

DPA focuses on the following <u>questions</u>: 1, 2, 6, 9, 26, 27, 29, 31, 33, 34, 37.

# Call for evidence response form

# Your response – Additional terms of service duties

Questions 1 – 5: Terms of service and policy statements

For all respondents

Question 1: What can providers of online services do to enhance the clarity and accessibility of terms of service and public policy statements?

Please submit evidence about what features make terms or policies clear and accessible.

Response: Provide simple summary descriptions of the key points, headlines, or principles, into no more than 250 words of plain English. Access to these should be quick and they should be readily available from the home page of the service, perhaps in a process comparable to display of cookies and privacy notices. Co-operate with their peers and regulators such as Ofcom or the Information Commissioner's Office on producing model terms and conditions so that individual services can focus on where theirs differ from the model.

Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)

Response: No

Question 2: How do you think service providers can help users to understand whether action taken by the provider against content (including taking it down or restricting access to it) or action taken to ban or suspend a user would be justified under the terms of service?

In your response to this question please consider and provide any evidence related to the level of detail provided in the terms of service themselves, whether services should provide user support materials to help users understand the terms of service and, if so, what kinds of user support materials they can or should provide.

Response: Provide succinct examples (500 words of plain English) of where action would be taken and where it would not.

Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)

Response: No

# For providers of online services

Question 3: How do you ensure users understand the provisions in your terms of service about taking down content, restricting access to content, or suspending or banning a user from accessing the service and the actions you might take in response to violations of those terms of service?

In your response to this question, please provide information relating to (a) – (d) where relevant.

Response:

(a) how you ensure your terms of service enable users to understand both what is and is not allowed on your service, and how you will respond to user violations of these rules;

Response:

(b) any relevant considerations about the risk of bad actors taking advantage of transparency around your terms of service and how they are enforced;

Response:

(c) details about any user support materials or functionalities you provide to assist users to better understand or navigate your terms of service or related products;

Response:

(d) any other information.

Response:

Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)

Response:

Question 4: Please describe the processes you have in place to measure user engagement with and comprehension of your terms of service and how you make improvements when required.

In your response to this request, please provide information relating to (a) – (f) where relevant.

Response:

(a) how you measure user engagement with/comprehension of your terms of service and the metrics you collect;

Response:

(b) any behavioural research you undertake to better understand engagement with and/or comprehension of your terms of service (including any research into reasons why users do not engage with terms of service);

Response:

(c) any measures you have taken to improve engagement with and/or comprehension of your terms of service, including (but not limited to) how the findings of any behavioural research influenced these measures and/or any design changes (e.g. prompts to remind users to read the

terms of the service, changes to the structure of the terms of service or changes to how users access the terms of service etc.);

Response:

(d) costs of these processes (including the design, implementation and continued use of these processes or updated versions of these processes);

Response:

(e) how you evaluate the effectiveness of measures designed to improve engagement with and/or comprehension of your terms of service;

Response:

(f) any other information.

Response:

Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)

Question 5: Please describe any evidence you have about the effectiveness of using different types of mechanisms to promote compliance with terms of service or change user behaviour in the event of a violation, or potential violation, of terms of service.

In your response to this request, please provide information relating to (a) - (d) where relevant.

# Response:

Response:

(a) any evidence about the effectiveness of enforcement measures such as taking down content, restricting access to content, or suspending or banning user accounts in relation to encouraging users to comply with specific aspects of terms of service in the future

# Response:

(b) any evidence about how effective non-enforcement mechanisms are at reducing violations of the terms of service or repeated violations, including the type of non-enforcement mechanism and how it is implemented (e.g. prompts for users to consider the appropriateness of their content before posting it to the service (with or without links to specific provisions within the terms of service), or prompts for users to review certain provisions within the terms of service when their content is found to violate these provisions)

Response:

(c) any information and/or evidence on the costs of designing and implementing different types of enforcement or non-enforcement mechanisms (including costs of the research behind the design, implementation and continued assessment/study of these mechanisms)

Response:

(d) any other information.

Response:

Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)

Res	ทด	ns	e	•
1103	μυ	113	L	•

# Questions 6 – 8: Reporting and complaints processes

# For all respondents

Question 6: What can providers of online services do to enhance the transparency, accessibility, ease of use and users' awareness of their reporting and complaints mechanisms?

In your response to this question, please provide evidence about what features make user reporting and complaints systems effective.

In your response to this question, please provide information relating to (a) - (h) where relevant.

Response: Ofcom as a regulator could offer a standard terms of service in regulation. Review by the regulator could illustrate how any other terms of services is different to any minimum standard terms. Deviations could be made where necessary but if there were a conflict or issue, reference could be made to these terms as if they were supporting a licensing regime. There should be an Ombudsman dedicated to Ofcom for this type of review.

(a) reporting or complaints routes for registered users, non-registered users and potential complainants (being affected persons who are not users of the service)

Make the processes available to groups like Citizens Advice and Consumer Association for comparison and comment.

(b) how to ensure that reporting and complaints mechanisms are not misused

Create processes for third party audit of the bona fides of complainants, for example, using an organisation with a global reputation like the Centre for Effective Dispute Resolution which specialises in mediation and alternative dispute resolution.

(c) the key choices and factors involved in designing these mechanisms

Public credibility and confidence, including with the large advertisers who ultimately fund most online services.

(d) how users can or should be supported to report/complain about specific concerns (e.g., other users, certain types of content or, appeal content takedowns or account bans)

Support for Citizens Advice and/or comparable groups.

(e) how to ensure they are user-friendly and accessible to all users (e.g., disabled users, children)

Consultation with groups like AbilityNet and NSPCC and the specialist charities.

(f) whether users are informed that their reports are anonymous (e.g., other users will not be informed about who has reported their content or account);

Processes for anonymous reporting or with-holding the identity of the complainant should be accompanied by notification to a reputable third party (acceptable to regulators Ofcom/ICO) to enable redress in the event of abuse of the complaint system, such as by a commercial competitor or vengeful neighbour.

(g) any user support materials that explain how to use the reporting and complaints process and what will happen when users engage with these systems

Response: These should be checked for clarity with consumer groups.

(h) any other information.

Response:

Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)

Response: No

# For providers of online services

Question 7: Can you provide any evidence or information about the best practices for effective reporting and/or complaints mechanisms, and how these processes are designed and maintained?

In your response to this question, please provide evidence relating to (a) - (j) where relevant.

Response:

(a) how users report harmful content on your service(s) (including the mechanisms' location and prominence for users, and any screenshots you can provide);

Response:

(b) whether there are separate or different reporting or complaints mechanisms or processes for different types of content and/or for different types of users, including children;

Response:

(c) how users appeal against content takedowns, content restrictions or account suspensions or bans;

Response:

(d) what type of content or conduct users and non-users may make a complaint about / report, including any specific lists or categories;

Response:

(e) whether users need to create accounts to access reporting and complaints mechanisms (if there are multiple mechanisms, please provide information for each mechanism);

Response:

- (f) whether reporting and complaints mechanisms are effective, in terms of:
  - (i) enabling users to easily report content they consider to be potentially the types of content specified in the relevant terms of service, and how to determine effectiveness;

Response:

(ii) enabling, supporting or improving the accuracy of user reporting in relation to identifying the types of content specified in the relevant terms of service, and how to determine effectiveness;

Response:

(iii) enabling, supporting or improving the provider's ability to detect and take timely enforcement action against content or users as specified in the relevant terms of service, and how to determine effectiveness; Response: (g) whether there are any reporting or complaints mechanisms you consider to be less effective in terms of identifying certain types of content and how you determine this; Response: (h) the use of trusted flaggers (and if reports from trusted flaggers should be prioritised over reports or complaints from users); Response: (i) the cost involved in designing and maintaining reporting and/or complaints mechanisms, including any relevant issues, difficulties or considerations relating to scalability; and Response: (j) any other information. Response: Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential) Response: Question 8: What actions do or should services take in response to reports or complaints about

Question 8: What actions do or should services take in response to reports or complaints about content that is potentially prohibited or accounts engaging in potentially prohibited activity?

In your response to this question, please include information relating to (a) - (g) where relevant.

Response:

- (a) what proportion of reports are reviewed, and what proportion result in action taken including;
  - (i) any potential variation in the number and actionability (i.e., the proportion that result in a takedown or other action) of reports or complaints in relation to different provisions within your terms of service;

Response:

(ii) any differences for cases involving multiple reports/complaints about a single piece of content or user;

Response:

(iii) the costs associated with reviewing reports;

Response:

- (b) whether any reports or complaints are expedited or directed to specialist teams, including:
  - (i) the criteria for this;

Response:

(ii) the cost involved in facilitating this; Response: (c) the extent to which relevant individuals (content creators, users, and non-registered or logged-out users) are informed about the progress of their report or complaint, including: (i) if they are not, the reasons why; Response: (ii) if they are, what is included when users are informed about the progress of their report (e.g. receipt of the report, the progress of the report through the service's review process, and/or the outcome of the report); Response: (iii) the technical mechanisms/process to inform any relevant individuals about the progress of their report (e.g., whether non-registered users are provided an opportunity to provide an email address); Response: (iv) any differences in responses to different types of reports (e.g., reports about content or an account a user believes violates the terms of service, about the provider not operating in line with its terms of service, or about the accessibility, clarity or comprehensibility of those terms of service); Response: (v) the costs associated with responding to reports; Response: (d) what happens to the content while it is being assessed/processed (e.g., if and how it may still be found or viewed by other users); Response: (e) any internal or external timeframes or key performance indicators (KPIs) for reviewing and/or acting on reports or complaints; Response: (f) any user support materials that are used or should be used to support users understand the service's responses to reports, or how users can appeal moderation decisions about their content or accounts, or about decisions taken in response to reports they have submitted about other users' content or accounts; Response: (g) any other information. Response: Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential) Response:

# Questions 9 – 15: Moderation

# For all respondents

Question 9: Could improvements be made to content moderation to deliver more consistent enforcement of terms of service, without unduly restricting user activity? If so, what improvements could be made?

In your response to this question, please provide information relating to (a) –(c) where relevant.

Response: Reviews of the terms of service operations through independent parties such as the Law Commission, perhaps with funding provided to third parties to do so.

(a) improvements in terms of user safety and user rights (e.g., freedom of expression), as well as any relevant considerations around potential costs or cost drivers;

Response:

(b) evidence of the effectiveness of existing moderation systems including any relevant examples of the accuracy, bias and or effectiveness of specific moderation processes;

Response:

(c) any other information.

Response:

Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)

Response: No

# For providers of online services

Question 10: Please describe circumstances where you have taken or would take enforcement action against content or users outside of what is set out publicly in your terms of service and the reasons for taking this action.

In your response to this question, please provide information relating to (a) - (e) where relevant.

Response:

(a) the types of action taken, and frequency of these actions (including per type of action);

Response:

(b) how relevant content or users were or would be brought to your attention;

Response:

(c) any policies, approaches or processes you have used or would use to guide moderation decisions in these cases;

Response:

(d) whether new policies are or would be written in response to these cases, and if so:

(i) whether and when these new policies are written before enforcement action is taken or after;
Response:
(ii) when and how these new policies would be added to or included in your publicly available terms of service;
Response:
(e) any other information.
Response:
Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)
Response:
Question 11: If you are made aware of content or an account that potentially violates your terms of service, please describe any relevant circumstances which might not result in enforcement action, immediately or at all.
In your response to this question, please provide describe (with examples) any relevant circumstances relating to (a) – (e).
Response:
(a) circumstances that relate to issues or challenges within your content moderation system (e.g. moderator error, language or local knowledge gaps, content is no longer available (e.g. livestream), nuance/context of content means it is found non-violative, further investigation needs to be done before action can be taken);
Response:
(b) circumstances that relate to issues or challenges within your terms of service and/or associated policies (e.g. new iterations of a harm falls outside the scope of internal moderation policies, individual piece of content is only of concern at scale (but itself does not violate policies);
Response:
(c) circumstances that relate to competing priorities (e.g., freedom of expression, public interest concerns);
Response:
(d) circumstances that would be understood by a user who has read the terms of service and why or why not, (e.g., the terms of service sets out exception for not removing violating content (e.g. news content), or transparency is not provided to avoid empowering bad actors);
Response:
(e) any other information.
Response:
Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)
Response:

Question 12: What automated systems do you have in place to enforce terms of service provisions about taking down or restricting access to content or suspending or banning accounts?

In your response to this question, please provide information relating to (a) – (d).

## Response:

- (a) the suitability/effectiveness of automated systems to identify content or accounts likely to violate different provisions within your terms of service, including the factors that materially impact suitability/effectiveness (e.g. language of content, type of content) including:
  - (i) the suitability/effectiveness of automated systems to take down content, apply access restrictions or ban accounts in relation to any or certain provisions within your terms of service without further assistance from human moderation;

# Response:

(ii) how you use your recommender systems to restrict access to certain content, and how you measure the effectiveness and any unintended consequences of using the recommender system in this way;

# Response:

(iii) whether and how automated moderation systems differ by type of content (e.g., audio, video, text) or type of violation (of provisions within your terms of service) and any relevant information about costs of these different systems;

# Response:

(iv) how data is used to develop, train, test or operate content moderation systems is sourced for different provisions within your terms of service;

## Response:

(v) how performance/effectiveness/accuracy of automated systems are assessed and improvements then made, including any relevant considerations or differences for different provisions within the terms of service (e.g., tolerance level for false negatives and false positives between different provisions);

# Response:

(vi) how and when automated systems are updated, and the trigger for this (e.g., in response to changing user behaviour or emerging harms);

## Response:

(vii) what safeguards are employed to mitigate biases or adverse impacts of automated content moderation (e.g., on privacy and/or freedom of expression), and any relevant considerations or differences for different provisions within the terms of service;

# Response:

(b) the range and quality of third-party content moderation system providers available in the UK, particularly for different provisions within your terms of service;

Response:
(c) the process and costs associated with expanding use of existing automated moderation systems for additional provisions in your terms of service, and any relevant barriers or challenges in deploying these automated moderation systems or expanding or upgrading these systems to cover new or additional provisions;
Response:
(d) any other information.
Response:
Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)
Response:

Question 13: How do you use human moderators to enforce terms of service provisions about taking down or restricting access to content, or suspending or banning accounts?

In your response to this question, please provide information relating to (a) – (c).

# Response:

- (a) how you determine your services' resource requirements in relation to human moderation, and the factors (or key factors) that impact these requirements (e.g., increases in content or users, the range or types of content prohibited in your terms of service or technological advances in your automated system) including;
  - (i) which languages are covered by your moderation team and how you decide which languages to cover;

# Response:

(ii) whether moderators are employed by the service or outsourced, or are volunteers/users and any differences regarding how different provisions within the terms of service are moderated;

# Response:

(iii) whether and how moderators are vetted, and any relevant consideration for how moderators are assigned to different roles relating to different provisions within the terms of service;

# Response:

(iv) the type of coverage (e.g., weekends or overnight, UK time) moderators provide and any relevant considerations for different provisions within the terms of service;

# Response:

(b) the process and costs associated with extending the use of human moderation for new/additional provisions in your terms of service, and any relevant barriers or challenges to adding new/additional provisions in your terms of service in relation to your human moderation resources;

## Response:

(c) any other information.
Response:
Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)
Response:
Question 14: What training and support is or should be provided to moderators, and what are
the costs incurred by providing this training and support?
In your response to this question, please provide information relating to (a) $-$ (g).
Response:
(a) whether certain moderators are specialised in certain harms or subject material relating to different provisions in the terms of service;
Response:
(b) how services can/should/do assess the accuracy and consistency of human moderation teams;
Response:
(c) the impact of mental health or well-being support for moderators on the effectiveness of content moderation (including impacts on turn-over in moderation teams);
Response:
(d) whether training is provided and/or updated (including for emerging harms), and the frequency of these updates;
Response:
(e) the costs of creating training materials and support systems, and then the costs of updating or expanding these materials and systems (when relevant/required);
Response:
(f) how training, guidance and/or any relevant support systems and/or materials are provided to moderators including which moderators it is provided to (internal, contract, volunteer etc);
Response:
(g) any other information.
Response:
Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)
Response:

Question 15: How do human moderators and automated systems work together, and what is their relative scale in relation to each other regarding how you ensure your terms of service are enforced?

In your response to this question, please provide information relating to (a) – (e).

# Response:

(a) how and when automated systems or human moderators are deployed in the moderation process;

# Response:

(b) the costs of different systems or processes and of using different combinations of these systems and processes. In the absence of specific costs, please provide indication of cost drivers (e.g., moderator location) and other relevant figures (e.g., number of moderators employed, how many items the service moderates per day);

# Response:

(c) how the outputs of human moderators, or appeal decisions are used to update the automated systems, and what steps are taken to mitigate bias;

# Response:

(d) whether there are any relevant differences or considerations for costs or quality assurance processes for moderating different provisions within the terms of service; and

# Response:

(e) any other information.

# Response:

Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)

Response:

# Your response – News publisher content, journalistic content and content of democratic importance

Questions 16 - 17: Identifying, defining, and categorising journalistic content, news publisher content and content of democratic importance

#### For all respondents

Question 16: What methods should service providers use to identify and define journalistic content and content of democratic importance, particularly at scale?

In your response to this question, please provide information relating to (a) where relevant.

## Response:

(a) how journalistic content and content of democratic importance can be described in the terms of service so that users can reasonably be expected to understand what content falls into these categories.

#### Response:

Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)

Response:
For providers of online services
Question 17: What, if any, methods are in place for identifying, defining or categorising content as journalistic content, content of democratic importance or news publisher content on your service?
In particular, please provide any evidence regarding the effectiveness of any existing methods.
Response:
Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)
Response:
Question 18: Moderating journalistic content, news publisher content and content of democratic importance
For providers of online services
Question 18: What considerations are taken into account when moderating journalistic content, news publisher content and content of democratic importance?
In your response to this question, please provide information relating to (a) $-$ (e) where relevant.
Response:
(a) once identified, how journalistic content, news publisher content and content of democratic importance is actioned and what kind of action is taken; and how that differs from the moderation of other types of content
Response:
(b) the factors that are or should be considered when taking action (e.g.: downranking/removal/suspension/ban or other) regarding this content
Response:
(c) the proportion of all journalistic content, content of democratic importance and news publisher content actioned upon by you that is actioned based on algorithmic decision making
Response:
(d) the proportion of all journalistic content, content of democratic importance and news publisher content actioned upon by you that is reviewed by human moderators and on what basis content is escalated to be reviewed by human moderators
Response:
(e) any insights into the costs of moderating journalistic content and content of democratic importance, including set up and ongoing costs in terms of employee time and other material costs.
Response:
Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)

D
Response:
Questions 19 – 21: Complaints and appeal processes for journalistic
content, news publisher content and content of democratic importance
For all respondents
Question 19: What complaint, counter-notice or other appeal processes should be in place for users to contest any action taken by service providers regarding journalistic content and
content of democratic importance?
In your response to this question, please provide information relating to (a) and (b) where
relevant.
Response:
(a) examples of effective redress mechanisms that you consider would be most suited to these
content types
Response:
(b) briefings, investigations, transparency reports, media investigations and research papers
that provide more evidence
Response:
Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)
Response:
Question 20: What initiatives could service providers use to create and increase awareness
about the process for users to complain and/or appeal content decisions and to minimise its'
misuse?
In your response to this question, please provide information relating to (a) and (b) where
relevant.
Response:
(a) any known impacts of over-removal or erroneous removal of news publisher content,
journalistic content or content of democratic importance
Response:
(b) briefings, investigations, transparency reports, media investigations and research papers
regarding misuse of such speech protective provisions
Response:
Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)
Response:

## For providers of online services

Question 21: What are the current complaints, counter-notice or other appeal processes for users to contest any action taken by you regarding journalistic content, news publisher content and content of democratic importance on your service?

In your response to this question, please provide information relating to (a) and (b) where relevant.

Response:

(a) any initiatives taken to create and increase awareness about the process for users to complain and/or appeal content removals

Response:

(b) any measures currently in place to prevent individual or systematic misuse of any protections for news publisher content, journalistic content or content of democratic importance.

Response:

Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)

Response:

Questions 22 – 24: Other information for journalistic content, news publisher content and content of democratic importance

For providers of online services

Question 22: Do you carry out any internal impact assessments to understand the freedom of expression and privacy implications of existing policies regarding journalistic content, news publisher content and content of democratic importance?

In your response to this question, please provide information relating to (a) and (b) where relevant.

Response:

(a) explain which elements of your service design or operation they relate to and which factors they take into account

Response:

(b) provide relevant briefings, investigations, transparency reports, media investigations and research papers.

Response:

Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)

Response:

Question 23: What, if any, measures are in place to ensure that protection of content of democratic importance applies in the same way to a wide diversity of political opinion?

In your response to this question, please provide information relating to (a) where relevant.
Response:
(a) whether there are any additional measures/safeguards that are put in place during local or national elections.
Response:
Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)
Response:

# For all respondents

Question 24: What, if any, measures can online service providers put in place to ensure that protection of content of democratic importance applies in the same way to a wide diversity of political opinion?

In your response to this question, please provide information relating to (a) where relevant.

Response:

(a) whether there are any additional measures/ safeguards that can be put in place during local or national elections

Response:

Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)

Response:

# Your response - User empowerment duties

Question 25: Detecting and moderating relevant content

For providers of online services

Question 25: What processes do you use to detect relevant content and how do you moderate it?

In your response to this request, please provide information relating to (a) - (g) where relevant.

Response:

(a) what systems you use for detection

Response:

(b) further to the above, if there are any important features that you take into account to make distinctions between content, e.g. features that might identify a piece of content as promotional suicide material versus content intended to support users at risk of suicide

Response:

(c) where distinctions are made, the extent to which content is actioned automatically, by human moderation, through user reports, other methods or a combination of methods

Response:

(d) any insight into the cost of these processes, including set-up and on-going costs, in terms of employee time and any other material costs

Response:

(e) whether relevant content is allowed or prohibited on your service

Response:

(f) whether you measure the incidence of users encountering such content, and if yes, whether these systems are different to those measuring other types of content, including illegal content

Response:

(g) if you offer users separate complaints procedures for moderated legal content versus illegal content, how often users report content through these channels, and what proportion of content is removed following a complaint

Response:

Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)

Response:

# Question 26: Impact of relevant content

# For all respondents

Question 26: Can you provide any evidence on whether the impact of relevant content differs between adults and children on user-to-user services?

We are interested in particular in briefings, investigations, transparency reports, media investigations and research papers that provide more evidence.fe

The pace of content provided by an algorithm responding to changes and pauses in activity and interest might harm brain development of young children, and perhaps relate to increasing numbers of young people developing a diagnosis of ADHD.

Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)

Response: No

# Question 27 and 28: Experience of specific types of users

# For all respondents

Question 27: Can you provide evidence around the types of adult users more likely to encounter relevant content, and the types of adult users more likely to be affected by such content?

Research on the effect of online content is an area of university activity. There are potential relationships with bullying and addiction & substance use. Examples include the Centre for Online Safety, Safeguarding, Privacy, and Identity (COSPI) at City University of London, the Institute of

Healthcare Engineering at University College London, the University of Surrey Institute for People-Centred AI, and the Medical Health Research Council.

Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)

Response: No

# For all respondents

Question 28: How do you consider the experience of users who have a protected characteristic, or those considered to be vulnerable or likely to be particularly affected by certain types of content?

In your response to this request, please provide information relating to (a) - (c) where relevant.

Response:

(a) what criteria you use to determine whether a user is vulnerable or likely to be particularly affected by certain types of content, or if you do not categorise users as vulnerable and why

Response:

(b) if your service collects any information about users that could be used to identify them as having a protected characteristic, vulnerable or likely to be particularly affected by certain types of content and, if so, what information you collect

Response:

(c) if you conduct any research into the experience of the above users on your service

Response:

Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)

Response:

Questions 29 and 30: Features employed to enable greater control over content

# For all respondents

Question 29: What features exist to enable adult users to have greater control over the type of content they encounter?

In your response to this request, please provide information relating to (a) – (d) where relevant.

Response: Features giving greater control include giving social media users the option to verify their identity, see whether a user is verified or not, & filter out interaction with unverified users. Features to make reports of content or other issues to a platform or to a regulator such as Ofcom could reduce the frequency that such content is encountered.

(a) features offered to users to reduce the likelihood of them encountering content they do not wish to see

Response:

(b) features offered to users to alert them to the presence of certain categories of content

Response:

(c) features offered to users to enable them to control their interactions with different types of users (e.g., non-verified)

Response:

(d) whether certain features are particularly valued or of use to users with protected characteristics, or by users likely to be affected by encountering relevant content

Response:

Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)

Response: No

# For providers of online services

Question 30: How do you design features to enable adult users to have greater control over the content they encounter, when are they offered to users, and what are the broader impacts on your system in deploying them? (For the purposes of our evidence base we are interested in features that enable control over a range of content, not solely relevant content).

In your response to this request, please provide information relating to (a) – (d xi) where relevant.

Response:

(a) how you measure and what evidence you can provide around the effectiveness of these features in terms of achieving their respective aims to prevent adults from encountering content that they do not want to see

Response:

(b) how you measure user engagement with these features, and any evidence you can provide around this

Response:

(c) how you ensure that these features are suitable for all adult users and that they're easy to access, including considerations for users with protected characteristics and/or vulnerable users

Response:

(d) how you decide when to offer users these features, or how to present the use of these features to users. This includes but is not limited to the following aspects, i) -xi).

Response:

i) how you develop the user need for these features, and the factors considered when determining to develop them

Response:

ii) whether these features are on by default, and in what circumstances

Response:

iii) whether these features are personalised for specific types of users

# Response: iv) when to offer users these features Response: v) whether, when or how often to remind users of these features - this can mean reminding users to make an initial choice, or checking if a user wants to update the initial choice later on (and if so, how frequently) Response: vi) where users learn about these features Response: vii) how to provide information about these features, including the level of detail and the words used to describe complex or technical concepts Response: viii) whether users have choice of controls over specific types of content Response: ix) how you decide whether to iterate, replace or keep such features Response: x) any other factors not already covered above that you take into account when considering such features Response: xi) any insight into the cost of these features, including set-up and on-going costs (in terms of employee time and any other material costs) as well as any intended and unintended impacts on the service more broadly (e.g., the technical feasibility of implementing filter tools, or reducing functionality based on verification status). Response: Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential) Response:

# Your response - User identity verification duties

Question 31 and 32: Circumstances where user identity verification is offered and how

For all respondents

Question 31: What kind of user-to-user services currently deploy identity verification and in what circumstances?

In your response to this request, please provide information relating to (a) - (c) where relevant.

Response: Regulation to reduce harm is the necessary role of government where platforms have no commercial need to do so. Identity verification can be most beneficial where it can be anonymised so that a user's real identity does not need to be displayed on a platform. User embarrassment would be reduced, and take-up supported. Anonymised age verification is a useful example. Harm caused by underage users commonly bypassing lawful restrictions on activity, or adult users over a certain age pretending to be a child can be reduced. Any possible contribution to mental health harms to children is not relevant to social media algorithms with a goal of increasing time on platforms by users. Age checking should not be considered an appropriate situation to seek to acquire data on children. Education is a better focus of efforts beyond this, as reducing data gathering on children is also desirable.

(a) the ways in which these identity verification methods are beneficial, both to the user and to the service

Response:

(b) what documentation you understand to be necessary for different types, or levels, of identity verification on user-to-user services

Response:

(c) whether you believe there are there any other circumstances where identity verification should be offered on user-to-user services.

Response:

Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)

Response: No

For providers of user-to-user services that provide some types of identity verification for individual adult users

Question 32: In respect of the identity verification method(s) used on your service, please share any information explaining:

(a) in what circumstances identity verification is offered on your service and why, and to which category/categories of users

Response:

(b) what evidence and steps are taken to verify the identity of a user, e.g., which attributes are checked, what aspects of verified users are known only to the provider and what aspects are made available for other users to see, including whether processes regarding adult users are different to those regarding children

Response:

(c) whether the process is, or can be, tailored to users in different geographical areas, such as the UK

Response:

(d) whether you engage third party providers to provide all or part of this identity verification process and, if so, which providers

Response:
e) once a user has their identity verified, what this allows them to do on your service, and if
relevant, what activities this enables on another service
Response:
f) how your identity verification policies have been developed, including any research that you can share
Response:
g) any steps you take to ensure that identity verification is available to all adult users, including users who may not be able to access certain types of identity verification
Response:
h) any consideration around users who may be vulnerable participating in the identity verification method
Response:
i) how you manage the identity verification of users who have multiple accounts
Response:
j) how you manage different identity verification methods operating simultaneously on your
service, such as forms of age verification that require ID to complete the process, monetised
schemes and notable user schemes, and how you consider user perceptions of these different methods
Response:

# Question 33: Cost and effectiveness of these methods

Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)

# For all respondents

Response:

Question 33: Please share any information about the costs and the effectiveness of identity verification methods

In your response to this request, please provide information relating to:

- (a) (d) where relevant for all respondents, and
- f) and g) where relevant for providers of user-to-user services that provide some types of identity verification for individual adult users.

Response: Know Your Customer processes in financial services are already effective. The Digital Policy Alliance has recommended "Principles and Minimum Standards for Identity Verification" available at <a href="https://www.dpalliance.org.uk/download/dpa-briefing-for-parliamentarians-the-online-safety-bill-principles-and-minimum-standards-for-identity-verification/">https://www.dpalliance.org.uk/download/dpa-briefing-for-parliamentarians-the-online-safety-bill-principles-and-minimum-standards-for-identity-verification/</a>. Age Assurance is the subject of development of a series of standards towards specifications and principles towards best practice for compliance. The four principles decided on at the Global Age Assurance Standards Summit are that age assurance should be based on individuals' rights and best interest,

the principle of data minimization, transparency and accountability, and cooperation and participation. BSI has been represented at the Global Age Assurance Standards Summit accordingly and the UK was the primary proposer to ISO/IEC for international standards based on good traction and feedback of PAS 1296. Information is available at <a href="https://standardsdevelopment.bsigroup.com/committees/50299920">https://standardsdevelopment.bsigroup.com/committees/50299920</a>. Developments in Web3 could support and in AI could challenge identity verification.

(a) any insight into the cost of identity verification methods, including set-up and on-going costs, in terms of employee time and any other material costs, as well as any intended and unintended impacts on services more broadly

Response:

(b) how effective these identity verification methods are in verifying the identity of a user for the particular purpose for which verification is carried out

Response:

(c) any other benefits or unintended consequences from these schemes existing

Response:

(d) the safeguards necessary to ensure users' privacy is protected

Response:

For providers of user-to-user services that provide some types of identity verification for individual adult users

(e) any unintended consequences of implementing identity verification, such as the impact this may have on your site's ecosystem

Response:

(f) how you envisage your service operating in the digital identity market, bearing in mind moves towards cross-industry and federated identity schemes

Response:

Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)

Response: No

Question 34 and 35: User attitudes and demand for identity verification on user-to-user services

# For all respondents

Question 34: What are user attitudes and demand for identity verification on user-to-user services?

In your response to this request, please provide information relating to (a) - (d) where relevant.

Response: User demand for identity verification is much greater than among platforms, where new platforms offering it are not yet successful. Platforms lack an incentive for verifying users due to a model that is advertising driven and supported by apparent users that may not be real. User demand is much more significant, among people wanting protection about who they are speaking

to, or wanting identity known somewhere on the platform to help track down the source of misbehaviour where there are problems. A LinkedIn feature is popular among both users and employers of users, where verification through a work email address supports verification with a badge of approval. (a) whether they value verification being offered on a service Response: (b) whether verification influences user behaviour, such as whether they perceive identity verification to signify authenticity Response: (c) attitudes towards non-verified, anonymous or pseudonymous users and the willingness to engage with them Response: (d) who you deem to be 'vulnerable' in terms of verifying their identity online - for example, whether this includes users unable to access or less likely to hold identification documentation, and those who may become vulnerable by displaying their identity to other users. Response:

For providers of user-to-user services that provide some types of identity verification for individual adult users

Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)

Response: No

Question 35: How do you measure engagement with your identity verification methods?

In your response to this request, please provide information relating to (a) and (b) where relevant.

Response:

(a) take-up of identity verification by your users

Response:

(b) any insight into whether identity verification has any other effect on user behaviour, such as the content that users post and the amount that they engage with your service.

Response:

Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)

Response:

# Your response - Fraudulent advertising

# Questions 36 - 42: Overarching considerations

# For all respondents

# Question 36: Please provide evidence of the following:

(a) The most prevalent kinds of fraudulent advertising activity on user-to-user and search services (e.g. illegal financial promotions, misleading statements, malvertising)

Response:

(b) The harms associated with different kinds of fraudulent advertisements, the severity of such harms, and, if relevant, how this varies by user group

Response:

(c) The key challenges to successfully detecting different types of fraudulent paid-for advertising, and how these challenges can be minimised or resolved

Response:

(d) The prioritisation of suspected fraudulent advertising within all categories of harmful advertising queues, e.g. account verification, user reports, appeals

Response:

(e) The proportion of fraudulent advertisements that are currently estimated to remain undetected by services' systems.

Response:

Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)

Response:

Question 37: What technological developments aiding the prevention/detection of fraudulent advertisements do you anticipate in the coming years, and how costly and effective do you expect them to be? What are the challenges/barriers to their development?

Response: Web 3 technologies could support prevention of fraud through security. Artificial Intelligence could support detection of such content. Each demands high levels of resources in infrastructure, energy, and data centres. Understanding of governance of AI is developing and a process of self-auditing is important to establish trust. Examples such as ISACA's Digital Trust Ecosystem Framework (DTEF) (https://www.gov.uk/ai-assurance-techniques/isaca-digital-trust-ecosystem-framework-dtef-beta-application-to-assure-ai-environments) can offer enterprises a holistic framework to assure AI operation in environments. The operation of systems could also be affected by requirements such as the EU Digital Services Act allowing restriction by users of AI in algorithms. AI outcomes may be observable but where there is not an algorithmic approach, it is unknown how it has done what it has done and what it has learnt. Problems can result from lack of transparency over the relevant data set which can exhibit bias, measurement errors, or poisoning by adversaries. Scraping the whole internet results in bias while a curated data can be of more limited benefit. In the context of fraud, a curated model could restrict its understanding

only to precedent it was exposed to and lack the insight into a more natural justice it might gain from the wider internet. Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential) Response: No Question 38: If you have information/evidence/suggested mitigations to share which may be useful in the preparation of codes of practice, which is not covered by the questions above, please include these under 'Overarching considerations'. Response: Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential) Response: For providers of online services Question 39: What proportion of all paid-for advertising on your service is identified as fraudulent advertising? Response: Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential) Response: Question 40: Does your service take any steps to warn users of the risk of encountering fraudulent advertising or to educate them about how to identify potentially fraudulent advertising? Response: Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential) Response: Question 41: Please provide information regarding the proportion of successfully identified fraudulent advertisements that are identified via: (a) automated systems Response: (b) human processes Response: (c) user reports Response: (d) other (please provide further detail). Response:

Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)
Response:
Question 42: What is the average and/or median time taken between the identification of a fraudulent advertisement and its removal/other actions taken? (If other actions taken, please specify what they are).
Response:
Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)
Response:
Question 43: Proactive technology  For all respondents
Question 43: Please provide any evidence you have regarding proactive technologies which could be used to identify fraudulent advertising activity.
In particular, we are interested in information related to the following points:
(a) The kinds of proactive technology which are/could be applied to identify or prevent fraudulent advertising
Response:
(b) A brief description of how these technologies are/could be integrated into the service
Response:
(c) The effectiveness, accuracy and lack of bias of such technology (including compared to alternative proactive and non-proactive methods) in relation to detecting fraudulent advertising and accounts which post fraudulent advertising material
Response:
(d) How proactive technologies are maintained and kept up to date
Response:
e) Information related to the associated time and/or costs for set-up, operation, and human review
Response:
f) The cost of integrating such technologies: (a) for the first time; and (b) when updating these technologies over time
Response:
g) Whether there are cost savings associated with these technologies
Response:
Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)

Response:	
Question 44: Advertising onboarding and verification	
For all respondents	
Question 44: Please provide any evidence you have regarding the processes for advertise onboarding and verification related to protections against fraudulent advertising. In your response, please indicate whether these processes are currently implemented in respect services which are in scope of the Act or whether they stem from another sector	r
In particular, we are interested in information related to the following points:	
(a) The criteria which advertisers are verified against, including documentation/evidence to support verification, and what advertisers are required to declare	used
Response:	
(b) The role of (a) automated processing and (b) human processing in the verification pro and how they interact	cess,
Response:	
(c) The costs associated with advertiser verification and how those costs vary as scale inc	reases
Response:	
(d) The percentage of advertiser accounts that are verified	
Response:	
e) Whether advertisers are permitted to publish advertisements on the service while the verification process is ongoing	
Response:	
f) Whether there are additional/specific verification checks for advertisers placing advert certain kinds or targeting certain audiences, such as about specific products or services, contargeting users under the age of 18	
Response:	
g) Whether the verification of an advertiser account expires after a certain amount of tir certain activity, such as when advertisers make changes to their account or profile	me or
Response:	
Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)	
Response:	

# Question 45: Service review of submitted advertisements/sponsored search results

# For all respondents

Question 45: Please provide any evidence you have regarding the processes that services in scope of the Act have in place to review submitted paid-for advertisements and identify fraudulent advertising material.

In particular, we are interested in information related to the following points:

(a) The percentage of submitted advertisements which are reviewed both (i) prior to and (ii) after publication

Response:

(b) The role (i) automated processing and (ii) human processing play in the review process and how they interact

Response:

(c) The red flags which trigger advertisement review processes both (i) prior to and (ii) after publication and the basis on which those red flags are selected

Response:

(d) The timescales for review

Response:

(e) What happens to the advertisement's visibility and reach, if it is flagged as suspected as being fraudulent (either by a user or automated system)

Response:

(f) The costs associated with the review of submitted paid-for advertisements

Response:

(g) Whether trusted flagger reporting is employed to inform services' review processes. If it is, how is it applied, what guidelines / criteria does it follow, and who are those trusted flaggers?

Response:

Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)

Response:

# Question 46: Advertiser appeals of verification/review decisions

# For all respondents

Question 46: Please provide any evidence you have regarding advertiser appeals of verification/review decisions relating to fraudulent advertising on services in scope of the Act.

In particular, we are interested in information related to the following points:

(a) The role of (i) automated processing and (ii) human processing in the appeals process, and how they interact;

Response:
(b) The level of proof required for an appeal to be accepted;
Response:
(c) The most frequent bases for appeals against sanctions decisions on fraudulent advertising
content
Response:
(d) The ratio of decisions that are appealed against
Response:
(e) The costs associated with appeals
Response:
(f) The proportion of appealed decisions which are upheld and overturned
Response:
Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)
Response:

# Question 47: User reporting mechanisms

# For all respondents

Question 47: Please provide any evidence you have regarding user reporting mechanisms for fraudulent advertising on services in scope of the Act.

In particular, we are interested in information related to the following points:

(a) What user reporting tools there are for paid-for advertisements, and how these tools differ from those for user-generated content and/or search results and other search functionalities that are not paid-for advertising

Response:

(b) What percentage of user reports of advertisements relate to suspected fraudulent content, and the processes for taking action in relation to such reports

Response:

(c) Any statistics you can share on (i) the number of user reports of suspected fraudulent advertising received and resolved over a specific period and (b) the number of initial decisions appealed by users who made the report

Response:

(d) The criteria used to classify and prioritise user reports

Response:

(e) The median and/or average time it takes to respond to a user report, and any measures that are in place to ensure timely and accurate responses to user reports

Response:
(f) Any measures taken to make user reporting tools accessible, easy to use and easy to find for
users
Response:
(g) How transparency and communication is maintained with users who have submitted
reports
Response:
Response:  Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)

# Question 48: Use/involvement of third parties

# For all respondents

Question 48: Please provide any evidence relevant to fraudulent advertising that you have, regarding the involvement and role of third parties in the provision of paid-for advertisements on services in scope of the Act.

In line with the proportionality criteria under sections 38(5) and 39(5) of the Act, we welcome information related to how the involvement of third parties impacts the degree of control that services have over fraudulent advertising content.

We also welcome information regarding contractual arrangements and how those arrangements are enforced.

Response:

Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)

Response:

# Question 49: Generative AI and deepfakes

# For all respondents

Question 49: Please provide any evidence you have regarding the impact of generative AI developments and deepfakes on the incidence and detection of fraudulent advertisements on services in scope of the Act.

In particular, we are interested in information related to the following points:

(a) The frequency of deepfake fraudulent advertisements' occurrence, in absolute terms and/or as a proportion of all fraudulent advertisements, and how you expect this to evolve in the future

_			
Res	nΛ	nca	•
1163	$\mathbf{v}$	II3C	٠

(b) What methodologies/technologies are currently employed to detect fraudulent advertisements which include deepfake or otherwise Al-generated content, and the effectiveness of these tools Response: (c) Whether detection technologies are developed in-house or acquired from a third-party, and how long it takes to develop and/or integrate those tools into wider systems Response: (d) The accuracy of detection methods, including true positive and false positive rates Response: (e) The costs associated with the development/acquisition and deployment of these detection mechanisms Response: (f) The types of deepfake or Al-generated content (in terms of either media type or subject) in fraudulent advertisements that are most difficult to detect i) via automated processes, ii) by human moderators, iii) by service users Response: Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential) Response:

# Your response – Access to information about a deceased child's use of a service

Questions 50 – 55: Processes for requesting information about a deceased child's use of a service

For all respondents

Question 50: What kinds of information might parents want to see about their child's use of the service?
Response:
Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)
Response:

Question 51: How long should it take to receive information in response to a request?
Response:
Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)

Response:  Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)  Response:  Question 53: What support or information do parents need to guide them through the process of making a request?  Response:  Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)  Response:  Question 54: What kinds of information do you provide and how do you provide this information?  In your response to this request, please provide information relating to (a) where relevant.  Response:  a) If there are certain types of information you cannot provide, please explain why, for example whether there are technological, cost or privacy factors that mean certain kinds of information may not be feasible to provide  Response:  Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)  Response:
Question 53: What support or information do parents need to guide them through the process of making a request?  Response:  Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)  Response:  Or providers of online services  Question 54: What kinds of information do you provide and how do you provide this information?  In your response to this request, please provide information relating to (a) where relevant.  Response:  a) If there are certain types of information you cannot provide, please explain why, for example whether there are technological, cost or privacy factors that mean certain kinds of information may not be feasible to provide  Response:  Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)  Response:
Question 53: What support or information do parents need to guide them through the process of making a request?  Response:  Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)  Response:  Or providers of online services  Question 54: What kinds of information do you provide and how do you provide this information?  In your response to this request, please provide information relating to (a) where relevant.  Response:  a) If there are certain types of information you cannot provide, please explain why, for example whether there are technological, cost or privacy factors that mean certain kinds of information may not be feasible to provide  Response:  Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)  Response:
Response:  Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)  Response:  Ouestion 54: What kinds of information do you provide and how do you provide this information?  In your response to this request, please provide information relating to (a) where relevant.  Response:  a) If there are certain types of information you cannot provide, please explain why, for example whether there are technological, cost or privacy factors that mean certain kinds of information may not be feasible to provide  Response:  Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)  Response:
Response:  Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)  Response:  Ouestion 54: What kinds of information do you provide and how do you provide this information?  In your response to this request, please provide information relating to (a) where relevant.  Response:  a) If there are certain types of information you cannot provide, please explain why, for example whether there are technological, cost or privacy factors that mean certain kinds of information may not be feasible to provide  Response:  Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)  Response:
Response:  Or providers of online services  Question 54: What kinds of information do you provide and how do you provide this information?  In your response to this request, please provide information relating to (a) where relevant.  Response:  a) If there are certain types of information you cannot provide, please explain why, for example whether there are technological, cost or privacy factors that mean certain kinds of information may not be feasible to provide  Response:  Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)  Response:
Response:  Or providers of online services  Question 54: What kinds of information do you provide and how do you provide this information?  In your response to this request, please provide information relating to (a) where relevant.  Response:  a) If there are certain types of information you cannot provide, please explain why, for example whether there are technological, cost or privacy factors that mean certain kinds of information may not be feasible to provide  Response:  Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)  Response:
Question 54: What kinds of information do you provide and how do you provide this information?  In your response to this request, please provide information relating to (a) where relevant.  Response:  a) If there are certain types of information you cannot provide, please explain why, for example whether there are technological, cost or privacy factors that mean certain kinds of information may not be feasible to provide  Response:  Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)  Response:
Question 54: What kinds of information do you provide and how do you provide this information?  In your response to this request, please provide information relating to (a) where relevant.  Response:  a) If there are certain types of information you cannot provide, please explain why, for example whether there are technological, cost or privacy factors that mean certain kinds of information may not be feasible to provide  Response:  Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)  Response:
In your response to this request, please provide information relating to (a) where relevant.  Response:  a) If there are certain types of information you cannot provide, please explain why, for example whether there are technological, cost or privacy factors that mean certain kinds of information may not be feasible to provide  Response:  Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)  Response:
Response:  a) If there are certain types of information you cannot provide, please explain why, for example whether there are technological, cost or privacy factors that mean certain kinds of information may not be feasible to provide  Response:  Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)  Response:
a) If there are certain types of information you cannot provide, please explain why, for example whether there are technological, cost or privacy factors that mean certain kinds of information may not be feasible to provide  Response:  Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)  Response:
example whether there are technological, cost or privacy factors that mean certain kinds of information may not be feasible to provide  Response:  Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)  Response:
s this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)  Response:
Response:
Question 55: How long does it typically take you to provide information in response to a
Question 55: How long does it typically take you to provide information in response to a
request?
In your response to this request, please provide information relating to (a) where relevant.
Response:
a) How long should it reasonably take services to provide information in these circumstances?
Response:
s this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)
(ii yee, presses speed)

# Questions 56 and 57: Complaints systems

# For all respondents

Question 56: What can providers of online services do to ensure the transparency, accessibility,
ease of use and users' awareness of complaints mechanisms in relation to deceased user
information request processes?
Response:

Response:

# For providers of online services

Question 57: Can you provide any evidence or information about the best practices for effective complaints mechanisms which could inform an approach to complaints about information request processes pertaining to a deceased user?

Response:

Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)

Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)

Response:

# Question 58: Evidence

# For providers of online services

Question 58: What kinds of evidence do you require about the identity of the person making the request and their relationship to the deceased user?

In your response to this request, please provide information relating to (a) and (b) where relevant.

Response:

(a) Do you, or would you, require different kinds of evidence in the event that the deceased user is a child?

Response:

(b) What evidence do, or would, you require that a user is deceased?

Response:

Is this response confidential? (if yes, please specify which part(s) are confidential)

Response: