

Response From Dr R.D Feltham

Question 1:What are your views on the strategic principles that Ofcom proposes to apply to its numbering policy decisions? : There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 2:What do you think are consumers? key current views on numbering, how do you think those views will change, and how should Ofcom?s current decisions take those changes into account? : There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 3:What do you think are the main ways in which technological developments will change the focus of numbering policy decisions, and how should Ofcom?s current decisions take these developments into account? : There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or

third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 4: Do you have any comments on Ofcom's assessment of the current challenges to the Numbering Plan, in terms of a) number availability, b) transparency, or c) consumer abuses? : There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 5: Do you agree that the extension of conservation measures is the best approach to take before the impact of NGNs eases the pressure on geographic number demand?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 6: Do you agree that the use of overlay codes is the best backstop approach in the event that extended conservation measures are not sufficient to meet demand for geographic numbers?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 7: Do you agree that Ofcom should continue to respect the geographic identity of numbers until consumer understanding of the impact of technology change evolves further, and what do you consider is the best way to develop that consumer understanding? : There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 8: Do you agree with Ofcom's proposal to open a new ?03? number range for non-geographic, non-revenue sharing services? : There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate

and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 9: How should the '03' range be structured, in terms of tariffs and services?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 10: How should the '08' range be structured, in terms of tariffs and services?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 11: Which broad approach should Ofcom take to structuring the '09' range, and if a re-structured '09' range is preferred how would you arrange the different types of '09' services (e.g., according to price per minute, price per call, inclusion of adult content)?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the

original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 12:Should any specific PRS service categories be identified or segregated in order that parents can block access by their children (e.g.,sexually explicit content, gambling)? Is there merit in having a general ?adults only? classification, including a range of services to which access might be restricted on the grounds of content, or might consumers wish to apply different rules for different types of content?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 13:Are there any practical means by which the Numbering Plan could provide improved mobile tariff transparency?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the

commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 14: Do you agree that personal numbers should have a tariff ceiling (or recorded message) to restore trust in those numbers? If so, what level, and should that ceiling include the cost of recorded messages? : There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 15: Do you agree with Ofcom's proposals to move personal numbers (with the same consumer protection provisions) to the ?06? range and to pursue the direct allocation of numbers to end users as proposed at some point in the future?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 16: Do you have any comments on the use of the 05 number range?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the

opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 17: Do you agree that Ofcom's overall proposals for a future Numbering Plan are coherent and comprehensive, and do you have any comments on the timescales in which the changes should be implemented

?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 18: Do you agree with the principle of using consumer protection tests in numbering in order to limit consumer abuses, as long as the relevant legal tests are met? Do you have any suggestions for what tests would be appropriate or any conditions that should be met to pass such tests?:

There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 19: Do you support the proposal to extend the tariffing provisions of the Numbering Plan so that they apply to customers of all providers on all types of network?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 20: How do you think the new Numbering Plan could be effectively communicated to consumers?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 21: What are your views on Ofcom's analysis and the different options for number charging?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 22: Which, if any, numbers might appropriately be allocated using a value-based charge ?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 23: Do you have any other comments on Ofcom's proposals for numbering as discussed in Section 5, or any other suggestions for how Ofcom might revise the current Numbering Plan or its administration ?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 24: What do you think of Ofcom's proposed general approach to managing geographic numbers?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your

continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 25: Do you have detailed evidence or suggestions on the variables likely to influence demand for geographic numbers, how those variables will change over time, and how Ofcom should develop a demand model?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 26: Do you agree with the specific proposal for how to extend conservation measures, including the extension to areas with a number shortage predicted in the next five (rather than two) years?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the

commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 27: Do you consider there to be any upper limit, in terms of technical feasibility, on the number of areas in which conservation measures could be used ?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 28: Do you agree with Ofcom's assessment of the impact of conservation measures on stakeholders ?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 29: Do you agree that Ofcom should pursue these additional ways to improve number utilisation and, if we do, how would stakeholders be impacted and what practical issues are involved ?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen

consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 30:What are your views on overlay codes, and Ofcom?s assessment of them, as a fallback option to increase number supply? What should be the maximum number of areas where overlay codes are introduced?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 31:What are your views on closing the scheme, and Ofcom?s assessment of it, as a fallback option to increase number supply?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 32:What are your views on wide area codes, and Ofcom?s assessment of them, as a fallback option to increase number supply?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 33:Might wide area codes be appropriate in regions with a strong identity and, if so, which specific regions are suitable for wide area codes?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 34:Do you agree with Ofcom?s assessment of the problems with current 08 and 09 in terms of information clarity and consumer perceptions?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but

called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 35: Which of these options for current 08 services do you think is best in terms of a) increasing consumer transparency and b) minimising the costs of restructuring the 08 range ?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 36: How might early migration to the ?03? range be encouraged?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 37: Is it more important to indicate price per minute or price per call, and does this vary for different types of PRS service? What granularity of PRS tariff information should be given to consumers by the Numbering Plan?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have

demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 38: Should there be any PRS number ranges with no tariff ceiling

?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 39: What is the typical turnover of 09 numbers, and what does this mean for migration timescales to a new 09 Plan? How could Ofcom structure the 09 range or take other steps to promote voluntary migration of 09 services

?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 40: Do you agree that that part of the 07 range which is currently unused (071-075) should be reserved for mobile services, with the aim of establishing 07 as a mobile brand??: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 41: Should Ofcom reserve specific sub-ranges within the 071-075 range for new mobile multimedia services, in the interests of promoting consumer awareness and tariff transparency, and if so how?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 42: Do you support the use of 100,000-number blocks in allocating mobile numbers to new mobile voice providers?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or

fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 43:Based on the above analysis, if Ofcom were to introduce a charge ceiling on calls to 070 numbers, which of the following levels should be adopted: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 44:Would a requirement to make tariff information clearly available to purchasers of personal numbering services at the point of sale, either in addition to, or instead of a call ceiling, be an effective means of providing tariff transparency on personal numbers?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 45: If a new sub-range is made available for personal numbering services, how long should the current ?070? sub-range remain available for existing providers, in order to minimise migration costs ?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 46: What issues do you think would need to be resolved before Ofcom makes individual numbers available for direct allocation to end users?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 47: What do you consider to be the main strengths and weaknesses of the current rules-based system of UK number allocation?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate

and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 48: Do you agree with these principles for number charging?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 49: What are your views on Ofcom's assessment of the issues to be considered in setting and reviewing number charges? For example, should other issues be considered in developing charging proposals?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 50: Do you agree that charging for numbers could disincentivise economically inefficient behaviour, and incentivise economically efficient utilisation?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and

assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 51:What internal changes would communications providers have to make, and at what cost, to support charging for numbers? Would these changes be preferable to earlier and more widespread use of conservation measures and (limited) changes to increase geographic number supply?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 52:How might existing number allocation rules be reduced if charging for numbers was introduced ?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 53:What are your views on this illustrative charging mechanism, and would you suggest any changes or alternatives to it ?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 54:How would charging for number blocks affect consumers ?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 55:What impact do you think charging for numbers would have on sub-allocation? Should Ofcom encourage or facilitate sub-allocation and, if charging were introduced, would changes be needed to the process of suballocation to facilitate trading?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen

consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 56: Which types of consumer abuse do you think Ofcom should particularly attempt to address through its numbering policy decisions?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 57: Which number ranges and types of originating communications provider do you think should be covered by an extension of the Numbering Plan's tariffing provisions? What practical issues are involved, and how would this vary according to the number ranges and service providers involved?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 58:What do you think of the potential conditions proposed by Ofcom for inclusion in a consumer protection test for number allocation, including the proposals that numbers should not be provided to anyone with a particular track record of persistent and/or serious consumer abuse ?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 59:Are there any other circumstances in which it may be appropriate for Ofcom to refuse number allocations ?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 60:Would you support the use of a consumer protection test as a basis for withdrawing number allocations? What kind of considerations should Ofcom apply in any such test, and what would be the practical issues involved in applying such a test ?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Question 61: What consumer abuses do you think might occur in the future, and what steps might Ofcom take now in its numbering policy in order to reduce the potential for such abuses?: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to this question, nor to any other concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations.

Additional Comments: There is no point whatever in any Citizen Consumer sending you a response to any of your questions, concerning your continued condoning and assistance of the escalation of abuses to and within the original UK telephone numbering system, since you have demonstrated continuously that you pay no credence whatsoever to the opinion of Citizen Consumers; this is despite the fact that it is your duty under your remit to place the interest of citizen consumers first. That means FIRST which is not second or third or fourth!

Your principal means of parrying the opinion of Citizen Consumers is to procrastinate and have endless successive consultations all in reality about the same issues, but called by a different newly invented name, to avoid facing up to your responsibilities and primary purpose under the Act, so that instead you can foster and assist the commercial interests of telcos instead.

In reality you already know the opinion of Citizen Consumers on this issue. They have already expressed it in your previous consultations. Their opinion is: revert to the original National Telephone numbering Plan, before you commenced and continued changing it to what your cronies wanted. All Premium and Revenue Sharing uses were supposed to be moved to category 09. No exceptions and no partial escapes from this. End all of these scams, abuses, rackets, violations and abuses.

Your primary duty under the Act is to protect the interests of the Citizen Consumer.
The latter action is the only approach which is in the interest of the Citizen Consumer.