrectly identified as *terrorism content*, and remove such hashes from the database where appropriate;
- f) to secure its database from unauthorised access, interference or exploitation (whether by persons who work for that person or are providing a service to that person, or any other person).
- ICU C13.9 The provider should ensure that where the set of hashes includes hashes of *terrorism content* sourced from a person in accordance with ICU C13.7(a)(ii) and ICU C13.8, the latest versions of any databases sourced are regularly obtained and then used for the purposes of ICU C13.3.
- ICU C13.10 Where the set of hashes includes hashes of *terrorism content* not sourced from a person in accordance with ICU C13.7(a)(ii) and ICU C13.8, the provider should also ensure that arrangements are in place in relation to those hashes:
 - a) to secure (so far as possible) that *terrorism content* is correctly identified before hashes of that material are added;
 - b) which, in relation to identifying or assessing suspected *terrorism content*, do not plainly discriminate on the basis of protected characteristics (within the meaning of Part 2 of the Equality Act 2010);¹⁰
 - c) to regularly update the set of hashes with hashes of *terrorism content* identified by its own *content moderation function* and (where appropriate) other hashes of *terrorism content*; and
 - d) to review cases where material is suspected to have been incorrectly identified as *terrorism content* and remove such hashes where appropriate.
- ICU C13.11 The provider should ensure an appropriate policy is put in place, and that measures are taken in accordance with that policy, to secure any hashes of *terrorism content* held for the purposes of this Recommendation ICU C13 from unauthorised access, interference or exploitation (whether by persons who work for the provider or are providing a service to the provider, or any other person).

Technical configuration

ICU C13.12

In configuring the technology so that its performance strikes an appropriate balance between **precision** and **recall**, the provider should ensure that the following matters are taken into account:

- a) the service's risk of harm relating to <u>terrorism</u>, reflecting the **risk** assessment of the service and any information reasonably available to the provider about the prevalence of relevant content that is *terrorism content* on the service;
- b) the proportion of **detected content** that is a **false positive**; and

c) the effectiveness of the *systems and/or processes* used to identify false positives.

- ICU C13.13 The provider should ensure that the performance of the technology, and whether the balance between **precision** and **recall** continues to be appropriate, is reviewed at least every six months.
- ICU C13.14 The provider should ensure that a written record is made of how this balance has been struck in configuring the technology, including what information has been considered, and information about reviews and steps taken in response.

Safeguards for freedom of expression and privacy

- ICU C13.15 Paragraphs ICU C13.6 to ICU C13.14 of this Recommendation ICU C13 are safeguards to protect *United Kingdom users'* right to freedom of expression and the privacy of *United Kingdom users*.
- ICU C13.16 The following measures are also safeguards to protect *United Kingdom users'* right to freedom of expression and the privacy of *United Kingdom users*:
 - a) Recommendations ICU C1 and ICU C2, and where they are applicable, Recommendations ICU C3, ICU C4, ICU C6, ICU C7 and ICU C8 (in relation to content moderation);
 - Recommendations ICU D1 and ICU D2, so far as they relate to content appeals or complaints by *United Kingdom users* and *affected persons* if they consider that the provider is not complying with its duties in relation to freedom of expression or privacy;
 - Recommendations ICU D8 or ICU D9 (whichever is applicable), ICU D10 (in relation to content appeals) and ICU D12; and
 - d) Recommendation ICU G1 (terms of service: substance (all services)).

ICU C14 Using hash matching to detect intimate image abuse content

Application

ICU C14.1

This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each **service** it provides that enables *regulated user-generated content* in the form of photographs, videos or visual images (whether or not combined with written material) to be generated, uploaded or shared, and:

- a) is at high **risk** of <u>intimate image abuse</u>, and:
 - the principal purpose of the service is the hosting or dissemination of regulated pornographic content;
- ii) has more than 700,000 monthly **active United Kingdom users** (see paragraphs 5.7 to 5.10); or
- iii) is a file-storage and file-sharing service; or

b) is a **large service** and is at medium or high **risk** of <u>intimate image</u> <u>abuse</u>.

Key definition

- ICU C14.2 In this Recommendation ICU C14 "relevant content" means:
 - a) any *regulated user-generated content* in the form of photographs, videos or visual images (whether or not combined with written material) that:
 - i) may be *encountered* by *United Kingdom users* of the service by means of the service, and
 - ii) is communicated publicly¹¹ by means of the service; or
 - b) any material which, if it were present on the service, would be content within sub-paragraph (a).

- ICU C14.3 The provider should ensure that, where technically feasible, **perceptual hash matching technology** is used effectively to analyse relevant content to assess whether it is **intimate image abuse content**.
- ICU C14.4 The provider should:
 - a) where relevant content is **detected content**, treat this as reason to suspect that the *content* may be *illegal content* and review the *content* in accordance with Recommendation ICU C1; and
 - b) ensure that the *systems and processes* referred to in ICU C1.2 are operated to ensure human moderators review and assess an appropriate proportion of **detected content**, having regard to:
 -) the degree of accuracy achieved by automated *systems and/or processes* in use for the purposes of ICU C1.2, if any (taking account of data from the service's complaints procedure enabling *United Kingdom users* to complain if content they have generated, uploaded or shared is *taken down* on the basis that it is *illegal content* and/or *illegal content proxy*);
 - the principle that content with a higher likelihood of being a false positive should be prioritised for review; and
 - the importance of understanding the purpose and context of detected content when determining whether it is intimate image abuse content.
- ICU C14.5 For the purposes of ICU C14.3, the provider should ensure that:
 - all relevant content present on the service at the time the technology is implemented is analysed within a reasonable time; and

¹¹ Ofcom has published guidance on content communicated 'publicly' and 'privately' under the Online Safety Act for this purpose.

- b) relevant content that is generated on, uploaded to or shared on the service (or that a user seeks to so generate, upload or share) after the technology is implemented is analysed before or as soon as practicable after it can be *encountered* by *United Kingdom users* of the service.
- ICU C14.6 For the use of **perceptual hash matching technology** to be effective, it should:
 - a) use a suitable perceptual hash function to compare relevant content to an appropriate set of hashes (see ICU C14.7 to ICU C14.11); and
 - b) be configured so that its performance strikes an appropriate balance between precision and recall (see ICU C14.12 to ICU C14.14).

The set of hashes

- ICU C14.7 For the set of hashes to be appropriate, it should include hashes of **intimate image abuse content** that meet the requirements set out in ICU C14.9:
 - a) for a service of the kind referred to in ICU C14.1(a)(i) or (ii), sourced from one or more persons who maintain a database of hashes of intimate image abuse content (whether or not the hashes are verified to be intimate image abuse content);
 - b) otherwise, sourced from either (or both) of the following:
 - i) one or more persons who maintain a database of hashes of intimate image abuse content (whether or not the hashes are verified to be intimate image abuse content); or
 - ii) the provider's own database of hashes of **intimate image abuse content** (see ICU C14.8).
- ICU C14.8 Where the set of hashes includes hashes of intimate image abuse content sourced from the provider's own database in accordance with ICU C14.7(b)(ii), the provider should ensure that:
 - a) The hashes include either (or both):
 - i) hashes of **intimate image abuse content** identified by the provider's **content moderation function**; or
 - hashes of intimate image abuse content from a database of the kind referred to in ICU C14.7(b)(i); and
 - b) it has arrangements in place to review cases where material is suspected to have been incorrectly identified as intimate image abuse content, and remove such hashes from the database where appropriate.
- ICU C14.9 The requirements are that the provider or the person(s) maintaining the database has arrangements in place:
 - a) to regularly update its database with hashes of **intimate image abuse content**; and

- b) to secure its database from unauthorised access, interference or exploitation (whether by persons who work for that person or are providing a service to that person, or any other person).
- ICU C14.10 The provider should ensure that where the set of hashes includes hashes of intimate image abuse content sourced from a person in accordance with ICU C14.7(a) or (b)(i) and ICU C14.9, the latest versions of any databases sourced are regularly obtained and then used for the purposes of ICU C14.3.
- ICU C14.11 The provider should ensure an appropriate policy is put in place, and that measures are taken in accordance with that policy, to secure any hashes of **intimate image abuse content** held for the purposes of this Recommendation ICU C14 from unauthorised access, interference or exploitation (whether by persons who work for the provider or are providing a service to the provider, or any other person).

Technical configuration

- ICU C14.12 In configuring the technology so that its performance strikes an appropriate balance between **precision** and **recall**, the provider should ensure that the following matters are taken into account:
 - a) the service's risk of harm relating to <u>intimate image abuse</u>, reflecting the risk assessment of the service and any information reasonably available to the provider about the prevalence of relevant content that is **intimate image abuse content** on the service;
 - b) the proportion of **detected content** that is a false positive; and
 - c) the effectiveness of the *systems and/or processes* used to identify false positives.
- ICU C14.13 The provider should ensure that the performance of the technology, and whether the balance between **precision** and **recall** continues to be appropriate, is reviewed at least every six months.
- ICU C14.14 The provider should ensure that a written record is made of how this balance has been struck in configuring the technology, including what information has been considered, and information about reviews and steps taken in response.

Safeguards for freedom of expression and privacy

- ICU C14.15 Paragraphs ICU C14.6 to ICU C14.14 of this Recommendation ICU C14 are safeguards to protect *United Kingdom users'* right to freedom of expression and the privacy of *United Kingdom users*.
- ICU C14.16 The following measures are also safeguards to protect *United Kingdom users'* right to freedom of expression and the privacy of *United Kingdom users*:

- a) Recommendations ICU C1 and ICU C2, and where they are applicable, Recommendations ICU C3, ICU C4, ICU C6, ICU C7 and ICU C8 (in relation to content moderation);
- Recommendations ICU D1 and ICU D2, so far as they relate to content appeals or complaints by *United Kingdom users* and *affected persons* if they consider that the provider is not complying with its duties in relation to freedom of expression or privacy;
- c) Recommendations ICU D8 or ICU D9 (whichever is applicable), ICU D10 (in relation to **content appeals**) and ICU D12; and
- d) Recommendation ICU G1 (terms of service: substance (all services)).

ICU C15 Crisis response

Application

- ICU C15.1 These measures apply to a *provider* in respect of each service it provides that is:
 - a) a large service at medium risk or
 - b) a service at high **risk**

of one or more of the following kinds of illegal harm:

- i) <u>terrorism</u>;
- ii) <u>hate</u>;
- iii) harassment, stalking, threats and abuse; and
- iv) the foreign interference offence,

except for Recommendation C15.5, which only applies to a **large service** at medium **risk**, or a **large service** at high **risk**, of the **kinds of illegal harm** set out at C15.1(b).

Key definitions

ICU C15.2

In this Recommendation ICU C15:

"crisis" means an extraordinary situation in which there is a serious threat to public safety in the United Kingdom:

- a) as a result of a significant increase in relevant illegal content on the service; and/or
- b) which has caused or is highly likely to cause a significant increase in relevant illegal content on the service.

"relevant illegal content" means content associated with the following **kinds of illegal harm**:

- a) <u>terrorism;</u>
- b) <u>hate;</u>
- c) harassment, stalking, threats and abuse; and

d) the foreign interference offence.

- ICU C15.3 The provider should prepare and apply (but need not publish) an internal crisis response protocol setting out how the provider will identify and respond to a crisis, including addressing the risk of an increase in relevant illegal content on the service during a crisis and, where relevant, mitigating and managing the risk of the service being used for the commission or facilitation of a priority offence.
- ICU C15.4 The provider's crisis response protocol should include, but need not be limited to, the following:
 - a) Indicators, identified by the provider, that the provider will regularly monitor to determine whether a crisis is occurring or is likely to occur;
 - b) how the provider will monitor the indicators referred to in paragraph a);
 - c) how the provider will keep the indicators referred to in paragraph
 a) under regular review to ensure they remain the relevant
 indicators to use in the crisis response protocol;
 - d) details of a crisis response team, constituted of representatives from relevant internal teams and including individuals of sufficient seniority, that the provider will deploy in the event that the provider identifies that a crisis is occurring or is likely to occur;
 - e) how the provider will deploy the crisis response team referred to in paragraph d) in the event that the provider identifies that a crisis is occurring or is likely to occur;
 - f) systems and/or processes identified by the provider to address the risk of an increase in relevant illegal content on the service during a crisis; and
 - g) how the provider will deploy the systems and/or processes referred to in paragraph f).
- ICU C15.5 In the case of a provider of a large service at medium or high risk of the kinds of illegal harm set out at C15.1(b), the provider should ensure there is a dedicated channel for law enforcement to contact them on matters related to the crisis.
- ICU C15.6 Where the provider determines that any of the indicators referred to in ICU C15.4 no longer remain relevant, or where new indicators are identified or existing indicators require updating, it should update its crisis response protocol accordingly.

- ICU C15.7 When the provider determines that the crisis has ended, or 90 days after the crisis began, if earlier, the provider should conduct and record (but need not publish) a post-crisis analysis assessing whether the crisis response protocol remains appropriate for addressing the risk of an increase in relevant illegal content on the service during a crisis. The provider should then use the post-crisis analysis to make changes to:
 - a) the protocol; and

b) to the service, for example its terms or service, any proactive technology that the service uses, or its content moderation processes,

as needed, to address any identified deficiencies.

Safeguards for freedom of expression and privacy

- ICU C15.8 The following measures are safeguards to protect *United Kingdom users'* rights to freedom of expression and the privacy of *United Kingdom users*:
 - a) where they are applicable, Recommendations ICU C3, ICU C4, ICU C5, ICU C6, ICU C7, and ICU C8;
 - b) Recommendations ICU D1 and ICU D2; and
 - c) Recommendations ICU D8 or ICU D9 (whichever is applicable) and ICU D10.

ICU C16 Availability of non-volunteer human moderators for livestreaming

Application

ICU C16.1

This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each service that it provides that meets both of the following conditions:

- a) The service has **one-to-many livestreaming;** and
- b) The service is at medium or high **risk** of one or more of the following **kinds of illegal harm**:
 - i. <u>terrorism</u>;
 - ii. grooming;
 - iii. image-based CSAM;
 - iv. encouraging or assisting suicide (or attempted suicide);
 - v. hate;
 - vi. animal cruelty; and
 - vii. harassment, stalking, threats, and abuse offences.

Recommendation

ICU C16.2 The provider should ensure that human moderators (but not **volunteers**) are available at all times that **one-to-many livestreaming** is available to **users** on the service.

Safeguards for freedom of expression and privacy

- ICU C16.3 The following measures are safeguards to protect *United Kingdom users'* right to freedom of expression and the privacy of *United Kingdom users*:
 - a) Where they are applicable, Recommendations ICU C3, ICU C4, ICU C6, ICU C7 and ICU C8 (in relation to content moderation);
 - b) Recommendations ICU D1 and ICU D2, so far as they relate to **content appeals** or complaints by **United Kingdom users** and **affected persons** if they consider that the provider is not complying with its duties in relation to freedom of expression or privacy; and
 - c) Recommendations ICU D8 or ICU D9 (whichever is applicable) and D10 (in relation to **content appeals**).

D. Reporting and complaints

ICU D1 Enabling complaints

Application

ICU D1.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each *service* it provides.

Recommendation

ICU D1.2 The provider should have *systems and processes* which enable **prospective complainants** to make each type of **relevant complaint** in a way which will secure that the provider will take appropriate action in relation to them.

ICU D2 Having easy to find, easy to access and easy to use complaints systems and processes

Application

ICU D2.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each service it provides.

Recommendation

- ICU D2.2 The *systems and processes* referred to in ICU D1.2 should be operated to ensure that:
 - a) for relevant complaints regarding a specific piece of content, a reporting function or tool is clearly accessible in relation to that content;
 - b) processes for making other kinds of **relevant complaints** are easy to find and easily accessible;
 - c) they are designed so that they only include reasonably necessary steps; and
 - d) it is possible when making **relevant complaints** to give the provider supporting information.

ICU D2.3 In designing the *systems and processes* referred to in ICU D1.2, including its reporting tool or function, the provider should consider the accessibility needs of its *United Kingdom user* base having regard to:

- a) the groups of people its **risk assessment** has identified as using the service;
- b) in the case of a service that is *likely to be accessed by children*, the service's children's risk assessment;

- c) other relevant information the provider holds on its **United Kingdom user** base;
- d) industry standards and good practice as to the design of the service, to ensure the reporting and complaints process is accessible to disabled people; and
- e) comprehensibility, based on the likely reading age of the youngest individual permitted to use the service without the consent of a parent or guardian.
- ICU D2.4 For the purposes of ICU D2.3(d), the *systems and processes* referred to in ICU D1.2 should be designed for the purposes of ensuring usability for those dependent on assistive technologies including:
 - a) keyboard navigation; and
 - b) screen reading technology.

ICU D3 Provision of information prior to the submission of a complaint

Application

- ICU D3.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each *service* that is *likely to be accessed by children* it provides that is either (or both) of the following:
 - a) a large service; or
 - b) at medium or high risk of any kind of illegal harm.

Recommendation

- ICU D3.2 The provider should ensure that the reporting function or tool for **relevant complaints** regarding a specific piece of **content** enables **prospective complainants** to easily access information on the following matters prior to the submission of a complaint:
 - a) whether the provider discloses (either routinely, upon request or otherwise) the fact that a complaint relating to specific *content* has been submitted to:
 - i) the *user* that generated, uploaded or shared the *content* complained about; or
 - ii) any *user* other than the complainant;

and, if so,

- iii) the circumstances in which the provider makes the relevant disclosure; and
- iv) the information disclosed about the complaint and the person that submitted the complaint; and

 b) the information about the complaint, and the person that submitted the complaint, that the provider discloses to a person bringing a relevant complaint which is a content appeal.

ICU D4 Appropriate action – sending indicative timeframes

Application

- ICU D4.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each service it provides that is either (or both) of the following:
 - a) a large service; or
 - b) at medium or high risk of any kind of illegal harm.

Recommendation

- ICU D4.2 The provider should acknowledge receipt of each **relevant complaint** and provide the **complainant** with an indicative timeframe for deciding the complaint.
- ICU D4.3 ICU D4.2 does not apply if:
 - a) the provider's acknowledgement is non-ephemeral; and
 - b) the **complainant** has opted out from receiving non-ephemeral communications in relation to their complaint.

ICU D5 Appropriate action – sending further information about how the complaint will be handled

Application

ICU D5.1

This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each service that is *likely to be accessed by children* it provides that is either (or both) of the following:

- a) a large service; or
- b) at medium or high **risk** of any **kind of illegal harm**.

- ICU D5.2 In the acknowledgment of receipt of each **relevant complaint**, referred to in Recommendation ICU D4, the provider should set out:
 - a) the possible outcomes; and

 b) confirmation of whether the provider will inform the complainant of its decision whether to uphold the complaint and details of any action taken as a result.

ICU D6 Opt-out from communications following a complaint

Application

- ICU D6.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each service it provides that is either (or both) of the following:
 - a) a large service; or
 - b) at medium or high risk of any kind of illegal harm.

Recommendation

ICU D6.2 The provider should enable the **complainant** to opt out of receiving any non-ephemeral communications in relation to a **relevant complaint**.

ICU D7 Appropriate action for relevant complaints about suspected illegal content

Application

ICU D7.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each service it provides.

Recommendation

- ICU D7.2 When the provider receives a **relevant complaint** about *content* which may be *illegal content*:
 - a) it should treat the complaint as reason to suspect that the *content* may be *illegal content*, and review the *content* in accordance with ICU C1.3; and

if Recommendations ICU C4 and ICU C5 are not applicable to the provider, it should consider the complaint promptly.

ICU D7.3 ICU D7.2 does not apply to a complaint identified as manifestly unfounded in accordance with ICU D13.2.

ICU D8 Appropriate action for relevant complaints which are content appeals – determination (large or multi-risk services)

Application

- ICU D8.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each *service* it provides that is either (or both) of the following:
 - a) a large service; or
 - b) a multi-risk service.

Recommendation

- ICU D8.2 The provider should determine **relevant complaints** which are **content appeals**.
- ICU D8.3 The provider should, as a minimum, monitor its performance against performance targets relating to the following:
 - a) the time it takes to determine the content appeal; and
 - b) the accuracy of decision making,

and should resource itself so as to give effect to those targets.

- ICU D8.4 The provider should have regard to the following matters in determining what priority to give to review of a **relevant complaint** which is an **content appeal**:
 - a) the seriousness of the action taken against the *user* or in relation to the *content* (or both) as a result of the decision that the *content* was *illegal content* or *illegal content proxy*;
 - b) whether the decision that the *content* was *illegal content* or illegal content proxy was made by *content identification technology* and, if so:
 - any information that Ofcom has recommended the provider collect about the likelihood of false positives generated by the specific *content identification technology* used; and
 - any other information available about the accuracy of the content identification technology at identifying similar types of illegal content or illegal content proxy; and
 - c) the past error rate on the service in relation to illegal content judgements or judgements that the content is an illegal content proxy of the type concerned.

ICU D9 Appropriate action for relevant complaints which are **content** appeals – determination (services that are neither large nor multi-risk)

Application

ICU D9.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each service it provides that is neither a large service nor a multi-risk service.

Recommendation

ICU D9.2 The provider should determine **relevant complaints** which are **content appeals** promptly.

ICU D10 Appropriate action for relevant complaints which are content appeals – action following determination

Application

ICU D10.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each service it provides.

- ICU D10.2 If, in relation to a **relevant complaint** that is an **content appeal**, the provider reverses a decision that **content** was **illegal content** or **illegal content** or **illegal content proxy**, the provider should:
 - a) so far as appropriate and possible for the purpose of restoring the position of the *content* or *user* (or both) to what it would have been had the decision not been made, reverse the action taken against the *user* or the *content* (or both) as a result of that decision;
 - b) where there is a pattern or significant evidence of *regulated user-generated content* being taken down in error, adjust any relevant content moderation guidance if appropriate to ensure it is accurate; and
 - c) where possible and appropriate, take steps to secure that the use of automated content moderation technology does not cause the same *content* to be taken down again.
- ICU D10.3 If, in relation to a **relevant complaint** that is a **content appeal**, the provider does not reverse a decision that **content** was **illegal content** or **illegal content proxy** but upholds, in whole or in part, a **content appeal**

relating to the *user* being given a warning, suspended, banned, or in any other way restricted from using the service pursuant to the provider's policy on **sanctions** (ICU H2), the provider should:

- a) reverse the action taken against the *user*, and
- b) determine the appropriate sanction having regard to its policy on sanctions (ICU H2).
- ICU D10.4 If, in relation to a relevant complaint that is a content appeal, the provider does not reverse a decision that content was CSEA content or CSEA content proxy (including a decision that content was CSAM or CSAM content proxy) but upholds, in whole or in part, a content appeal relating to the user being banned in accordance with ICU H3, the provider may:
 - a) reverse the actions taken under paragraph ICU H3.3 where an exceptional circumstance set out in paragraph ICU D10.5 applies [OPTION 3; or
 - b) change the duration of the ban, in which case the provider should ensure that the duration of the ban:
 - reflects the severity of the harm to United Kingdom users associated with the sharing, generating or uploading the CSEA content or CSEA content proxy in question; and
 - protects United Kingdom users, particularly children, from harm by deterring the user, and other users, from sharing, generating or uploading CSEA content and CSEA content proxy.

For the purposes of this paragraph ICU D10.4, "ban" has the same meaning given to it in paragraph ICU H3.2.]

ICU D10.5

The exceptional circumstances referred to in paragraph ICU D10.4 are where the provider has reasonable grounds to believe that the *user* is a **child user** that has either:

- a) shared, generated or uploaded **self-generated indecent imagery** of themself:
 - i) as a result of having been groomed, or
 - consensually as part of an age-appropriate relationship; or
- b) has received self-generated indecent imagery, generated by the sender of themself, consensually as part of an ageappropriate relationship.

ICU D11 Appropriate action for relevant complaints about proactive technology, which are not content appeals

Application

ICU D11.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each service it provides.

Recommendation

- ICU D11.2 This Recommendation ICU D11 applies to **relevant complaints**, which are not **content appeals**, about the use of **proactive technology** on the service when:
 - a) the use of *proactive technology* on the service results in *content* being *taken down* or access to it being restricted, or given a lower priority or otherwise becoming less likely to be *encountered* by other *users*; and
 - b) the complainant considers that the *proactive technology* has been used in a way not contemplated by, or in breach of, the *terms of service* (for example, by blocking *content* not of a kind specified in the *terms of service* as a kind of *content* in relation to which the technology would operate).
- ICU D11.3 The provider should inform the **complainant** of:
 - a) the action the provider may take in response to the complaint; and
 - b) their right, if they consider the provider to be in breach of contract, to bring proceedings.
- ICU D11.4 ICU D11.3 does not apply to a complaint identified as manifestly unfounded in accordance with ICU D13.2.

ICU D12 Appropriate action for all other relevant complaints

Application

ICU D12.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each service that it provides.

Recommendation

- ICU D12.2 This Recommendation ICU D12 applies to **relevant complaints** that the provider is not complying with:
 - a) the illegal content safety duties;
 - b) the duty about content reporting set out in section 20 of the *Act*, so far as it relates to *illegal content*;
 - c) the provider's duty in relation to freedom of expression in section 22(2) of the Act; or
 - d) the provider's duty in relation to privacy in section 22(3) of the **Act**.
- ICU D12.3 The provider should nominate a responsible individual or a team to ensure that such complaints are directed to an appropriate individual or team to be processed.
- ICU D12.4 Relevant complaints should be handled:
 - a) in a way that protects United Kingdom users; and
 - b) within timeframes the provider has determined are appropriate.
- ICU D12.5 ICU D12.3 and ICU D12.4 do not apply in relation to a complaint identified as manifestly unfounded in accordance with ICU D13.2.

ICU D13 Exception: manifestly unfounded complaints

Application

ICU D13.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each service that it provides.

Recommendation

ICU D13.2 When the provider receives a **relevant complaint** that is not an **content appeal** or an **age assessment appeal**, it may disregard the complaint only if:

- a) the provider has prepared and implemented a policy in accordance with ICU D13.3 to ICU D13.6, setting out the information and attributes that indicate a **relevant complaint** is manifestly unfounded;
- b) the provider identifies the complaint as manifestly unfounded in accordance with that policy; and
- c) the provider has in place a process to monitor the degree to which the application of the policy incorrectly identifies

complaints which are not manifestly unfounded, and to review the policy in accordance with ICU D13.4 to ICU D13.6.

- ICU D13.3 In designing a policy for the purposes of ICU D13.2(a), the provider should have regard to:
 - a) the need to identify manifestly unfounded complaints accurately; and
 - b) the risks posed to particular groups of vulnerable users if **relevant complaints** are incorrectly identified as manifestly unfounded.
- ICU D13.4 The provider should, at minimum, carry out an annual review of the policy to ensure it is not incorrectly identifying **relevant complaints** as manifestly unfounded.
- ICU D13.5 If the policy is incorrectly identifying **relevant complaints** as manifestly unfounded, the provider should make changes to it with a view to ensuring its accuracy.
- ICU D13.6 The provider should keep a record of its review process and any changes it has made.

ICU D14 Dedicated reporting channel for trusted flaggers to report fraud

Application

ICU D14.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each service it provides that is a **large service** and is at medium or high **risk** of <u>fraud</u>.

Recommendation

ICU D14.2 In this Recommendation ICU D14, a '**recommended trusted flagger**' is each of the following:

- a) the City of London police force;
- b) the Dedicated Card and Payment Crime Unit (a joint team of the City of London and Metropolitan Police forces);
- c) the Department for Work and Pensions;
- d) the Financial Conduct Authority;
- e) HM Revenue and Customs;
- f) the National Crime Agency;
- g) the National Cyber Security Centre (a part of the Government Communications Headquarters);
- h) the Police Service of Northern Ireland;
- i) the Police Service of Scotland (Seirbheis Phoilis na h-Alba).

- ICU D14.3 The provider should establish and maintain a dedicated reporting channel for, at minimum, the **recommended trusted flaggers** and relating to, at minimum, <u>fraud</u>, in the circumstances set out in this Recommendation ICU D14.
- ICU D14.4 The provider should publish a clear and accessible policy on its processes relating to the establishment of a dedicated reporting channel for, at minimum, the **recommended trusted flaggers**, covering any relevant procedural matters.
- ICU D14.5 If a request is made in accordance with the policy by a **recommended trusted flagger**, the provider should ensure a dedicated reporting channel, run in accordance with ICU D14.3 to ICU D14.8, is made available and maintained for, at minimum, **recommended trusted flaggers**. The provider may make an existing dedicated reporting channel available to the **recommended trusted flagger**, if that dedicated reporting channel is run in accordance with ICU D14.3 to ICU D14.8.
- ICU D14.6 The provider should engage with the **recommended trusted flagger** at the start of the relationship to understand the **recommended trusted flagger**'s needs with respect to the dedicated reporting channel.
- ICU D14.7 At least every two years, the provider should seek feedback from, at minimum, the **recommended trusted flaggers** with which it has made such arrangements, on whether any reasonable adjustments or improvements might be made to the operation of the dedicated reporting channel.
- ICU D14.8 ICU D14.9 applies where the provider receives a complaint from a **trusted flagger** through a dedicated reporting channel established for that **trusted flagger** if the complaint:
 - a) is about specific *content* that is *regulated user-generated content* on the service which may be encountered by *United Kingdom users*; and
 - b) relates to a matter within the area of expertise of the **trusted flagger**.
- ICU D14.9 The provider should treat the complaint as reason to suspect that the content may be *illegal content* and review the content in accordance with Recommendation ICU C1.

ICU D15 Appropriate action for age assessment appeals (services that are large or multi-risk)

Application

- ICU D15.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each service that:
 - a) uses highly effective age assurance to determine which United Kingdom users of the service are child users for the purpose of targeting measures recommended in this Code at such users, their user accounts or their content feeds (whether because any of Recommendations ICU F1 ICU F2 or ICU F3 apply to the service or otherwise); and
 - b) is either (or both) of the following:
 - i) a large service; or
 - ii) a **multi-risk service**.

- ICU D15.2 The provider should have regard to the following matters in determining what priority to give to consideration of an **age assessment appeal**:
 - a) the seriousness of the impact on the *user* as a result of the assessment of their age;
 - b) whether the decision made on the basis of the *user's* age was made without human oversight and, if so, information available about the accuracy of the specific technology used in making age assessments of the type concerned;
 - c) the past error rate on the service in relation to age assessments of the type concerned;
 - d) any representations made by the *user* as part of the complaint as to the effect of the decision on their livelihood.
- ICU D15.3 The provider should, as a minimum, monitor its performance against performance targets relating to the following:
 - a) the time it takes to determine the **age assessment appeal**; and
 - b) the accuracy of decision making,
 - and should resource itself so as to give effect to those targets.
- ICU D15.4 If the provider determines that the *user's* age was incorrectly assessed, the provider should take any necessary steps to restore the user to the position they would have been in had the assessment been correct, so far as appropriate and possible.
- ICU D15.5 The provider should monitor trends in age assessment appeals to help improve any age assurance process used on the service.

ICU D16 Appropriate action for age assessment appeals (services that are neither large nor multi-risk)

Application

- ICU D16.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect each service that:
 - a) uses highly effective age assurance to determine which United Kingdom users of the service are child users for the purpose of targeting measures recommended in this Code at such users, their user accounts or their content feeds (whether because any of Recommendations ICU F1, ICU F2 or ICU F3 apply to the service or otherwise); and
 - b) is neither of the following:
 - i) a large service; nor
 - ii) a **multi-risk service**.

Recommendation

- ICU D16.2 The provider should determine age assessment appeals promptly.
- ICU D16.3 If the provider determines that the *user's* age was incorrectly assessed, the provider should take any necessary steps to restore the user to the position they would have been in had the assessment been correct, so far as appropriate and possible.
- ICU D16.4 The provider should monitor trends in age assessment appeals to help improve any age assurance process used on the service.

ICU D17 Livestreaming reporting category for imminent physical harm

Application

- ICU D17.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each service that it provides that meets both of the following conditions:
 - a) The service has **one-to-many livestreaming;** and
 - b) The service is at medium or high **risk** of one or more of the following **kinds of illegal harm**:

- i. <u>terrorism</u>;
- ii. grooming;
- iii. Image-based CSAM
- iv. encouraging or assisting suicide (or attempted suicide);
- v. <u>hate</u>; and
- vi. <u>harassment, stalking, threats, and abuse</u> <u>offences</u>.

Recommendation

ICU D17.2 The provider should ensure that the reporting function or tool for relevant complaints to the provider regarding a specific piece of one-to-many livestream content enables UK users to indicate a risk of imminent physical harm to a person or persons.

E. Recommender systems

ICU E1 Collection of safety metrics during on-platform testing of content recommender systems

Application

- ICU E1.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each *service* it provides that meets both of the following conditions:
 - a) the provider conducts **on-platform testing** of **content recommender systems** on the service; and
 - b) the service is at medium or high **risk** of the **kinds of illegal harm** specified in two or more of the following paragraphs:
 - i) <u>terrorism</u>;
 - ii) image-based CSAM or CSAM URLs;
 - iii) encouraging or assisting suicide (or attempted suicide);
 - iv) <u>hate</u>;
 - v) harassment, stalking, threats and abuse;
 - vi) drugs and psychoactive substances;
 - vii) <u>extreme pornography</u>;
 - viii) <u>intimate image abuse</u>; or
 - ix) the foreign interference offence.

- ICU E1.2 The provider should produce and analyse safety metrics when conducting onplatform testing of an actual or proposed content recommender system design adjustment.
- ICU E1.3 The safety metrics should enable the provider to understand whether a **content recommender system design adjustment** would increase the risk of **United Kingdom users encountering illegal content**, compared with the existing variant of the **content recommender system**, and include the following (or equivalent):
 - a) the total number of individual items of *regulated user-generated content* that are assessed and identified as *illegal content* or as *illegal content proxy* in response to a complaint made during the testing period; and
 - b) for each such item:
 - the number of times that *regulated user-generated content* was displayed to *users* (impressions);
 - ii) the number of unique *users* that the *regulated user-generated content* was displayed to (reach).

- ICU E1.4 The provider should ensure that:
 - a) the testing environment is set up in a way that enables the processing of complaints about *content* suspected to be *illegal content* or *illegal* content proxy;
 - b) the period during which **on-platform testing** is conducted is sufficient to allow for complaints to be received; and
 - c) information that is relevant to producing the safety metrics that achieve the outcome described in ICU E1.3 is retained during the testing period.
- ICU E1.5 The provider should maintain a **log** of the results of each on-platform test, which should include a record of:
 - a) the safety metrics produced against each variant of the **recommender system** tested;
 - b) a description of each variant of the **content recommender system**, including its respective design characteristics; and
 - c) the design decision taken on which variant of the **recommender system** to deploy following **on-platform testing**.
- ICU E1.6 The provider should ensure that the log is:
 - a) made available and is easily accessible to individuals working for the provider involved directly or indirectly in the development and testing of **content recommender systems**; and
 - b) referred to by relevant individuals working for the provider in the context of future **content recommender system design adjustments**.
- ICU E2 Content recommender systems: excluding priority illegal content

Application

ICU E2.1

This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each service it provides that meets both of the following conditions:

- a) the service is at medium or high **risk** of one or more of the following **kinds of illegal harm**:
 - i) <u>terrorism;</u>
 - ii) <u>hate</u>;
 - iii) encouraging or assisting suicide (or attempted suicide);
 - iv) the <u>foreign interference offence</u>; and
- b) it has a content recommender system.

Key definition

ICU E2.2In this Recommendation ICU E2 "relevant content" means regulated user-
generated content which amounts to one of the kinds of illegal harm set out in
ICU E2.1(a).

Recommendation

- ICU E2.3 The provider should ensure that any **content recommender system** on the service is designed and operated so that content indicated potentially to be relevant content on the basis of **relevant available information** is excluded from users' content feeds.
- ICU E2.4 For the purposes of ICU E2.3, the provider should:
 - a) identify what relevant available information exists;
 - b) design the **content recommender system** so as to take appropriate account of that **relevant available information**; and
 - c) ensure the **content recommender system** operates so that content indicated potentially to be relevant content is excluded from users' content feeds.
- ICU E2.5 If the service's content moderation function reviews content indicated (for the purposes of this Recommendation) potentially to be relevant content and determines it not to be illegal content in accordance with ICU C1.3, this Recommendation no longer applies to that content.
- ICU E2.6 This Recommendation does not recommend the use of any specific kind of *proactive technology*, or the use of *proactive technology* to analyse *usergenerated content* communicated privately or metadata relating to *usergenerated content* communicated privately.¹²

Safeguards for freedom of expression and privacy

ICU E2.7

The following measures are safeguards to protect *United Kingdom users'* right to freedom of expression and the privacy of *United Kingdom users*:

- a) Recommendations ICU C1 and ICU C2, and ICU C3 to ICU C8 (where applicable);
- b) Recommendations ICU D1 and ICU D2, so far as they relate to complaints by *United Kingdom users* and *affected persons* if they consider that the provider is not complying with its duties in relation to freedom of expression or privacy, and ICU D12.

¹² Ofcom has published guidance on content communicated 'publicly' and 'privately' under the Online Safety Act.

F. Settings, functionalities and user support

ICU F1 Safety defaults for child users

Application

ICU F1.1	This measure applies to a <i>provider</i> in respect of each service it provides that is either of the following , to the extent that it has an existing means to determine the age or age range of a particular user of the service:	
	a) b)	at high risk of <u>grooming;</u> or a large service that is at medium risk of <u>grooming</u> .
	Recom	nmendation
ICU F1.1A	user of t	ecommendation, a "relevant user" means any United Kingdom the service, other than a user determined to be an adult by the use y effective age assurance.
ICU F1.2	If the service has a network expansion prompt functionality , the prov should implement default settings ensuring that:	
	b)	network expansion prompts do not recommend child user relevant user accounts to connect with; and users-relevant users are not presented with network expansion prompts-when operating a child user account.
ICU F1.3	If the service has connection lists , the provider should implement default settings ensuring that:	
	a)	connection lists do not include relevant user child user accounts; and
	b)	connection lists associated with relevant user child user accounts are not displayed to <i>users</i> .
ICU F1.4	If the service has direct messaging functionality , the provider should implement default settings ensuring that:	
	a)	if the service has user connection functionality, relevant user child user accounts can only receive direct messages from user accounts with which they have a specified connection ;
	b)	if the service does not have user connection functionality , relevant users operating a child user account are provided with a means of actively confirming whether to receive a direct message sent from another user account before it is visible to them,

unless **direct messaging** is a necessary and time critical element of another functionality, in which case before any interaction associated with that

functionality begins, relevant users users operating a child user account should: i) be informed that they may receive **direct messages** from user accounts that are not **connected** to that relevant user child user account when using that functionality; and having received that information, actively confirm that ii) that they wish to proceed to use that functionality. ICU F1.5 For the purposes of ICU F1.4: a) a relevant user **child user** account has a **specified connection** with a user account which is not a relevant user child user account if either: a *user* operating a *child*-relevant user account has taken i) action to initiate the establishment of a connection (for example through 'friending', 'following', or 'subscribing'); or a user operating a user of a child user account relevant ii) user account has taken action to confirm the establishment of a connection (for example by accepting a 'friend request' or a request to 'follow' or 'subscribe' to the user account); and b) a relevant user child user account has a specified connection with another relevant user child user account if: the user user operating either of the relevant user child i) user accounts has taken action to initiate the establishment of a **connection** (for example through 'friending', 'following', or 'subscribing') with the other relevant user child user account; and ii) the user user operating the other relevant user child user account has taken action to confirm the establishment of a **connection** (for example by accepting a 'friend request' or a request to 'follow' or 'subscribe' to the relevant user account). **ICU F1.6** If the service has automated location information display functionality, the provider should implement default settings ensuring the location information associated with a relevant user child user account is not visible to other *users* of the service. Safeguards for freedom of expression and privacy

ICU F1.7

The following measures are safeguards to protect *United Kingdom users'* right to freedom of expression and the privacy of *United Kingdom users*:

- a) Recommendation ICU B1;
- b) Recommendation ICU D15 and D16.

ICU F2 Support for child users

Application

	to determine the age or age range of a particular user of the service:
	that is either of the following , to the extent that it has an existing means
ICU F2.1	This measure applies to a <i>provider</i> in respect of each service it provides

- a) at high risk of grooming; or
- b) a large service that is at medium risk of grooming.

Recommendation

- ICU F2.1A In this Recommendation, a "relevant user" has the same meaning given to it as in ICU F1.
- ICU F2.2 The provider should ensure that, when a *user* seeks to disable a **default** setting set out in Recommendation ICU F1 on a child user relevant user account, that *user* is provided with information regarding the potential risk involved before being able to disable the relevant setting. The information provided should assist the *user* child users in understanding the implications of disabling that default setting, including the protections it affords.
- ICU F2.3 The provider should ensure that, when a *user user* operating a child relevant user account seeks to respond to a request to establish a connection, that *user* is provided with the following information before the connection is established:
 - a) the types of interactions that would be enabled through establishing a **connection**; and
 - b) the options available to take action against a *user's* user account, such as **blocking, muting**, **reporting conduct** or equivalent action.

ICU F2.4

The provider should provide the following information when a *user* operating a relevant user child user account sends a **direct message** to or receives a **direct message** from a user account for the first time:

- a) a reminder that this is the first direct communication sent to or from that user account (as applicable); and
- b) the options available to take action against a *user* or user account, such as **blocking**, muting, reporting conduct or equivalent action,

unless **direct messaging** is a necessary and time critical element of another functionality, in which case **user** operating a relevant user child user account should be provided with this information before any interaction associated with that functionality begins.

ICU F2.5	The provider should provide the following information when a <i>user</i> operating a relevant user child user account seeks to block , mute , repo conduct , or take equivalent action against a <i>user</i> or user account:	
	 a) the effect of the action, including the types of interactions that it would restrict and whether the relevant <i>user's</i> user account would be notified; and b) the further options available to limit interaction with another <i>user's</i> user account or increase their safety. 	
ICU F2.6	The provider should ensure that the information provided in accordance with ICU F2.2 to ICU F2.5 is:	
	a) prominently displayed; andb) clear, comprehensible and easy for a child user to understand.	
ICU F2.7	Safeguards for freedom of expression and privacy	
	The following measures are safeguards to protect United Kingdom users' right to freedom of expression and the privacy of United Kingdom users :	
	a) Recommendation ICU B1; andb) Recommendation ICU D15 and D16.	
ICU F3	Child user livestreaming - removal of user engagement functionalities and preventing content capture	
	Application	
ICU F3.1	This measure applies to a <i>provider</i> in respect of each service that it provides that:	
	 a) has one-to-many livestreaming; and b) can be accessed by <i>children</i>, or where a part of the service can be accessed by <i>children</i>. 	
$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$	Key definition	
ICU F3.2	In this Recommendation, a "relevant livestream" is a one-to-many livestream by a user of the service, other than a <i>user</i> determined to be an adult by the use of highly effective age assurance .	
	Recommendation	

ICU F3.3	For the purpose of this Recommendation ICU F3, the provider should use highly effective age assurance (see Recommendation ICU B1) to determine whether a <i>user</i> is an adult.
ICU F3.4	The provider should ensure that <i>users</i> are unable to:
	a) comment on the <i>content</i> of the relevant livestream;
	b) gift to the user broadcasting the relevant livestream; andc) react to the relevant livestream.
ICU F3.5	The provider should:
	 a) ensure that users viewing a relevant livestream are unable to use the service to content capture or content record the relevant livestream; and
	 b) where technically feasible, ensure that users viewing a relevant livestream are unable to use other tools outside of the service to content capture or content record the relevant livestream.
ICU F3.6	For the avoidance of doubt:
	 a) Nothing in this measure prevents the <i>user</i> who is broadcasting the relevant livestream from content capturing or content recording their own content if the service has this functionality; b) paragraphs ICU F3.4 and F3.5 only apply while the relevant livestream is live, and not ence a recording of it has been posted.
	livestream is live, and not once a recording of it has been posted or otherwise made available on the service; and
	c) paragraphs ICU F3.4 and F3.5 apply irrespective of whether the <i>user</i> viewing the relevant livestream is logged into an account with the service or otherwise registered with the service.
	Safeguards for freedom of expression and privacy
ICU F3.7	The following measures are safeguards to protect United Kingdom users ' right to freedom of expression and the privacy of United Kingdom users :
$O_{k'}$	a) Recommendation ICU B1; andb) Recommendation ICU D15 and D15.

G. Terms of service

Application

ICU G1.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each service it provides.

Recommendation

- ICU G1.2 The provider should include the following in the *terms of service*:
 - a) provisions specifying how individuals are to be protected from *illegal content*, addressing:
 - separately for each of *terrorism content*, *CSEA content* and other *priority illegal content*, how the provider will minimise the length of time for which any *priority illegal content* is present; and
 - how, where the provider is alerted by a person to the presence of any *illegal content*, or becomes aware of it in any other way, it will swiftly *take down* such content;
 - b) provisions giving information about any *proactive technology* used for the purposes of compliance with an illegal content safety duty set out in section 10(2) or 10(3) of the Act (including the kind of technology, when it is used, and how it works);
 - c) provisions specifying the policies and processes that govern the handling and resolution of **relevant complaints;**
 - d) provisions giving information about its policy on sanctions, addressing, at least, the sanctions which the provider may impose on users who generate, upload or share content that it determines to be illegal content and/or illegal content proxy (see Recommendations ICU H2 and ICU H3).

ICU G2 Terms of service: substance (Category 1 services)

Application

ICU G2.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each *Category 1 service* it provides.

Recommendation

ICU G2.2 The provider should summarise the findings of its **risk assessment** (including as to levels of risk and as to the nature, and severity, of potential harm) in the *terms of service*.

ICU G3 Terms of service: clarity and accessibility

Application

ICU G3.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each *service* it provides.

Recommendation

- ICU G3.2 The provider should ensure that the provisions included in the *terms of service* in accordance with Recommendation ICU G1 are:
 - a) easy to find, such that they are:
 - i) clearly signposted for the general public, regardless of whether they have registered with or are using the service; and
 - ii) locatable within the *terms of service*;
 - b) laid out and formatted in a way that helps **United Kingdom users** read and understand them;
 - c) written to a reading age comprehensible for the youngest individual permitted to use the service without the consent of a parent or guardian; and
 - d) designed for the purposes of ensuring usability for those dependent on assistive technologies, including:
 - i) keyboard navigation; and
 - ii) screen reading technology.

H. User access

ICU H1	Removing accounts of proscribed organisations	
	Application	
ICU H1.1	This measure applies to a <i>provider</i> in respect of each service it provides.	
	Recommendation	
ICU H1.2	In this Recommendation ICU H1, "relevant content" means <i>content</i> that a <i>provider</i> has determined:	
	 a) is proscribed organisation content; or b) is in breach of <i>terms of service</i> designed to prohibit proscribed organisation content on the service. 	
ICU H1.3	ICU H1.4 applies where:	
	 a) relevant content has been generated, uploaded or shared using a user account on the service; or b) the provider has become aware that a user account on the service may be operated by or on behalf of a proscribed organisation (including as a result of a report or complaint). 	
ICU H1.4	The provider should consider whether it has reasonable grounds to infer that the user account in question is operated by or on behalf of a proscribed organisation .	
ICU H1.5	Where the provider has reasonable grounds to infer that a user account is operated by or on behalf of a proscribed organisation , it should remove the user account from the service.	
ICU H1.6	Reasonable grounds to infer that a user account is operated by or on behalf of a proscribed organisation may arise where at least two of the following are true of the user profile :	
	the username is the same as:	
	 i) the name of a proscribed organisation; or ii) an alias as specified in an order made under section 3(6) of the Terrorism Act 2000;¹³ the user profile image or any end-user configurable image setting is proscribed organisation content; 	

the **user profile** information, such as 'bio' text or other descriptive text, is **proscribed organisation content**.

ICU H1.7 Reasonable grounds may also arise where one or none of the above is true, but where a significant proportion of a reasonably sized sample of the *regulated user-generated content* recently generated, uploaded or shared on the user account is **proscribed organisation content**. What amounts to a reasonable sample size will depend on the amount of *regulated user-generated content* generated, uploaded or shared on the account and the nature of the service. *"Regulated user-generated content* recently generated, uploaded or shared by the account" refers to the newest *regulated user-generated content* generated, uploaded or shared by the user account irrespective of date, rather than the *regulated user-generated content* generated, uploaded or shared by the user account in a recent date range.

ICU H1.8 References to "*regulated user-generated content*" in ICU H1.7 do not include *regulated user-generated content* that has been communicated privately,¹⁴ unless the provider has explicit consent to view the *content* in question.

Safeguards for freedom of expression and privacy

- ICU H1.9 The following measures are safeguards to protect *United Kingdom users*' right to freedom of expression and the privacy of *United Kingdom users*:
 - a) Recommendations ICU D1 and ICU D2, so far as they relate to **appeals** or complaints by **United Kingdom users** and **affected persons** if they consider that the provider is not complying with its duties in relation to freedom of expression or privacy; and
 - b) Recommendations ICU D8 or ICU D9 (whichever is applicable) and ICU D10 (in relation to appeals).
- ICU H1.10 ICU H1.8 is a safeguard to protect the privacy of *United Kingdom users*.

CUH2 User sanctions

Application

ICU H2.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each service it provides.

Recommendation

¹⁴ Ofcom has published guidance on content communicated 'publicly' and 'privately' under the Online Safety Act.

- ICU H2.2 The provider should prepare and apply a policy in respect of **sanctions** to be imposed on **United Kingdom users** who generate, upload or share **illegal content** and/or **illegal content proxy** that is not **CSEA content** or **CSEA content proxy**, with the objective of preventing the future dissemination of **illegal content** (for **CSEA content** and **CSEA content proxy**, see ICU H3).
- ICU H2.3 The policy should set out the **sanctions** that the provider may impose on a **United Kingdom user** who generates, uploads or shares **content** that the provider determines to be **illegal content** and/or **illegal content proxy** that is not **CSEA content** or **CSEA content proxy** (see ICU C1 and C2 with regard to determining **illegal content** or **illegal content proxy**).
- ICU H2.4 In setting its policy on the circumstances in which it will impose a **sanction** on such a **user**, and the seriousness of the appropriate sanction in those circumstances, the provider should have regard to at least the following factors:
 - a) the severity of potential harm to *United Kingdom users* if they encounter the *illegal content* or *illegal content proxy* on the service;
 - b) whether the *user* has previously generated, uploaded or shared *illegal content* or *illegal content proxy*, including whether the *user* was sanctioned for doing so; and
 - c) the potential impact of the type and duration of the **sanction** on the **user** being sanctioned.
- ICU H2.5 The provider should regularly review and update the policy on **sanctions** to ensure it remains fit for purpose, taking into account at least:
 - a) the service's risk assessment; and
 - b) any matters arising from relevant complaints which have been determined during the review period, which were content appeals relating to users being given a warning, suspended, banned, or in any other way restricted from using the service pursuant to the provider's policy on sanctions.
- ICU H2.6 In determining relevant complaints which are content appeals relating to the *user* being given a warning, suspended, banned, or in any other way restricted from using the service pursuant to the provider's policy on sanctions (ICU D8 and D9), the provider should consider whether, in the circumstances of the case, the sanction that has been imposed is appropriate having regard to its policy on sanctions.

Safeguards for freedom of expression and privacy

ICU H2.7 The following measures are safeguards to protect *United Kingdom users'* right to freedom of expression and the privacy of *United Kingdom users*:

- a) Recommendations ICU D1 and D2, so far as they relate to **content appeals** or complaints by **United Kingdom users** and **affected persons** if they consider that the provider is not complying with its duties in relation to freedom of expression or privacy; and
- b) Recommendations ICU D8 and D9 (whichever is applicable), ICU D10 (in relation to **content appeals**) and ICU D12.

ICU H3 Banning users for CSEA

Application

ICU H3.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each service it provides.

Key definitions

ICU H3.2 In this Recommendation ICU H3:

"relevant user" means a user who:

- a) shares, generates or uploads **content** which the provider determines to be:
 - i) **CSEA content**, or
 - ii) CSEA content proxy; or
- b) has received **content** which the provider determines to be:
 - i) **CSAM**; or
 - ii) **CSAM content proxy**, where the provider does not have reasonable grounds to infer that a relevant defence applies

(see Recommendations ICU C1 and C2 with regards to determining *illegal content* or *illegal* content proxy).

"relevant service" means the service on which the relevant user shared, generated or uploaded *CSEA content* or CSEA content proxy; or on which the relevant user received CSAM or CSAM content proxy.

To "ban" means to prevent a relevant user from accessing:

- a) the relevant service; and
- b) where applicable, other services provided by the provider, where the provider has reasonable grounds to infer that the relevant user has access to those services.

Where applicable, to "ban" includes removing the relevant user's user account. Where the provider has reasonable grounds to infer that the relevant user has more than one user account on the service in question, a "ban" also means preventing the relevant user from being able to access the service through any of those user accounts. A "ban" should be understood accordingly.

Recommendation

- ICU H3.3 A provider should ban a relevant user [unless paragraph ICU H3.6 applies] and take reasonable steps to ensure that while the ban is in force, the relevant user is prevented from regaining access to the service, or services, on a continuous basis.
- ICU H3.4 What "reasonable steps" amount to in this context will depend on, among other things, the nature of the service in question, what is technically feasible, the resources of the *provider*, and the *provider's* risk profile, having regard to the provider's risk assessment.

ICU H3.5 [OPTION 3

A ban imposed upon a relevant user under paragraph ICU H3.3 should be permanent, except in exceptional circumstances where the provider reasonably considers that a permanent ban would not be appropriate. In such circumstances, the provider should ensure that the duration of the ban:

- a) reflects the nature and severity of harm to **United Kingdom users** associated with the sharing, generating or uploading the **CSEA content** or **CSEA content proxy** in question; and
- b) protects United Kingdom users, particularly children, from harm by deterring the relevant user, and other users, from sharing, generating or uploading CSEA content and CSEA content proxy.]

ICU H3.6 [OPTION B

A provider may decide not to ban a relevant user in exceptional circumstances where the provider has reasonable grounds to infer that the relevant user is a **child user** that has either:

- a) shared, generated or uploaded **self-generated indecent imagery** of themself:
 - i) as a result of having been groomed, or
 - ii) consensually as part of an age-appropriate relationship; or
- c) received self-generated indecent imagery, generated by the sender of themself, consensually as part of an age-appropriate relationship.]

Safeguards for freedom of expression and privacy

- ICU H3.7 The following measures are safeguards to protect *United Kingdom users'* right to freedom of expression and the privacy of *United Kingdom users*:
 - a) Recommendation ICU D10.2, so far as it relates to **content appeals** by **United Kingdom users** against the decision by the provider to ban a relevant user under this measure;
 - b) Recommendation ICU D10.4; and
 - c) [Paragraph[s] ICU H3.5 and H3.6].

I. [Not used]

[Intentionally left blank]

ORAH

J. User controls

ICU J1 User blocking and muting¹⁵

Application

- ICU J1.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each large service it provides that meets all of the following conditions:
 - a) the service is at medium or high risk of one or more of the following kinds of illegal harm:
 i) grooming;
 - i) encouraging or assisting suicide (or attempted suicide);

ii) <u>hate</u>;

- iv) <u>harassment, stalking, threats and abuse</u>;
- v) <u>controlling or coercive behaviour</u>
- a) users of the service have user profiles; and
- b) the service has at least one of the following functionalities:
 - i) user connection functionality;
 - ii) posting content functionality;
 - iii) user communication (including but not limited to: (1) direct messaging functionality; and (2) commenting on content).

and

- c) the service meets the description in:
 - i) ICU J1.2,
 - ii) ICU J1.3; or
 - iii) ICU J1.4.

ICU J1.2

The description is that the service:

- a) is a **large service**; and
- b) is a medium or high risk of one or more of the following kinds of illegal harm:
 - i) <u>grooming</u>;
 - ii) <u>encouraging or assisting suicide (or attempted suicide)</u>;
 - iii) <u>hate</u>;
 - iv) <u>harassment, stalking, threats and abuse;</u>
 -) <u>controlling or coercive behaviour;</u>

¹⁵ Amendments proposed in Illegal harms further consultation: User Controls published on 24 April 2025.

ICU J1.3 The description is that the service:

- a) has below seven million monthly active UK users;
- b) is *likely to be accessed by children*; and
- c) is at high **risk** of one or more of the following **kinds of illegal harm**:

grooming;

<liii) <u>encouraging or assisting suicide (or attempted suicide);</u>

iii) <u>hate</u>;

- iv) <u>harassment, stalking, threats and abuse;</u>
- <u>controlling or coercive behaviour</u>;

ICU J1.4 The description is that the service:

- a) has between 700,000 and seven million monthly active UK users;
- b) is **likely to be accessed by children**; and
- c) is at medium risk of one or more of the following kinds of illegal harm:

i) grooming;

ii) <u>encouraging or assisting suicide (or attempted suicide)</u>;

iii) <u>hate</u>;

- v) <u>harassment, stalking, threats and abuse;</u>
- v) <u>controlling or coercive behaviour;</u>

Recommendation

ICU J1.5 In this Recommendation:

"applicable user" means:

- a) in relation to a service which meets the description in ICU J1.2, a registered United Kingdom user;
- b) in relation to a service which meets the description in either ICU J1.3
 or ICU J1.4, a registered relevant user.

"registered relevant user" means:

- a) where the provider uses high effective age assurance for the purpose of establishing which United Kingdom users of the service are child users, a registered user assessed to be a child user; or
- b) otherwise, a registered United Kingdom user of the service.

ICU J1.6

If this Recommendation applies because the service meets the description in ICU J1.3 or ICU J1.4, then ICU J1.7 and ICU J1.9 apply in relation to any **child**accessible part of the service which has user connection functionality, posting content functionality or user communication (including but not limited to direct messaging functionality and commenting on content functionality).

- ICU J1.7 The provider should offer every registered *United Kingdom user* applicable user the option to **block** each of:
 - a specific user account, whether or not connected to that registered
 United Kingdom user's applicable user's user account; and
 - b) where the service has user connection functionality, all user accounts which are not connected to that registered United Kingdom user's applicable user's user account.
- ICU J1.8 "Block" means to take action that will result in:
 - a) **blocked users** being unable to send **direct messages** from the **blocked account** to the **blocking account**;
 - b) blocking users being unable to send direct messages from the blocking account to the blocked account;
 - a) the blocking user being unable to encounter, by means of the blocking account, any content posted on the service using the blocked account (regardless of where on the service it is posted), including but not limited to: (i) reactions to content; and (ii) content posted using the blocked account which is subsequently posted by another user;
 - b) the blocked user being unable to *encounter*, by means of the blocked account, any content posted on the service using the blocking account (regardless of where on the service it is posted), including but not limited to: (i) reactions to *content*; and (ii) content posted using the blocking account which is subsequently posted by another *user*; and
 - c) the **blocking account** and **blocked account**, if they were **connected**, no longer being **connected**,

and "blocking" is to be read accordingly.

"Blocking account" means the user account through which the action resulting in **blocking** has taken place. "Blocked account" means the user account that action has been taken against.

"Blocking user" means the *user* operating the blocking account. "Blocked user" means the *user* operating the blocked account.

ICU J1.9 The provider should offer every registered United Kingdom user's applicable user the option to mute other user accounts (whether or not connected to that registered United Kingdom user's applicable user's user account) on the relevant service.

ICU J1.10

"Mute" means to take action that will result in the **muting user** being unable to *encounter* any **content posted** on the service using the **muted account**, including:

- a) reactions to content posted using the muted account; and
- b) **content posted** using the **muted account** which is posted by another *user*,

by means of the **muting account**, unless the **muting user** visits the **user profile** associated with the **muted account**, in which case the **muting user** will

experience that **user profile** as if the **muted account** had not been **muted**. **"Muting"** is to be read accordingly.

"Muting account" means the user account through which the action resulting in muting has taken place. "Muted account" means the user account that the action has been taken against.

"Muted user" means the *user* operating the muted account. "Muting user" means the *user* operating the muting account.

- ICU J1.11 For the avoidance of doubt:
 - a) save for where muting is reciprocal, **muted users** should continue to **encounter** the **content posted** using the **muting account**;
 - b) functionality from the **muted user's** perspective should continue as if the **muting user** had not **muted** the **muted account**; and
 - c) providers should not at any time notify **muted users**, or otherwise make them aware, that the **muted account** has been **muted** by the **muting user**.

Muting is reciprocal where a *user* has through a user account ("A") **muted** a user account ("B"), and a *user* has through user account B also muted user account A.

- ICU J1.12 The provider should provide information to **United Kingdom users** about the availability of the options to **block** and **mute** other **users** and the effect of these actions, including the types of interactions or access to **content** that it would restrict. That information should be:
 - a) easy to find; and
 - b) comprehensible based on the likely reading age of the youngest individual permitted to use the service without the consent of a parent or guardian.

ICU J2 Disabling comments¹⁶

Application

ICU J2.1

This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each large service it provides that meets both of the following conditions:

- a) the service has commenting on content functionality; and
- b) the service meets the description in:
 - i) ICU J2.2
 - i) ICU J2.3; or

¹⁶ Amendments proposed in Illegal harms further consultation: User Controls published on 24 April 2025.

iii) ICU J2.4.¹⁷

<mark>c) the service is at medium or h</mark>	igh risk of one or more of the following
kinds of illegal harm:	
i) grooming;	
ii) <u>encouraging or assist</u>	ing suicide (or attempted suicide);
i <mark>ii) <u>hate</u>;</mark>	
i <mark>v) harassment, stalking,</mark>	threats and abuse; and
d) the service has commenting	on content functionality.
ICU J2.2 The description is that the service:	
a) is a large service ; and	
	one or more of the following kinds of
<mark>illegal harm:</mark> i) <u>grooming</u> ;	
ii) <u>encouraging or assisti</u>	ng suicide (or attempted suicide);
iii) <u>hate;</u>	
<mark>iv) <u>harassment, stalking,</u></mark>	<u>threats and abuse.</u>
ICU J2.3 The description is that the service:	
a) has below seven million mor b) is <i>likely to be accessed by ch</i>	
	of the following kinds of illegal harm:
i) grooming;	5 5
	ng suicide (or attempted suicide);
iii) <u>hate</u> ;	
iv) <u>harassment, stalking,</u>	threats and abuse.
ICU J2.4 The description is that the service:	
a) has between 700,000 and se	ven million monthly active UK users ;
b) is <i>likely to be accessed by ch</i>	
c) is at medium risk of one or n	nore of the following kinds of illegal harm :
i) <u>grooming</u> ;	
ii) <u>encouraging or assisti</u>	ng suicide (or attempted suicide);
iii) <u>hate</u> ;	
iv) <u>harassment, stalking,</u>	threats and abuse.

¹⁷ In Annex 2 (Proposed Changes to Illegal Harms Measure ICU J1 and ICU J2 in Markup) to the document '<u>Illegal harms further consultation: User Controls</u>' published on 24 April 2025, these cross-references were incorrectly written as ICU J1.2, ICU J1.3 and ICU J1.4. We have corrected them here.

	Recommendation
ICU J2.5	In this Recommendation:
	"applicable user " means:
	a) in relation to a service which meets the description in ICU J2.2, a registered United Kingdom user;
	 b) in relation to a service which meets the description in either ICU J2.3 or ICU J2.4, a registered relevant user.
	"registered relevant user" means:
	 a) where the provider uses high effective age assurance for the purpose of establishing which United Kingdom users of the service are child users, a registered user assessed to be a child user; or
	b) otherwise, a registered <i>United Kingdom user</i> of the service.
ICU J2.6	If this Recommendation applies because the service meets the description in ICU J2.3 or ICU J2.4, then ICU J2.7 and ICU J2.9 apply in relation to any child -accessible part of the service which has commenting on content functionality .
ICU J2.7	The provider should offer every registered United Kingdom user applicable
	user the option of preventing any other <i>users</i> of the service from commenting on content posted on the service using their user account.
ICU J2.8	Registered <i>United Kingdom users</i> Applicable users should be able to exercise
	the option referred to above:
	a) when posting content; andb) after having posted content.
ICU J2.9	The provider should provide information to <i>United Kingdom users</i> about the availability of the option to prevent other <i>users</i> of the service from commenting on content posted on the service by the <i>United Kingdom user</i> concerned and the effect of this action, including the types of interactions or access to <i>content</i> that it would restrict. That information should be:
OL.	 a) easy to find; and b) comprehensible based on the likely reading age of the youngest individual permitted to use the service without the consent of a parent or guardian.

ICU J3 Notable user and monetised labelling schemes

Application

ICU J3.1 This measure applies to a *provider* in respect of each large service it provides that meets both of the following conditions:

- a) the service is at medium or high **risk** of <u>fraud</u> or the <u>foreign</u> <u>interference offence</u> (or both); and
- b) the service labels **user profiles** under one or both of the following: (i) a **notable user scheme**; or (ii) a **monetised scheme**.

Recommendation

- ICU J3.2 In this Recommendation ICU J3:
 - a) **notable user schemes** and **monetised schemes** are referred to together as "**relevant schemes**"; and
 - b) a *user* whose user profile is labelled under a relevant scheme is referred to as a "relevant user".
- ICU J3.3 The provider should have, and consistently apply, internal documented policies regarding the operation of **relevant schemes** on the service, which should, at a minimum:
 - a) be designed to reduce any **risk** of harm to **United Kingdom users** from <u>fraud</u> and/or the <u>foreign interference offence</u> associated with a **relevant scheme**, as identified in the **risk assessment** of the service;
 - b) set out: (1) the process for considering; and (2) the criteria and thresholds for deciding whether to:
 - i) label a user profile; and
 - ii) remove the label from the user profile of a relevant user.

In respect of a **notable user scheme**, the criteria and thresholds should set out how the provider will satisfy itself that:

- the user account of a relevant user is operated by or on behalf of the person by whom or on whose behalf it is held out as being operated; and
- ii) if that person is held out as holding a particular position or role, that they hold that position or role;
- set out safeguards to ensure that the user profile information (such as username and 'bio' text) of a relevant user whose user profile is labelled under a notable user scheme is not modified so as to suggest the user account is operated by or on behalf of anyone other than the relevant user;
- set out the frequency with which and the circumstances in which the provider will conduct reviews to confirm whether the user profiles of relevant users continue to qualify to be labelled;
- e) set out whether and, if so, how the provider will treat relevant users and the content posted on the service differently to other users, including in relation to content recommender systems, content moderation, and account security;
- be communicated to relevant individuals working for the provider, including through regular training (in particular when a policy is modified); and

- g) be regularly reviewed and updated to ensure the policy remains fit for purpose. As part of regularly reviewing the policy, the provider should, if it considers it appropriate, take into account one or more of the following: user feedback and reporting; user experience testing; and engagement with persons with relevant expertise.
- ICU J3.4 The provider should provide the following to *United Kingdom users*:
 - a) the following information on the user profile of a relevant user:
 - i) why the user profile is labelled; and
 - ii) if the provider operates more than one type of relevant scheme on the service, the relevant scheme(s) under which the user profile is labelled; and
 - b) a user-facing description of the **relevant scheme(s)**, which should:
 - i) be in writing;
 - ii) be clear and accessible, and in particular be:
 - a. easy to find, such that it is clearly signposted for the general public regardless of whether they have signed up to or are using the service;
 - b. laid out and formatted in a way that helps users read and understand it;
 - c. comprehensible based on the likely reading age of the youngest individual permitted to use the service without the consent of a parent or guardian; and
 - designed for the purposes of ensuring usability for those dependent on assistive technologies, including keyboard navigation and screen reading technology;
 - explain how and why user profiles are labelled (including different categories of labelling and, in particular, specifying whether a relevant scheme is or is not a notable user scheme);
 - iv) explain how and why **relevant users** may have a label removed from their **user profile**; and
 - be consistent with (but need not include) every detail of the provider's internal policies.

5. Definitions and interpretation

- 5.1 Terms in **bold** used in these Codes have the meanings set out in table A. The meaning given applies even if the term is also used in the **Act**.
- 5.2 Terms in **bold and italics** used in these Codes have the same meaning as in the **Act**. Table B provides a reference to the provision(s) in the **Act** containing the definition of the relevant term as well as additional notes and references which are intended to assist the reader. In the event of any inconsistency between the **Act** and the information in table B, the **Act** should be regarded as authoritative.
- 5.3 Terms which are <u>underlined</u> are references to kinds of illegal harms set out in table C.

Term	Meaning
[Age-appropriate relationship	A relationship between two users of the same or a similar age.]
Act	The Online Safety Act 2023 (c.50).
Active United Kingdom user	As defined in paragraph 5.10.
Age assessment appeal	A complaint by a United Kingdom user whose experience on the service is impacted because measures used to comply with a duty set out in section 10(2) or (3) of the Act have resulted in an incorrect assessment of the user's age.
Age assurance	Age verification and age estimation.
Age assurance process	A <i>system or process</i> designed to determine whether a particular <i>user</i> is, or is not, a <i>child</i> that is comprised of one or more age assurance measures.
Applicable user ¹⁸	As defined in ICU J1.5 (for the purpose of ICU J1) and ICU J2.5 (for the purpose of ICU J2).
Automated location information display functionality	A functionality which displays location information including via the following (where relevant):
	a) shared <i>content</i> ;
	b) user profile; and
	c) functionalities that display the live location information .

Table A - Definitions of terms in bold used in these Codes

¹⁸ Amendments proposed in Illegal harms further consultation: User Controls published on 24 April 2025.

Term	Meaning
Block, Blocking ¹⁹	As defined in ICU J1. <mark>83</mark> .
Blocked user ²⁰	As defined in ICU J1. <mark>83</mark> .
Blocking user ²¹	As defined in ICU J1. <mark>83</mark> .
Child accessible part ²²	A <i>user-to-user part</i> of a service other than any part which children in the United Kingdom are not normally able to access as a result of the use on the service of <i>age verification</i> or <i>age</i> <i>estimation</i> .
Child user	A United Kingdom user who is under the age of 18.
Child user account	A user account registered to a child user .
Children or Children in the United Kingdom	People under the age of 18 in the United Kingdom.
Children's risk assessment	The most recent risk assessment carried out by the provider of a service pursuant to section 11 of the Act .
Comment on content	Reply to <i>user-generated content</i> , or generate, upload or share <i>content</i> in response to another piece of <i>user-generated content</i> posted on open channels of communication, in such a way that the reply or content (as the case may be) is visually accessible directly from the original user-generated content without navigating away from that user- generated content.
Commenting on content functionality	<i>User-to-user service</i> functionality that allows <i>users</i> to comment on content.
Complainant	The United Kingdom user or affected person who made the complaint.
Connect	See connection.
Connected (accounts)	Two user accounts with a connection .

 ¹⁹ Amendments proposed in Illegal harms further consultation: User Controls published on 24 April 2025.
 ²⁰ Amendments proposed in Illegal harms further consultation: User Controls published on 24 April 2025.
 ²¹ Amendments proposed in Illegal harms further consultation: User Controls published on 24 April 2025.
 ²² Amendments proposed in Illegal harms further consultation: User Controls published on 24 April 2025.
 ²³ Amendments proposed in Illegal harms further consultation: User Controls published on 24 April 2025.

Term	Meaning
Connection	An established link between two user accounts that one or both of the <i>users</i> operating those accounts has taken steps to establish. Connections include, but are not limited to:
	 c) established links created when one <i>user</i> invites another <i>user</i> to establish a link between the user accounts of the two users that the other user accepts;
	 d) established links created when one <i>user</i> elects to follow another <i>user's</i> user account; and
	 established links created when one <i>user</i> elects to subscribe to another <i>user's</i> user account.
	The terms "connect", "connected" and "connection" are to be read accordingly.
Connection lists	A list of the user accounts to which a user account is connected which is visible to other <i>users</i> via a user profile .
Content appeal	A complaint by a <i>United Kingdom user</i> about any of the following actions, if the action concerned has been taken by the <i>provider</i> on the basis that <i>content</i> generated, uploaded or shared by that user is <i>illegal content</i> or illegal content proxy:
	a) the content being taken down;
	b) the user being given a warning;
	 c) the user being suspended, banned, or in any other way restricted from using the service.

Term	Meaning
Content capture or content record	To record, copy or store <i>content</i> as it appears on the service.
	This can include, but is not limited to:
	• the creation of clips from the content,
	 downloading content, or
	 photo, video or audio capture using device functionality or third-party software (for example, a screen shot/grab/recording).
Content moderation function	The <i>systems and processes</i> designed to review, assess and take action in relation to <i>content</i> , including <i>content</i> a <i>provider</i> has reason to suspect may be <i>illegal content</i> .
Content posted	<i>Content</i> generated, uploaded and/or shared on open channels of communication by a <i>user</i> of the service.
	"Posting content" and "posted content" are to be read accordingly.
	3

 on factors that it is programmed to account for, which may include but are not limited to a) user feedback, such as interactions with a piece of content by means of likes, views and shares; b) predicted engagement with content based on a user's consumption history, such as likelihood of liking, sharing, and commenting on a piece content; c) profile and contextual characteristics such as age and location; d) content liked by users with a similar consumption and engagement histor and e) popularity of a certain piece of content. For the avoidance of doubt, references to content recommender systems in these Cod do not include: a) a content recommender system employed exclusively in the operatio of a functionality which suggests 	Term	Meaning
 with a piece of <i>content</i> by means of likes, views and shares; b) predicted engagement with <i>content</i> based on a user's consumption history, such as likelihood of liking, sharing, and commenting on a piece <i>content</i>; c) profile and contextual characteristics such as age and location; d) <i>content</i> liked by <i>users</i> with a similar consumption and engagement histor and e) popularity of a certain piece of <i>content</i>. For the avoidance of doubt, references to content recommender systems in these Cod do not include: a) a content recommender system employed exclusively in the operatic of a functionality which suggests 	Content recommender system	relative ranking of an identified pool of <i>content</i> that includes <i>regulated user-</i> <i>generated content</i> from multiple <i>users</i> on content feeds. <i>Content</i> is recommended based
 based on a user's consumption history, such as likelihood of liking, sharing, and commenting on a piece content; c) profile and contextual characteristics such as age and location; d) content liked by users with a similar consumption and engagement histor and e) popularity of a certain piece of content. For the avoidance of doubt, references to content recommender systems in these Cod do not include: a) a content recommender system employed exclusively in the operation of a functionality which suggests 		with a piece of <i>content</i> by means of
 such as age and location; d) content liked by users with a similar consumption and engagement histor and e) popularity of a certain piece of content. For the avoidance of doubt, references to content recommender systems in these Code do not include: a) a content recommender system employed exclusively in the operation of a functionality which suggests 		based on a user's consumption history, such as likelihood of liking, sharing, and commenting on a piece of
 consumption and engagement histor and e) popularity of a certain piece of content. For the avoidance of doubt, references to content recommender systems in these Cod do not include: a) a content recommender system employed exclusively in the operation of a functionality which suggests 		
content. For the avoidance of doubt, references to content recommender systems in these Cod do not include: a) a content recommender system employed exclusively in the operation of a functionality which suggests		consumption and engagement history;
content recommender systems in these Code do not include: a) a content recommender system employed exclusively in the operation of a functionality which suggests		
employed exclusively in the operation of a functionality which suggests		content recommender systems in these Codes
a search query; or		employed exclusively in the operation of a functionality which suggests <i>content</i> to users in direct response to
b) a product recommender system; or		b) a product recommender system; or
		 a network recommender system that suggests <i>users</i> and groups to follow.

Term	Meaning
Content recommender system design adjustment	Any iterative and incremental alterations made to the design of an existing variant of a content recommender system's underlying model or to the algorithms responsible for content ranking as part of ongoing product management.
	It does not include design changes that:
	 a) would amount to a significant change and therefore trigger a risk assessment under section 9(4) of the Act; or
	 b) are made in connection with a live response to a national security threat or other emergency;
	c) would not be deployed for United Kingdom users of the service.
	Content that amounts to an offence specified in any of the following paragraphs of Schedule 6 to the Act —
	a) paragraph 1 to 4, 7 or 8;
	 b) paragraph 9 so far as any of the offences it contains are committed in relation to an offence specified in paragraphs 1 to 4, 7 or 8;
CSAM (child sexual abuse material)	c) paragraph 10; or
	 d) paragraph 13 so far as any of the offences it contains are committed in relation to an offence specified in paragraph 10.
	Where the context requires, references to CSAM include material which would be CSAM if it were <i>regulated user-generated content</i> present on a <i>service</i> .

Term	Meaning
CSAM content proxy	<i>Content</i> that a <i>provider</i> determines to be in breach of its <i>terms of service</i> , where:
	 a) the <i>provider</i> had reason to suspect that the content may be <i>CSAM</i>; and
	 b) the <i>provider</i> is satisfied that its <i>terms of service</i> prohibit the type of <i>CSAM</i> which it had reason to suspect existed.
CSAM discussion	CSAM in the form of written material or messages (other than <u>CSAM URLs</u>).
CSEA content proxy	<i>Content</i> that a <i>provider</i> determines to be in breach of its <i>terms of service</i> , where:
	a) the <i>provider</i> had reason to suspect that the content may be <i>CSEA content</i> ; and
	 b) the <i>provider</i> is satisfied that its <i>terms of service</i> prohibit the type of <i>CSEA content</i> which it had reason to suspect existed.
	For the avoidance of doubt, CSEA content proxy includes CSAM context proxy .
Default settings	Automatic settings for functionalities, applicable to a specific user account, which are set by the <i>provider</i> of a service and that can be disabled by a <i>user</i> operating that user account.
Detected content	Content detected by the use of a relevant technology as being (or as likely to be) target content (and related expressions are to be read accordingly).
Direct message	A message sent from a user account to a recipient user account that can only be immediately viewed or read on that specific recipient user account.
Direct messaging functionality	User-to-user service functionality that allows users to send direct messages.

Term	Meaning
Existing means to determine the age or age range of a particular user	An existing system or process designed to determine the age or age range of a particular user which may be comprised of one or more of the following:
	a) any measure designed to estimate the age or age range of users ;
	 b) any measure designed to verify the exact age of users; and
	c) a measure which requires a user to self declare their age (without more).
Existing technology	For the purposes of ICU C11 and ICU C12, as defined in ICU C11.5.
False positive	Detected content that is not target content.
File-storage and file-sharing service	A service whose primary functionalities involve enabling <i>users</i> to:
	 c) store digital content, including images and videos, on the cloud or dedicated server(s); and
	 d) share access to that content through the provision of links (such as unique URLs or hyperlinks) that lead directly to the content for the purpose of enabling other <i>users</i> to <i>encounter</i> or interact with the content.
Gift	A <i>user-to-user service</i> functionality which enables a <i>user</i> to give a benefit (for example, money, in-service tokens, in-game or in-app gifts, or virtual currency) to another <i>user</i> .
Governance body	A body which makes decisions within an organisation, for example a board of directors.
Groomed	See <u>grooming</u> .
Illegal content judgement	A judgement about whether <i>content</i> is <i>illegal content</i> or <i>illegal content</i> of a particular kind, made in accordance with section 192(2) and section 192(5) to (7) of the Act.

Term	Meaning
Illegal content proxy	<i>Content</i> that a <i>provider</i> determines to be in breach of its <i>terms of service</i> , where:
	 a) the <i>provider</i> had reason to suspect that the content may be <i>illegal</i> <i>content</i>; and
	 b) the <i>provider</i> is satisfied that its <i>terms</i> of service prohibit the type of <i>illegal</i> content which it had reason to suspect existed.
Illegal content safety duties	The duties set out in section 10 of the Act .
Illegal harm	Harm arising from <i>illegal content</i> and the commission and facilitation of <i>priority offences</i> .
Intimate image abuse content	Regulated user-generated content which amounts to an offence:
	 a) under section 66B of the Sexual Offences Act 2003 (sharing or threatening to share intimate image or film); or
	 b) under section 2 of the Abusive Behaviour and Sexual Harm (Scotland) Act 2016 (asp 22) (disclosing, or threatening to disclose, an intimate photograph or film).
Kind of illegal harm	See the subsection headed 'Risks of illegal harm' below (which begins at paragraph 5.4).
Large service	A service which has more than 7 million monthly active United Kingdom users (see paragraphs 5.7 to 5.10).
Location information	The geographical location of a device linked to a user account, generated using data from the device, including, but not limited to, GPS data or data about connection with local Wi-Fi equipment.
Log	A record in a form that enables the continuous collection, storage and analysis of information relevant to the operation of algorithmic systems.

Term	Meaning
Monetised scheme	A scheme by which the <i>provider</i> of a service labels the user profile of a <i>user</i> who has made payment to the provider of the service or some other person. Such schemes may be open to all <i>users</i> and payment may be regular or one-off. <i>Users</i> participating in the scheme may benefit from access to additional features on the service. The label to indicate that a <i>user</i> is participating in a monetised scheme may appear on that <i>user's</i> user profile and/or any <i>content</i> they publish. Services may or may not refer to such schemes as "verification" schemes.
Multi-risk service	See paragraph 5.6.
Mute, Muting ²³	As defined in ICU J1. <mark>105</mark> .
Muted user ²⁴	As defined in ICU J1. <mark>105</mark> .
Muting user ²⁵	As defined in ICU J1. <mark>105</mark> .
Network expansion prompt	A recommendation to connect with one or more specified user accounts on the relevant service generated by automated means.
Network expansion prompt functionality	A functionality that generates network expansion prompts . This can include, but is not limited to, recommendations to connect with specific user accounts that have similar interests, that have location information which is close geographically, that are associated with the same school or workplace, or that have a user account that is a mutual connection.

 ²³ Amendments proposed in Illegal harms further consultation: User Controls published on 24 April 2025.
 ²⁴ Amendments proposed in Illegal harms further consultation: User Controls published on 24 April 2025.
 ²⁵ Amendments proposed in Illegal harms further consultation: User Controls published on 24 April 2025.

Term	Meaning
Notable user scheme	A scheme by which the <i>provider</i> of a service labels the user profile of a <i>user</i> to indicate to other <i>users</i> that they are notable. "Notable users" include but are not limited to politicians, celebrities, influencers, financial advisors, company executives, journalists, government departments and institutions, non-governmental organisations, financial institutions, media outlets, and companies. The label to indicate that a <i>user</i> is notable (for example a "tick" symbol) may appear on that <i>user's</i> user profile and/or any <i>content</i> they publish. <i>Providers</i> may or may not refer to such schemes as "verification" schemes.
One-to-many livestream/livestreaming	A <i>user-to-user service</i> functionality that allows a <u>single</u> <i>user</i> to simultaneously create and broadcast online streaming <i>content</i> (such as live video content) to multiple other users in, or very close to, real time.

Term	Meaning
On-platform testing	The process of live testing the operation of different variants of a content recommender system on a service across a control group and treatment groups comprised of users of the service. It involves the collection of data to produce metrics relating to certain identified factors, such as commercial or user safety. The methods may include (but are not limited to):
	 a) A/B testing: a randomised control trial in which treatment groups are served content from adjusted variants of the content recommender system, and a control group is served content from the existing variant of the content recommender system, with a view to comparing their performance against identified metrics.
	 b) Multi Arm Bandit Testing: a continuous experiment that uses machine learning techniques to dynamically allocate <i>users</i> to the best- performing variant of a content recommender system against a particular metric (e.g., average click- through rate per user) based on real- time data gathered during the test.
Perceptual hash matching technology	Image matching technology which compares the similarity between hashes created from images by means of an algorithm known as a perceptual hash function, to assess whether those images are perceptually similar to each other. This does not include technology which compares similarity through the use of machine learning.
Posting content	See content posted.
Posting content functionality	<i>User-to-user service</i> functionality allowing <i>users</i> to do one or more of generating, uploading or sharing <i>content</i> on open channels of communication.
Precision	A measure of statistical accuracy, calculated as the proportion of detected content that a relevant technology has correctly identified as target content .

Term	Meaning
Proactive technology criteria	As defined in ICU C11.3 and ICU C11.4.
Proscribed organisation	A group or organisation proscribed by the Secretary of State under section 3 of the Terrorism Act 2000.
Proscribed organisation content	Regulated user-generated content which amounts to an offence specified in any of the following paragraphs of Schedule 5 to the Act :
	a) paragraphs 1(a) to (e);
	 b) paragraphs 1(f) to (p) and 3, where the "terrorism" for the purpose of the offence is an action taken for the benefit of a proscribed organisation; or
	 c) paragraph 4 so far as any of the inchoate offences relate to an offence falling within points (a) or (b) above.
Prospective complainants	United Kingdom users and affected persons.
Reaction (to <i>content</i>)	Expressing a view on <i>content</i> , including, for example, by:
	a) applying a "like" or "dislike" button or other button of that nature,
	b) applying an emoji or symbol of any kind,
	c) engaging in yes/no voting, or
	 rating or scoring <i>content</i> in any way (including giving star or numerical ratings).
Recall	A measure of statistical accuracy, calculated as the proportion of target content analysed by a relevant technology that the technology has detected .
Recommended trusted flagger	As defined in ICU D14.2.
Record	A written record, in an easily understandable form, that is dated when made and on each occasion that is updated.

Term	Meaning
Registered relevant user ²⁶	As defined in ICU J1.5 (for the purpose of ICU J1) and ICU J2.5 (for the purpose of ICU J2).
Regulated pornographic content	Pornographic content other than content of a type described in section 61(6) of the Act .
Regulated user-to-user service	A <i>user-to-user service</i> as defined in section 3 of the Act , which is a regulated user-to-user service under section 4 of the Act (subject to the disapplication in section 5 of the Act).
Relevant available information	Information that is reasonably available to the provider from the operation of the service, such as:
	 a) metadata relating to content (including tags and labels);
	 b) data relating to the user uploading, generating or sharing content (such as whether other content uploaded, generated or shared by that user has been assessed to be illegal content);
	 c) reports about content which United Kingdom users or affected persons consider to be illegal content;
	 negative feedback given by users pursuant to Recommendation PCU E3, in the Protection of Children Code of Practice for user-to-user services (where applicable);
	e) other indicators generated by technology used on the service.

²⁶ Amendments proposed in Illegal harms further consultation: User Controls published on 24 April 2025.

Relevant complaints	The following kinds of complaint:
	 a) complaints (including reports) by United Kingdom users and affected persons about content present on a service which they consider to be illegal content;
	 b) complaints by United Kingdom users and affected persons if they consider that the provider is not complying with a duty set out in the following sections of the Act-
	 i) section 10 (illegal content safety duties),
	ii) section 20 (content reporting) sofar as it relates to illegal content;or
	iii) section 22 (freedom of expression or privacy);
	c) complaints by a <i>United Kingdom user</i> who has generated, uploaded or shared <i>content</i> on a service if that content is taken down on the basis that it is <i>illegal content</i> or <i>illegal</i> content proxy;
	 d) complaints by a United Kingdom user of a user-to-user service if the provider has given a warning to the user, suspended or banned the user from using the service, or in any other way restricted the user's ability to use the service, as a result of content generated, uploaded or shared by the user which the provider considers to be illegal content or illegal content proxy;
	 e) complaints by a United Kingdom user who has generated, uploaded or shared content on a service if:
	 the use of <i>proactive technology</i> on the service results in that content being taken down or access to it being restricted, or given a lower priority or otherwise becoming less likely to

Term	Meaning
	be encountered by other <i>users,</i> and
	 the user considers that the <i>proactive technology</i> has been used in a way not contemplated by, or in breach of, the <i>terms of service</i> (for example, by affecting <i>content</i> not of a kind specified in the <i>terms of service</i> as a kind of <i>content</i> in relation to which the technology would operate).
Relevant content	For the purposes of ICU C11 and ICU C12, as defined in ICU C11.5.
Relevant content moderation action	For the purposes of ICU C4.2 and ICU C4.3, as defined in ICU C4.5.
Relevant harms	For the purposes of ICU C11 and ICU C12, as defined in ICU C11.5.
Relevant illegal content	For the purposes of ICU C11 and ICU C12, as defined in ICU C11.5.
Relevant inputs	For the purposes of ICU C11 and ICU C12, as defined in ICU C11.5.
Relevant scheme(s)	For the purposes of Recommendation ICU J3 (notable user and monetised labelling schemes), as defined in ICU J3.2(a).
Relevant technology	The kind of technology specified in the measure in question.
Relevant user	For the purposes of Recommendation ICU J3 (notable user and monetised labelling schemes), as defined in ICU J3.2(b).
Reporting and complaints duties	The duty set out in section 20 of the Act , so far as it relates to <i>illegal content</i> , and the duties set out in section 21 of the Act , so far as relating to the complaints set out in section 21(4).

Term	Meaning
Reporting conduct	Making a complaint on the grounds that a <i>user</i> considers that a <i>provider</i> is not complying with its duties in relation to <i>illegal content</i> because the provider is allowing a <i>user</i> of a <i>service</i> it provides to use the features and functionalities of that service to increase the risk of <i>illegal harm</i> to individuals.
Reports	Complaints by United Kingdom users and affected persons about content present on a service which they consider to be illegal content , made using a reporting function or tool provided by the service.
Risk	See the subsection headed 'Risks of illegal harm' below (which begins at paragraph 5.4).
Risk assessment	The most recent risk assessment carried out by the <i>provider</i> pursuant to section 9 of the Act .
Sanction	 An action taken against a <i>user</i>. This includes: a) giving a warning to the <i>user</i>, b) suspending or banning the <i>user</i> from using the <i>service</i>, or c) in any other way restricting the <i>user's</i> ability to use the <i>service</i>. (Related expressions are to be read accordingly.)
Self-generated indecent imagery	CSAM or CSAM content proxy generated by a child user of themselves.
Service	A regulated user-to-user service.
Specified connection	For the purposes of Recommendation ICU F1, as defined in ICU F1.5.
Target content	<i>Content</i> of the kind the use of a relevant technology is designed to identify.

Term	Meaning
Trusted flagger	An entity which is a recommended trusted flagger and any other person:
	 a) whom the <i>provider</i> has reasonably determined has expertise in a particular illegal harm; and
	 b) for whom the <i>provider</i> has established a dedicated reporting channel.
URL	Uniform Resource Locator, meaning a reference that specifies the location of a resource accessible by means of the internet.
User communication	<i>User-to-user service</i> functionality type that describes functionalities by means of which <i>users</i> can communicate with one another either synchronously or asynchronously. Includes communication across open and closed channels.
User connection functionality	A <i>user-to-user service</i> functionality that allows users to create connections .
User profile	A collection of information that has been shared by a <i>user</i> and may be viewed by other <i>users</i> of the service.
	This can include, but is not limited to, a username, biography or profile picture, as well as <i>content</i> generated, uploaded or shared by the <i>user</i> operating the user account associated with the user profile.
Volunteer	An individual who, in relation to the activity in question, is not:
	 a) employed by the <i>provider</i> or anyone else,
	b) remunerated,
	c) acting by way of a business.

Table B - Terms used in these Codes that have the meaning given in the Act

Term	Meaning under the OSA
Adult	Section 236(1)
Affected person	Section 20(5)

	See also section 21(7).
Age estimation	Section 230(3) and (4)
	"Age estimation" means any measure designed to estimate the age or age-range of users of a regulated service. A measure which requires a user to self- declare their age (without more) is not to be regarded as age estimation.
Age verification	Section 230(2) and (4)
	"Age verification" means any measure designed to verify the exact age of users of a regulated service. A measure which requires a user to self-declare their age (without more) is not to be regarded as age verification.
Category 1 service	Section 95(10)(a)
	Section 95(2)(a) requires Ofcom to establish a register, a part of which sets out the regulated user- to-user services which Ofcom considers meet the Category 1 threshold conditions (as specified in regulations made under paragraph 1(1) of Schedule 11 to the Act). Services for the time being included in that part of the register are Category 1 services.
Child	Section 236(1)
Combined service	 Section 4(7) Paragraph 7(2) of Schedule 1 sets out the conditions to be met for a search engine not to be considered a public search engine. See the entry for "search engine" regarding the definition of that term. A "regulated user-to-user service" is a "user-to-user service" (see the entry for "user-to-user service" regarding the definition of that term) which is a regulated user-to-user service under section 4 (subject to the disapplication in section 5).
Content	Section 236(1) See the entry for "internet service" regarding the definition of that term. See section 232 in relation to "content communicated "publicly" or "privately".

	Under section 231(1), content identification technology is listed as a form of "proactive technology". Section 231(3) describes situations where content identification technology will not be proactive technology.
CSEA content	Section 59(9)
	Schedule 6 lists the relevant offences for determining when content is CSEA content.
	Sections 59(11) to (14) contain further interpretative provisions.
	See the entries for "combined service", "content", "regulated user-generated content" and "search content" regarding the definitions of those terms.
	A "regulated user-to-user service" is a "user-to-user service" (see the entry for "user-to-user service" regarding the definition of that term) which is a regulated user-to-user service under section 4 (subject to the disapplication in section 5).
Encounter (in relation to content)	Section 236(1)
Illegal content	Section 59(2)
	Section 59(3) sets out when content will amount to a relevant offence. Sections 59(4), (5) and (7) set out what is meant by a relevant offence. Section 59(6) describes offences which are not relevant offences. Sections 59(11) to (15) contain further interpretative provisions.
	See the entries for "combined service", "content", "regulated user-generated content" and "search content" regarding the definitions of those terms.
	A "regulated user-to-user service" is a "user-to-user service" (see the entry for "user-to-user service" regarding the definition of that term) which is a regulated user-to-user service under section 4 (subject to the disapplication in section 5).
Internet service	Section 228(1) and section 204(1)
	Sections 228(2) and (3) describe what is meant by a service that is made available by means of the internet.
Likely to be accessed by children	Section 37

(1) sets out what is meant by a "children's essment". Section 35(3) sets out when the r condition" is met in relation to a service. details the requirement to carry out a
access assessment. Schedule 3 makes about the deadline by which a first s access assessment" must be carried out. 66 defines a "child" (see also section 35(5)). 3) defines "Part 3 service". Sections 230(2) fine "age verification". Sections 230(3) and "age estimation". Section 236(1) defines ser part" in relation to a "user-to-user htries for "search engine", "United user", "user-generated content" and "user- rvice" regarding the definitions of those
16(1) Itry for "content" regarding the definition m.
0(10)
9(8) and (9) define "terrorism content" content". Sections 59(11) to (14) contain erpretative provisions.
ntries for "combined service", "content", d user-generated content" and "search regarding the definitions of those terms.
ed user-to-user service" is a "user-to-user ee the entry for "user-to-user service" the definition of that term) which is a user-to-user service under section 4 o the disapplication in section 5).
<u>9(7)</u>
31(1)
31 (4) and (6) define "user profiling y" and "behaviour identification y". Sections 231(3), (5) and (7) explain se technologies will not be proactive y. Sections 231(8) to (13) contain further tive provisions. htries for "combined service", "content", dentification technology", "CSEA content",
g g g a a

	 "illegal content", "internet service", "search engine", "terrorism content", "user", "user-generated content" and "user-to-user service" regarding the definition of those terms. Sections 3(4) and 204(1) define "search service".
	Section 79(2) defines "provider pornographic content". Section 236(1) defines "pornographic content". Section 236(1) defines "personal data". Section 4(4) defines "regulated service". Sections 125(12) and (13) define "accredited technology".
	A "regulated user-to-user service" is a "user-to-user service" (see the entry for "user-to-user service" regarding the definition of that term) which is a regulated user-to-user service under section 4 (subject to the disapplication in section 5).
	A "regulated search service" is an internet service (see the entry for "internet service" regarding the definition of that term) that is, or includes, a search engine (subject to sections 3(5) to (7) of the Act) (see the entry for "search engine" regarding the definition of that term) that is a regulated search service under section 4 of the Act (subject to the disapplication in section 5 of the Act).
Provider	Section 226
	See the entries for "combined service", "internet service", "search engine", and "user" regarding the definition of those terms. Sections 3(4) and 204(1) define "search service".
Regulated pornographic content	<i>Pornographic content</i> other than content of a type
Regulated pornographic content	described in section 61(6) of the Act.
Regulated provider pornographic content	Section 79(3)
	Section 79(2) defines "provider pornographic content". Section 236(1) defines "pornographic content".
	See the entries for "content", "combined service", "internet service", "search results", "search content" and "user-generated content" regarding the definitions of those terms.
Regulated user-generated content	Section 55(2)
	Sections 55(5) to (12) contain interpretative provisions.

	 A "regulated user-to-user service" is a "user-to-user service" (see the entry for "user-to-user service" regarding the definition of that term) which is a regulated user-to-user service under section 4 (subject to the disapplication in section 5). See the entries for "content", "user" and "user-generated content" regarding the definitions of those terms. Section 236(1) defines "identifying content". Section 56 defines "recognised news publisher".
Search content	Section 57(2)
	Sections 57(4) and (5) set out the meaning of "search" and "via search results". Sections 3(4) and 204(1) define "search service". See the entries for "content", "internet service", "search engine", "search results", and "user-to-user service" regarding the definitions of those terms. Section 236(1) defines "paid-for-advertisements". See the entry for "internet service" regarding the definition of that term. Section 56(1) defines "recognised news publisher".
Search engine	Section 229 Section 57(4) defines "search". See the entries for "internet service" and "user-to- user service" regarding the definitions of those terms.
Search or search request	Section 57(4)
Search results	Section 57(3) Sections 3(4) and 204(1) define "search service". See the entries for "internet service", "search engine", "search request" and "user" regarding the definitions of those terms.
Sustams and /ax processo	Section 226(1)
Systems and/or processes	Section 236(1)
Taking down	Section 236(1)
	See the entries for "content" and "user-to-user service" regarding the definitions of those terms.

Terms of service	Section 236(1)
	See the entries for "United Kingdom user" and "user-to-user service" regarding the definitions of those terms.
Terrorism content	Section 59(8)
	Schedule 5 lists the relevant offences for determining when content is terrorism content.
	Sections 59(11) to (14) contain further interpretative provisions.
	See the entries for "combined service", "content", "regulated user-generated content" and "search content" regarding the definitions of those terms.
	A "regulated user-to-user service" is a "user-to-user service" (see the entry for "user-to-user service" regarding the definition of that term) which is a regulated user-to-user service under section 4 (subject to the disapplication in section 5).
United Kingdom user	Section 227(1)
	See the entry for "user" regarding the definition of that term.
	Section 227(2)-(3)
	Section 227(3) sets out individuals and entities that will not be users for the purposes of the Act. Sections 227(4) to (6) provide further interpretation of terminology used throughout section 227.
User	See the entries for "internet service", "search engine" and "user-to-user service" regarding the definitions of those terms. Section 57(4) defines "search". Sections 3(4) and 204(1) define "search service". Sections 228(2) and (3) describe what is meant by a service that is made available by means of the internet.
	Section 231(9)
User data	See the entries for "provider" and "user" regarding the definitions of those terms.
	Section 55(3) and (4)
User-generated content	See the entries for "user" and "user-to-user service" regarding the definitions of those terms.

	Section 55(4) provides interpretation of the scope of "content generated, uploaded or shared by a user" and explains when a bot or other automated tool may be regarded as a user of a service.
User-to-user part (of a service)	Section 236(1) See the entries for "user-generated content" and "user-to-user service" regarding the definitions of those terms.
User-to-user service	Section 3(1) and (2) and section 204(1) See the entries for "content", "encounter", "internet service" and "user" regarding the definitions of those terms.

Risks of illegal harm

Risk of a kind of illegal harm

- 5.4 A service is at medium or high risk of a kind of illegal harm set out in table C if either:
 - a) the **risk assessment** of the service identified a medium or high risk²⁷ (as the case may be) in relation to the offences (taken together) as specified in relation to that kind of harm in table C; or
 - b) by virtue of a confirmation decision given under section 134 of the Act in relation to a risk of serious harm, the duty set out in section 10(2)(b) or (c) of the Act applies in relation to the service as if an illegal content risk assessment carried out by the provider pursuant to section 9 of the Act had identified a medium or high risk of serious harm (as the case may be) in relation to that kind of harm.
- 5.5 In relation to each offence specified in rows 2A to 17 of table C, the offence also, to the extent relevant, includes the offences of encouraging, assisting, conspiring to commit, aiding, abetting, counselling, procuring, attempting, or (in Scotland) inciting or being involved art and part in, the commission of that offence.

Multi-risk services

5.6 A service is a multi-risk service if it is at medium or high risk of two or more kinds of illegal harm set out in table C (excluding the kinds of illegal harm set out in rows 2A, 2B and 2C).

²⁷ Ofcom has given guidance on risk assessments entitled 'Risk Assessment Guidance and Risk Profiles' (16 December 2024).

Table C – Kinds of illegal harm

	Kind of illegal harm	Offences
1.	Terrorism	An offence specified in Schedule 5 to the Act .
2.	CSEA	An offence specified in Schedule 6 to the Act .
2A.	Image-based CSAM	An offence specified in any of paragraphs 1 to 4, 7, 8 or 10 of Schedule 6 to the Act , so far as the risk in relation to those offences relates to CSAM in the form of photographs, videos or visual images.
2B.	CSAM URLs	An offence specified in any of paragraphs 1 to 4, 7, 8 or 10 of Schedule 6 to the Act , so far as the risk in relation to those offences relates to users encountering CSAM by means of or facilitated by URLs present on the service.
2C.	Grooming	An offence specified in any of paragraphs 5, 6, 11 or 12 of Schedule 6 to the Act .
3.	Encouraging or assisting	An offence under:
	suicide(or attempted	(a) section 2 of the Suicide Act 1961 (assisting suicide etc);
	suicide)	(b) section 13 of the Criminal Justice Act (Northern Ireland) 1966 (c. 20 (N.I.)) (assisting suicide etc).
4.	Hate	An offence under any of the following provisions of the Public Order Act 1986—
		(a) section 18 (use of words or behaviour or display of written material);
		(b) section 19 (publishing or distributing written material);
		(c) section 21 (distributing, showing or playing a recording);
		(d) section 29B (use of words or behaviour or display of written material);
		(e) section 29C (publishing or distributing written material);
		(f) section 29E (distributing, showing or playing a recording).
		An offence under any of the following provisions of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998—
		(a) section 31 (racially or religiously aggravated public order offences);
		(b) section 32 (racially or religiously aggravated harassment etc).

	Kind of illegal harm	Offences
5.	Harassment, stalking, threats and abuse	An offence under section 16 of the Offences against the Person Act 1861 (threats to kill).
		An offence under any of the following provisions of the Public Order Act 1986—
		(a) section 4 (fear or provocation of violence);
		(b) section 4A (intentional harassment, alarm or distress);
		(c) section 5 (harassment, alarm or distress).
		An offence under any of the following provisions of the Protection from Harassment Act 1997—
		(a) section 2 (harassment);
		(b) section 2A (stalking);
		(c) section 4 (putting people in fear of violence);
		(d) section 4A (stalking involving fear of violence or serious alarm or distress).
		An offence under any of the following provisions of the Protection from Harassment (Northern Ireland) Order 1997 (S.I. 1997/1180 (N.I. 9))—
		(a) Article 4 (harassment);
		(b) Article 6 (putting people in fear of violence)
		An offence under any of the following provisions of the Criminal Justice and Licensing (Scotland) Act 2010 (asp 13)—
		(a) section 38 (threatening or abusive behaviour);
		(b) section 39 (stalking).
6.	Controlling or coercive behaviour	An offence under section 76 of the Serious Crime Act 2015 (controlling or coercive behaviour in an intimate or family relationship).
7.	Drugs and psychoactive substances	An offence under any of the following provisions of the Misuse of Drugs Act 1971—
		(a) section 4(3) (unlawful supply, or offer to supply, of controlled drugs);
		(b) section 9A (prohibition of supply etc of articles for administering or preparing controlled drugs);
		(c) section 19 (inciting any other offence under that Act).
		An offence under section 5 of the Psychoactive Substances Act 2016 (supplying, or offering to supply, a psychoactive substance).

8.	Firearms and other weapons	An offence under section 1(1) or (2) of the Restriction of Offensive Weapons Act 1959 (sale etc of flick knife etc).
		An offence under any of the following provisions of the Firearms Act 1968—
		(a) section 1(1) (purchase etc of firearms or ammunition without certificate);
		(b) section 2(1) (purchase etc of shot gun without certificate);
		(c) section 3(1) (dealing etc in firearms or ammunition by way of trade or business without being registered);
		(d) section 3(2) (sale etc of firearms or ammunition to person other than registered dealer);
		(e) section 5(1), (1A) or (2A) (purchase, sale etc of prohibited weapons);
		(f) section 21(5) (sale etc of firearms or ammunition to persons previously convicted of crime);
		(g) section 22(1) (purchase etc of firearms or ammunition by person under 18);
		(h) section 24 (supplying firearms to minors);
		(i) section 24A (supplying imitation firearms to minors).
		An offence under any of the following provisions of the Crossbows Act 1987—
		(a) section 1 (sale and letting on hire of crossbow);
		(b) section 2 (purchase and hiring of crossbow).
		An offence under any of the following provisions of the Criminal Justice Act 1988—
		(a) section 141(1) or (4) (sale etc of offensive weapons);
		(b) section 141A (sale of knives etc to persons under 18).
		An offence under any of the following provisions of the Criminal Justice (Northern Ireland) Order 1996 (S.I. 1996/3160 (N.I. 24))—
		(a) Article 53 (sale etc of knives);
		(b) Article 54 (sale of knives etc to minors).
		An offence under any of the following provisions of the Knives Act 1997—
		(a) section 1 (unlawful marketing of knives);
		(b) section 2 (publication of material in connection with marketing of knives).

	Kind of illegal harm	Offences
		An offence under any of the following provisions of the Firearms (Northern Ireland) Order 2004 (S.I. 2004/702 (N.I. 3))—
		(a) Article 24 (sale etc of firearms or ammunition without certificate);
		(b) Article 37(1) (sale etc of firearms or ammunition to person without certificate etc);
		(c) Article 45(1) or (2) (purchase, sale etc of prohibited weapons);
		(d) Article 63(8) (sale etc of firearms or ammunition to people who have been in prison etc);
		(e) Article 66A (supplying imitation firearms to minors).
		An offence under section 36(1)(c) or (d) of the Violent Crime Reduction Act 2006 (sale etc of realistic imitation firearms).
		An offence under any of the following provisions of the Air Weapons and Licensing (Scotland) Act 2015 (asp 10)—
		(a) section 2 (requirement for air weapon certificate);
		(b) section 24 (restrictions on sale etc of air weapons).
9.	Unlawful immigration	An offence under any of the following provisions of the Immigration Act 1971—
	~	(a) section 24(A1), (B1), (C1) or (D1) (illegal entry and similar offences);
		(b) section 25 (assisting unlawful immigration).
10.	Human trafficking	An offence under section 2 of the Modern Slavery Act 2015 (human trafficking).
		An offence under section 1 of the Human Trafficking and Exploitation (Scotland) Act 2015 (asp 12) (human trafficking).
		An offence under section 2 of the Human Trafficking and Exploitation (Criminal Justice and Support for Victims) Act (Northern Ireland) 2015 (c. 2 (N.I.)) (human trafficking).

	Kind of illegal harm	Offences
11.	Sexual exploitation of adults	An offence under any of the following provisions of the Sexual Offences Act 2003—
		(a) section 52 (causing or inciting prostitution for gain);
		(b) section 53 (controlling prostitution for gain).
		An offence under any of the following provisions of the Sexual Offences (Northern Ireland) Order 2008 (S.I. 2008/1769 (N.I. 2))—
		(a) Article 62 (causing or inciting prostitution for gain);
		(b) Article 63 (controlling prostitution for gain).
12.	Extreme pornography	An offence under section 63 of the Criminal Justice and Immigration Act 2008 (possession of extreme pornographic images).
13.	Intimate image abuse	An offence under section 66B of the Sexual Offences Act 2003 (sharing or threatening to share intimate image or film).
		An offence under section 2 of the Abusive Behaviour and Sexual Harm (Scotland) Act 2016 (asp 22) (disclosing, or threatening to disclose, an intimate photograph or film).
14.	Proceeds of crime	An offence under any of the following provisions of the Proceeds of Crime Act 2002—
		(a) section 327 (concealing etc criminal property);
		(b) section 328 (arrangements facilitating acquisition etc of criminal property);
		(c) section 329 (acquisition, use and possession of criminal property).
	BUL	

	Kind of illegal harm	Offences
15.	Fraud (and financial services)	An offence under any of the following provisions of the Fraud Act 2006—
		(a) section 2 (fraud by false representation);
		(b) section 4 (fraud by abuse of position);
		(c) section 7 (making or supplying articles for use in frauds);
		(d) section 9 (participating in fraudulent business carried on by sole trader etc).
		An offence under section 49(3) of the Criminal Justice and Licensing (Scotland) Act 2010 (articles for use in fraud).
		An offence under any of the following provisions of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000—
		(a) section 23 (contravention of prohibition on carrying on regulated activity unless authorised or exempt);
		(b) section 24 (false claims to be authorised or exempt);
		(c) section 25 (contravention of restrictions on financial promotion).
		An offence under any of the following provisions of the Financial Services Act 2012—
		(a) section 89 (misleading statements);
		(b) section 90 (misleading impressions).
16.	Foreign interference offence	An offence under section 13 of the National Security Act 2023 (foreign interference).
17.	Animal cruelty	An offence under section 4(1) of the Animal Welfare Act 2006 (unnecessary suffering of an animal).

User numbers

- 5.7 This subsection applies for the purpose of determining whether a **service** has more than a particular number of monthly **active United Kingdom users**.
- 5.8 A service has more than a particular number of monthly active United Kingdom users:
 - a) from such time as the average number of monthly **active United Kingdom users** is more than that number; and
 - b) until such time as the average number of monthly **active United Kingdom users** has been at or below that number for a continuous period of six months.
- 5.9 A service's average number of monthly active United Kingdom users is the mean number of active United Kingdom users per month for:
 - a) the six-month period ending with the month preceding the time in question; or

- b) where the **service** has been in operation for less than six months, the period for which the service has been in operation.
- 5.10 In this subsection, an **active United Kingdom user** means any **United Kingdom user** who has accessed the **user-to-user part** of the **service**.

ORAFT FOR CONSULTATION