

Organisation Name Withheld 6

Question	Your response
Question 1: Do you agree with the proposed amendments to Rule 5.3 of the Ofcom Broadcasting Code? Please give reasons for your answer.	Yes- politicians shouldn't be a newsreader, news interviewer or news reporter in any type of programme included in a television or radio service otherwise its not news, juts propaganda.
Question 2: Do you consider that Rule 5.3 of the Ofcom Broadcasting Code, if amended as proposed, will provide sufficient protection for audiences? Please give reasons for your answer.	No- I think it should go further. No politician should present a show. Full Stop. At least not a current affairs or political show. It'll be biased and provides a platform for politicians to purport theirs or their party's view unchallenged.
Question 3: Do you agree with the assessment of the potential impacts of the proposed amendments to Rule 5.3 of the Ofcom Broadcasting Code (as set out in Annex 2)? Please give reasons for your answer.	Yes. I think more should be done to protect impartiality. For example, GB News is not a news channel. So, it gives the illusion of being fact based – its opinion based. The Channel's name should reflect the content.
Question 4: Do you agree with the proposed amendments to the Guidance on Rule 5.3?	Yes
Question 5: Do you have any other comments to make on the proposals, including in relation the scope of the proposed changes?	As above I have concerns re: an openly political TV channel broadcasting under the name of GB News. It purports to be 'free speech', but those that disagree are ejected. Michael Crick, for example.

Please tell us how you came across about this consultation:

X Email from Ofcom
\square Saw it on social media
\square Found it on Ofcom's website
\square Found it on another website
\square Heard about it on TV or radio
$\hfill\square$ Read about it in a newspaper or magazine
\square Heard about it at an event
\square Somebody told me or shared it with me
□ Other (please specify)